

ABSTRACT

The paper uses the Z-score, the standard deviation of return on assets, the impaired non-performing loans, and the risk-weighted assets to measure risktaking while using the growth of the bank deposit ratio, the capital adequacy ratio, the assets quality measure, and the loan loss provision to measure stability. Using a two-step system GMM dynamic estimator and two proxies for competition, the HHI and the Lerner indices, the study tests the impact of competition on banks' risk-taking and stability. I find that higher competition increases the probability of banks engaging in risk-taking behavior and reduces the likelihood of their stability.

Introduction

Banks' risk-taking and stability are closely linked. While risk-taking can potentially enhance profitability, it needs to be managed prudently to ensure the stability and resilience of the bank. Achieving an optimal balance between risk-taking and stability is crucial for banks to thrive in a competitive environment while safeguarding against systemic risks and financial crises.

Literature Review

In banking risk literature, risk-taking and stability have been extensively used as indicators for bank financial risk exposure (e.g., Saif-Alyousfi et al., 2020; Dalwai et al., 2021; Kasman and Kasman, 2015; Tabak et al., 2016; Ashraf et al., 2016). A huge number of previous studies have used the Z-score as a proxy for both risk-taking and stability. In the literature, different proxies are used to measure banks' risk-taking. First, a huge number of previous studies (e.g., Nguyen, 2020, 2021; Phan et al. 2022; Dwumfour 2017; Bai et al. 2020; Marcelin et al. 2022; Tabak et al. 2016; Zhang and Wu 2020; and Wang et al. 2024), measure Z-score as (ROA + E/A)/ σ (ROA), where ROA is the return on assets, $\sigma(ROA)$ is the standard deviation of ROA and E/A is the equity on assets ratio. Second, some studies (e.g., Saif-Alyousfi et al., 2020; and Dalwai et al., 2021) measure Z-score as the standard deviation of return on assets (oROA). According to this definition, the Z-score reflects the variability of ROA.

Hypothesis

H: There is a positive (negative) association between banks' competition and their risk-taking (stability).

pressure to generate higher profits to remain competitive. This pressure can lead I run two robustness tests to corroborate the research findings and banks to seek out riskier investments or lending opportunities that offer higher test the sustainability of its results. First, I replaced the Z-score, SDROA Methods and Data returns, even if they come with higher levels of risk. This finding agrees with Saif- with NPL and RWA, and the GDR, CAR with AQM and LLP. In the second The study includes banks listed in the GCC Stock Exchanges. After excluding Alyousfi et al. (2020) and Dalwai et al. (2021) which indicates that higher competition robustness test, I replaced the Herfindahl-Hirschman index (HHI) with banks with incomplete data, the sample comprises a regression analysis signals a higher level of risk-taking. the Lerner index. Lerner index focuses on individual bank market power involving 42 banks over the period 2014 to 2021, totaling 336 bank-year rather than the overall concentration (as in the HHI index). Panel B of Table 4 shows that HHI is positively associated with GDR and CAR as observations. The regression analysis used the two-step system GMM dynamic proxies for bank stability. This means that higher competition (lower HHI) decreases estimator to regress risk-taking and stability (dependent variables) on the lag of **Conclusion:** the dependent variables, competition variables (HHI index and Lerner index), the likelihood of stability. Higher competition among banks increases the pressure The findings indicate that increased competition heightens the probability regulation variable (REG), financial variables (CIR, EAR, LIQ), bank-specific on profit margins as banks may engage in aggressive pricing strategies to attract of banks engaging in risk-taking behavior and reduces their stability. variable (Size), and other economic control variables (GDP and INF). The GMM customers. To undercut competitors, banks may lower interest rates on loans and Furthermore, the results reveal that banks with higher (lower) cost-tois more efficient for heteroskedasticity and helps to solve the endogeneity deposits, reducing their net interest margins and overall profitability. This can income ratios, liquidity, and inflation rates (regulation, size, and GDP) problem between risk-taking, stability, and competition measures. The Z-score, weaken banks' financial performance and erode their ability to absorb losses, growth) are associated with higher (lower) risk-taking, whereas banks with SDROA, GDR, HHI, and Lerner index were calculated, The CAR, NPL, RWA, AQM, potentially compromising their stability. higher (lower) levels of regulation, size, equity-to-assets ratios, and LLP, CIR, EAR, LIQ, and Size were extracted from the Arbis Bank Focus database In summary, the results in Table 4 support the hypothesis regarding the positive liquidity (cost-to-income ratios) are linked to greater stability. banks, the COMPUSTAT Global, the banks' annual reports, or the banks' (negative) association between competition and risk-taking (stability). websites, the GDP, INF, and REG variables were extracted from the World Bank

