

Canada

# **Confidence from Uncertainty:**

# **Uncertain Decision Makers are More Confident in their Preferential Choices**

Rory M. Waisman, University of Alberta

Abstract	Hypotheses	Study 3	
How does incidental uncertainty influence decision confidence? Prior research showed that such uncertainty reduces confidence in decisions. Evidence from three experiments reveals that this effect vanishes when the decision is subjective in nature. Confidence in a subjective preferential choice is boosted by incidental	When making subjective preferential choice decisions, incidental uncertainty:	Participants ( <i>N</i> = 118) decided which of five Youtube videos to watch after being induced to be feel either uncertain or certain.	
uncertainty, as is post-consumption evaluation. I theorize that uncertain decision- makers think more systematically about a decision for which an external criterion	H1: Boosts decision confidence	Move your curser over any video to see a screen shot and the title of the video. Select the video that you will enjoy the most.	
of correctness exists, reducing the speed and fluency of decision making and attenuating confidence. In contrast, I propose that uncertain decision-makers	H2: Increases semantic clustering of generated thoughts	<ul> <li>Decision time</li> </ul>	
engage more structured thinking, generating thoughts more favorable to their	H3: Increases favorability of thoughts to the decision	<ul> <li>Decision Confidence</li> <li>Decision Difficulty</li> <li>Video 1</li> <li>Video 2</li> <li>Video 3</li> <li>Video 4</li> <li>Video 5</li> </ul>	
chosen alternative, which increases the speed and fluency of decision making and boosts the confidence with which they make their decision.	H4: Reduces decision making time	<ul> <li>Thought Protocol</li> <li>Semantic clustering</li> <li>Semantic clustering</li> </ul>	

Background



**Incidental Uncertainty:** A feeling of subjective uncertainty that it is "normatively irrelevant to present judgments and choices"<sup>1</sup>



### How does incidental

uncertainty influence

decision confidence?

**Prior Research:** 

Participants (N = 405) made a decision about renting an apartment after being induced to be feel either uncertain or certain.

**Apartment A: Functionally superior. Apartment B: Emotionally appealing** 

**DV: Decision Confidence** Additional Measures: • Thought protocol (reasons for decision) coded for objectivity-subjectivity • Classification of apartments.



#### Apartment A Apartment B

Certain Uncertain	6.2 6.1	T	
	U a		

bedroom

Older building

5.9

**b** 5.8

**.5**.7

**Deci** Deci

5.5

5.4











• Thought favourability

Post-consumption

5.2

**e** 4.8

**5** 4.6

**4**.2

3.8

0.5

De

recommendation













Reduces confidence in <u>objective</u> decisions by increasing systematic processing.<sup>2,3</sup>

#### **Current Research:**

Increases confidence in <u>subjective</u> decisions by increasing structure in thinking.<sup>4,5</sup>

#### **Decision Confidence:**

Assessment of the probability of objective correctness.<sup>6</sup> Belief in the validity of the decision.<sup>7</sup>

Degree of match between decision and preference.<sup>8</sup>

#### Affects behavior by:

Increasing decision and consumption satisfaction<sup>8</sup>, promoting willingness to recommend<sup>8</sup>, increases willingness to pay<sup>9</sup>, and boosting likelihood of purchase completion<sup>10</sup>

> **Cues from the decision-making** process drive confidence<sup>16</sup>

#### **Results:**

Participants making the objectively better decision in favor of the functionally superior apartment were less confident when they were uncertain ( $F_{1.402} = 5.62, p = .018$ ).

This congruency effect vanished among participants selecting the emotionally appealing alternative  $(F_{1.402} = 0.04, p = .837).$ 

2 (Incidental Uncertainty vs. Certainty)

2 (Subjective Painting Choice vs.

**Objective Reasoning Decision**)

N = 374

**DV1: Decision Confidence** 

DV2: Decision Difficulty

Study 2



Certain

• Difficulty of subjective decisions is not influenced by incidental uncertainty, implying the effect on confidence is not explained by systematic processing.

A novel theoretical insight: Incidental uncertainty



<u>Subjective</u> decisions require the generation of self-

referential thoughts that become more cohesive under

the influence of incidental uncertainty, leading to:

- Stronger associative connections
- o semantic clustering, fluency, speed
- Skewed distribution of thoughts
- less conflict, more favorable to decision



**Greater confidence in** the subjective painting decision under uncertainty.

This effect is not explained by a change in decision difficulty. • Decision difficulty greater for the reasoning problem under uncertainty • No evidence of difference in decision difficulty for the subjective decision as a function of uncertainty.

### increases cohesiveness of thoughts generated while making subjective decisions.

• Preliminary evidence for greater semantic clustering, thought favorability, and decision speed under conditions of uncertainty.

#### References

Han, S., Lerner, J. S., & Keltner, D. (2007). Feelings and consumer decision making: The appraisal-tendency framework. <i>Journal of Consumer Psychology, 17</i> (3), 158–168.	<ol> <li>Koriat, A., Lichtenstein, S., &amp; Fischhoff, B. (1980). Reasons for confidence. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human learning</i> and memory, 6(2), 107.</li> </ol>
Clore, G. L., & Parrott, W. G. (1994). Cognitive feelings and metacognitive judgments. <i>European Journal of Social Psychology</i> , 24(1), 101–115.	<ol> <li>Luttrell, A., Briñol, P., Petty, R. E., Cunningham, W., &amp; Díaz, D. (2013). Metacognitive confidence: A neuroscience approach. <i>Revista de Psicología Social</i>, 28(3), 317–332.</li> </ol>
Tiedens, L. Z., & Linton, S. (2001). Judgment under emotional certainty and uncertainty: the effects of specific emotions on information processing. <i>Journal of Personality and Social</i>	<ol> <li>Heitmann, M., Lehmann, D. R., &amp; Herrmann, A. (2007). Choice goal attainment and decision and consumption satisfaction. <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i>, 44(2), 234–250.</li> </ol>
<i>Psychology y, 81</i> (6), 973. Baas, M., De Dreu, C. K., & Nijstad, B. A. (2011). Creative production by angry people peaks early on, decreases over time,	<ol> <li>Thomas, M., &amp; Menon, G. (2007). When internal reference prices and price expectations diverge: The role of confidence. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Marketing Research</i>, 44(3), 401–409.</li> </ol>
and is relatively unstructured. <i>Journal of Experimental Social</i> <i>Psychology</i> , <i>47</i> (6), 1107–1115. Baas, M., De Dreu, C., & Nijstad, B. A. (2012). Emotions that associate with uncertainty lead to structured ideation. <i>Emotion</i> , <i>12</i> (5), 1004–1014.	<ol> <li>Huang, G. H., Korfiatis, N., &amp; Chang, C. T. (2018). Mobile shopping cart abandonment: The roles of conflicts, ambivalence, and hesitation. <i>Journal of Business Research</i>, 85, 165–174.</li> </ol>