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## I Introduction

How do people make risky choices when options represent probability information differently?

- This study investigates information search and probability weighting in risky choices between a described and an experienced option (i.e., in the mixed paradigm)


## Information search

- In choices between two experienced options, sample sizes tend to be small1,2
- In the mixed paradigm, people should draw larger samples of the experienced option to align the reliability of the probability information of both options
- Are sample sizes (per option) larger in the mixed paradigm than in the experience paradigm?


## Probability weighting

- Decision makers weight small probabilities differently in purely description- vs. experienced-based choices ${ }^{1-4}$
- Does this description-experience gap occur within choices between a described and an experienced option?
- If probabilities are weighted similarly across options in the mixed paradigm, how are they weighted?


## M Method

- $N=209$ participants made 103 choices between two options
- Representation format was manipulated across 3 between-subjects conditions:
- Description (two described options)
- Experience (two experienced options)
- Mixed
- Estimation of CPT parameters
- Separate parameters for each option (only mixed condition)
- Same parameters for both options

Mixed paradigm

Option A


## Option B



Choose Option B

R Results

Sample size (per option)


- People draw larger samples in the mixed paradigm than in the experience paradigm
- In the mixed paradigm, people weight small probabilities similarly across both options
- Probability weighting in the mixed paradigm lies between the weighting of description- and experience-based choices


## Conclusion <br> C

 ,Probability sensitivity $Y$
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