

Theoretical Background

Past effort on "de-biasing people":

- > Take an outsider's perspective
- Consider the opposite decision
- Motivational and training interventions
- > Shortcoming: focus on one bias at a time
- Goal: identify an intervention that can target multiple biases simultaneously

Gains vs. losses and decision-making:

- > People are more risk-seeking when choosing between losses
- Past research: options are gains/losses (or framed as such)
- This research: gain vs. loss as a contextual mindset that can affect decisions

Hypothesis:

➢ Gain mindset:

>People experiencing gains think intuitively and are more prone to decision-making biases

Loss mindset:

People experiencing losses think analytically and are less prone to decision-making biases

Potential Mechanism:

- > Experienced losses may induce:
- > Negative affect, making people more likely to engage in systematic processing
- Perceived resource scarcity, so people make decisions more carefully
- Perceived accountability, prompting more diligent information processing

A Loss Mindset Helps People Make More Rational Decisions: Evidence from the Default Bias, Sunk Cost Bias, and Outcome Bas Jack Xinhao Yu, Krishna Savani (Hong Kong Polytechnic University) Hayagreeva Rao (Stanford University)

Research Design Overview Participants: Full-time managers from **Gain-loss manipulation**: Prolific \succ The company's increasing or decreasing last quarter's financial performance Scenario: (gains vs. losses) > Participants assumed the role of board > Performance justified with specific members attending a board meeting reasons Review the company's financial performance & make a strategic decision A loss mindset reduces sunk cost bias A loss mindset reduces the default bias Method: Method: > Context: Investment of the last \$1 billion in research funds in building a radar-blank invested in either a high-risk stock or the plane; a competitor has developed a superior radar-blank plane among several investment options > Decision: Whether participants support investing the last \$1 billion to invest in for the new portfolio Sunk cost condition: had spent 90% of High-risk default: a large chunk of assets invested in a high-risk stock research funds on the project > Control condition: No investment in the Low-risk default: a large chunk of assets invested in the low-risk Treasury Bill project **Dependent measure:** The investment option **Dependent measure:** Whether participants support developing the radar-blank plane (low to high risk) participants finally chose Sunk cost No sunk cost High-risk default Low-risk default in Inves Project 9 2 9

Gain mindset Loss mindset p = .003, d = .61*p* < .001*, d* = 1.19

- Context: A substantial chunk of assets were low-risk Treasury Bill; the board is choosing
- Decision: Which option participants choose





A loss mindset reduces the outcome bias Method:

Context: An electric car caught fire possibly due to a battery fault or a customer's fault; CTO decides to not issue a recall to test the batteries

Decision: Whether to punish the CTO for not recalling all electric vehicles

Negative outcome: a new incident happened Positive outcome: no incidents happened

Dependent measure: Whether participants support punishing the CTO



Conclusion (Assumptions revisited)

A loss mindset substantially reduced the extent to which participants exhibited sunk cost bias, default bias, and outcome bias;

The effect size of each bias was approximately cut in half in the loss mindset condition, showing a loss mindset helps rational decision-making

Contact: jack-xinhao.yu@connect.polyu.hk Presented at SJDM 2023, San Francisco, US