A Comparison of Value of Statistical Life Estimates Derived from Revealed and Stated Preferences

13.0

Health

Background

Value of Statistical Life (VSL)

- Trade-offs to reduce the probability of death¹
- VSL estimates from labor contexts used to evaluate the benefits of non-labor policies
- May not be reasonable if people value risk differently by choice context
- VSL estimates vary widely by methodology
- From hedonic wage models:

 $\ln(wages_i^*) = \phi risk_i + X_{2i}\beta_2 + D_i\gamma + e_{21}$

- $\approx \frac{\hat{\phi}(\overline{wage}/hr)(hrs/wk)(wks worked/yr)}{unit of probability of death}$
- From contingent valuation/discrete choice modeling:

$$\approx \frac{\beta_{mort}}{\beta_{wage}}$$

Research Questions

- To what extent are people's **risk preferences** affected by **choice context**?
- To what extent do people have consistent revealed and stated risk preferences in the labor market?

Data Source: Data collected between June-Nov 2021 of a random sample (n=71) of working people living in the United States, balanced on gender

17.0 (GSnf) (g) 15.0 14.0 14.0

Revealed vs. Stated Preference VSL Models

Laho

Choice Context

	Dependent variable:	
	Wages OLS (1)	Job Choice multinomial logistic (2)
Ind Fatality Risk	-0.132	
Occ Fatality Risk	(0.969) 0.304	
Commute Time	(0.694) 0.211**	
	(0.101)	
Union Member	23.169*** (6.232)	
Int: Choice 1		1.667***
Int: Choice 2		(0.364) 1.834***
Int: Choice 3		1.668***
Wage		(0.364) 0.043*** (0.004)
Mortality Risk		-973.010***
Commute Time		-0.035***
Constant	16.810^{***} (3.495)	(0.005)
VSL (\$USD)	60,885,181	22,384
Observations	29	340
\mathbb{R}^2	0.417	0.397

Stated Preference VSL Estimates by Choice Context

Transportation

Preferences derived from Mixed Effects Multinomial Logit Models Within-Subjects ANOVA of person-level VSLs (N = 71, 2130 trials) revealed a main effect of choice context (F(2,140) = 4.17, p < .001)

• Confirmed with non-parametric analyses

Person-level VSL estimates for Stated

• Pairwise tests revealed significant differences in VSL across health-labor comparisons and health-transportation comparisons

RQ2

Results

RQ1

- Labor Revealed Preference VSL estimate (model 1) significantly larger (2700x) than Stated Preference VSL estimate (model 2)
 - Caveat: RP estimation limited by small sub-sample

Discussion

- Preliminary evidence suggests critical role of context in self-reported risk preferences
 - Cautions use of estimates derived in one context for guiding policy in another
- VSL estimates derived with distinct methodologies do not appear to converge in labor contexts
- Suggests methods not substitutable but may warrant joint consideration in policymaking

References

1. Schelling, 1968; 2. Bellante and Link, 1981; 3. Bonin et al., 2007; 4. Freeman et al., 2010; 5. Kim et al., 2015; 6. Viscusi, 1981; 7. Leigh and Folsum, 1984 & Cohen and Einav, 2007; 9. Blau and Kahn, 2016; 9. Hersch 1998; 10. Heckman, 1979;

