



Information search and probability weighting in risky choices between different presentation formats

Kevin Tiede & Wolfgang Gaissmaier University of Konstanz, Germany

Introduction

How do people make risky choices when options represent probability information differently?

Information search

- In choices between two experienced options, sample sizes tend to be small^{1,2}
- In the mixed paradigm, people should draw

Probability weighting

Decision makers weight small probabilities **differently** in purely description- vs. experienced-based choices¹⁻⁴

- This study investigates information search and probability weighting in risky choices between a described and an experienced option (i.e., in the **mixed paradigm**)
- larger samples of the experienced option to align the reliability of the probability information of both options
- Are sample sizes (per option) larger in mixed paradigm than in the the experience paradigm?
- Does this description-experience gap occur within choices between a described and an experienced option?
- If probabilities are weighted similarly across options in the mixed paradigm, how are they weighted?

Method M

- between two options
- Representation format was manipulated across 3 between-subjects conditions:



Conclusion

- People draw larger samples in the mixed paradigm than in the experience paradigm
- In the mixed paradigm, people weight small probabilities similarly across both options
- Probability weighting in the mixed paradigm lies between the weighting of description- and experience-based choices

- [1] Hertwig, R., Barron, G., Weber, E. U., & Erev, I. (2004). Decisions from experience and the effect of rare events in risky choice. *Psychological Science*, *15*(8), 534–539. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.0956-7976.2004.00715.x
- [2] Wulff, D. U., Mergenthaler-Canseco, M., & Hertwig, R. (2018). A meta-analytic review of two modes of learning and the description-experience gap. Psychological Bulletin, 144(2), 140-176. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000115
- [3] Glöckner, A., Hilbig, B. E., Henninger, F., & Fiedler, S. (2016). The reversed description-experience gap: Disentangling sources of presentation format effects in risky choice. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 145(4), 486–508. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040103
 - [4] Kellen, D., Pachur, T., & Hertwig, R. (2016). How (in)variant are subjective representations of described and experienced risk and rewards? Cognition, 157, 126–138. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cognition.2016.08.020

Contact

kevin.tiede@uni-konstanz.de Kevin Tiede