competition) is positively associated with Z-score and negatively associated with SDROA (as proxies for risk-taking). This result shows that higher competition **Robustness check** increases the likelihood of risk-taking. In a highly competitive market, banks face

Banks' Risk-Taking, Stability, and Competition

evidence from GCC

Bassam M. Abu-Abbas

Table 1: Summary statistics

Veriable	N						Panel A				Panel B			
variable	N	Mean	St. dev.	Wiinimum	Maximum	Variables	Z-score		SDROA		GDR		CAR	
-score	336	1.803	1.923	0.004	13.65	variables	Coef.	Z-	Coef.	Z-	Coef.	Z-	Coef.	Z-
DROA	336	.0169	0.137	0.010	0.936			values		values		values		values
DR	336	0.583	0.083	-0.254	0.377	Lag Z-score	.244	2.57***	100	4 - 4 *				
AR	336	0.188	0.432	0.107	0.462	Lag SDROA			.189	1./1*	227	1 00**		
PL	336	0.024	0.027	0.003	0.431	Lag GDR					.221	1.98	438	2 64***
WA	336	16.66	0.968	13.84	18.55	HHI	F 20	~~ 7**	945	_	.167	1.72*	.084	2.61***
QM	336	0.627	0.106	0.164	0.844		5.28	2.37		2.59***				
LP	336	0.027	0.025	0.001	0.420	REG	.039	0.78	019	-1.00	.007	1.84*	.001	1.25
ні	336	3 348	0 178	3 135	3 6 5 3	Size	.997	1.97**	085	-2.05**	.024	1.66*	.015	3.40***
rpor	336	0.336	0.144	0.040	0.650		-3.36	-0.76	188	-0.62	153	-1.42	.075	2.38
	330	0.550	0.144	-0.040	0.050		-1.21	-1.39 2 /7**	.063	0.30	074	-1.15	002	-0.16
EG	336	99.57	6.308	93.00	111.0		57.9	2.47	- 262	-2.27	- 503	1.12	- 058	-1 15
ze	336	7.286	0.860	1.143	8.516		7.09	2.17**	202	-1.55)	3.28***	050	-1.15
IR	336	0.409	o.124	0.189	0.986	INF	22.5	3.08***	-1.26	-2.32**	029	-0.09	.083	1.08
DP	336	10.37	0.439	9.724	11.44	Constant	-20.5	-1.81*	5.29	2.66***	.096	0.22	205	-1.65*
AR	336	0.130	0.025	0.735	0.222	Country	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Q	336	0.235	0.098	0.0483	0.668	dummies	N /							
NF	336	0.013	0.017	-0.025	0.041	Year	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
• • • •						Observatio	3	36	33	36	33	36	33	36
mpirical Results					ns									
The findings from the two-step system GMM dynamic estimator are presented in						Wald-test	P-value =		P-value =		P-value =		P-value =	
ble 4. All lagged variables estimators are positive and significant, confirming						.000		.000		.000		.000		
rsistence in risk-taking and stability. Panel A of Table 4 shows that HHI (as a proxy						Hansen	P-valu	= .670	P-valu	= .227	P-valu	= .310	P-valu	= 1.00
competition) is positively associated with 7-score and pedatively associated with						AR(2)	P-valu	= .264	P-valu	= .895	P-valu	= .268	P-valu	= .178



كلبة الإدارة والإقتصاد College of Business and Economics

حافعة قطر QATAR UNIVERSITY

Table 4: