



Working Definition: Interactive agents (IAs) are modern technological tools that perform one or more tasks and interface with their users in a mutual and dynamic way. An IA may or may not have a physical form, and may or may not communicate using natural language.

**Examples**: Amazon Echo, Apple Siri, Roomba, Samsung Smart Fridge, etc.

# Are IAs "Human"?

# **Social Response Theory and Human-Machine Interaction**

- Users often perceive and respond to machines as "social actors" (Moon 2000)
- Computers possessing human-related characteristics (voice-mediated interaction, emotions, etc.) are especially likely to elicit social behaviors (Nass & Moon 2000)

# **Algorithm Aversion**

• Experts and laypeople generally resist using algorithms, even when their performance is superior (Dietvorst et al. 2015; Castelo, Bos, & Lehmann 2019)

# Anthropomorphism and Humanness

- Anthropomorphizing products can increase trust due to greater perceptions of mindfulness (Waytz, Heafner, & Epley 2014) and satisfy our social needs (Mourey, Olson & Yoon 2017)
- Ratings of physical, cognitive, and emotional "humanness" are associated with product adoption (Castelo 2019), liking, and perceptions of warmth (Bluvstein et al. 2019)
- The "uncanny valley": Overly human-like robots elicit unease and discomfort (Mori 1970), due to perceptions of experience rather than agency (Gray & Wegner 2012)

# Personality Perceptions of Nonhuman Entities

- As we do this to brands, attributing personality traits to technological artefacts is an unavoidable, natural process and becomes a "useful heuristic" in describing consumer behavior (Reeves & Naas 1996)
- Robot-user personality matching: extroverted user preferred an challenging robot, and introverted user preferred an praising robot (Tapus & Mataric, 2007)

# **Research Question**

**Do consumers ascribe personality traits to interactive agents?** If so, what is the structure of those traits?

# **EXPLORING THE DIMENSIONS OF INTERACTIVE AGENT PERSONALITY**



# Methods

### **Study 1A: Item Generation**

- 174 undergraduates read formal definition of IAs, then saw <u>eight specific examples</u>
- Asked to write down <u>all the trait adjectives that came to mind</u> for each example
- Resulted in 634 unique items; reduced to 128 items that appeared more than four times
- Addition of 60 items from common personality scales (human, brand, animal) resulted in a <u>comprehensive list of 188 potential traits</u>

### Study 1B: Item Purification

- 160 Mturk subjects <u>rated the applicability of each trait</u> to IAs in general (1-7 scale)
- Based on distribution of means, cutoff point of 3.5 was chosen, resulted in <u>128 traits</u> retained for further examination



### Amazon Echo

Amazon Echo is a smart speaker that connects to the voice-mediated assistant, Roomba is a robot vacuum cleaner. Using its onboard navigation sensors, "Alexa". Features of this device includes: voice interaction, music playback, Roomba travels across and cleans the floors within a home. Roomba can move creation of to-do lists, setting alarms, streaming podcasts, providing weather, around obstacles, detect dirt levels, and adapt to user preferences over time. traffic, and real-time information, and controlling other home smart devices.

# Two Factor Model of IA Personality

# TWO-FACTOR MODEL OF IA PERSONALITY

Name	Dimension	Variance Explained	Facet Name	Traits
Friendly	1	46.18%	Genial	Spirited, Charming, Easy-going, Encouraging
			Funny	Funny, Talkative, Sassy
			Playful	Fun, Playful
			Creative	Imaginative, Creative
Reliable	2	11.44%	Attentive	Attentive, Consistent, Timely, Cooperative
			Efficient	Efficient, Effective, Reliable, Practical
			Fast	Accessible, Fast, Up-to-date

# Study 2: Identification of Personality Dimensions

- 123 undergraduates presented with two IAs from a set of six (randomly chosen)
- Rated the extent to which each IA is described by each of the 128 traits
- Resulting correlation matrix was reduced using PCA with varimax rotation
- Subsequent clustering procedure (Nunnally 1978) yielded seven underlying facets



Roomba by iRobot

• Based on eigenvalues, scree plot, and parallel analysis, a <u>two-factor model</u> was selected

# Discussion

### **Conclusions and Implications**

### **Future Research**

### Antecedents

- Type of task - Language use

- Physicality

# Selected References

*Research*, 0022243719851788.

*Consumer Research, 26*(4), 323-339.

Na Kyong (Kimberly) Hyun\*, Samuel Bond Scheller College of Business Georgia Institute of Technology

• Consumers humanize interactive agents by ascribing stable personality traits • We offer a parsimonious instrument to capture IA personality structure • Our instrument is conceptually and empirically distinguishable from others including: warmth and competence (Fiske et al., 2002), human personality (Costa & McCrae, 1992; Goldberg, 1992), brand personality (Aaker, 1997)

• Examine scale stability, validity, and reliability of the facets and trait measures • How are IA traits "constructed" from brand and product characteristics, consumer-level variables, marketing activities, etc.?

• How do IA traits influence specific consumer responses (willingness to share information, performance expectations, trust in IA products, consumer-IA relationship, etc.)?



- Castelo, N., Bos, M. W., & Lehmann, D. R. (2019). Task-Dependent Algorithm Aversion. *Journal of Marketing*
- Dietvorst, B. J., Simmons, J. P., & Massey, C. (2015). Algorithm aversion: People erroneously avoid algorithms after seeing them err. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 144(1), 114.
- Nass, C., Moon, Y., & Green, N. (1997). Are machines gender neutral? Gender-stereotypic responses to computers with voices. Journal of applied social psychology, 27(10), 864-876.
- Moon, Y. (2000). Intimate exchanges: Using computers to elicit self-disclosure from consumers. Journal of
- Mori, M. (1970). Bukimi no tani [the uncanny valley]. Energy, 7, 33-35.
- Waytz, A., Heafner, J., & Epley, N. (2014). The mind in the machine: Anthropomorphism increases trust in an autonomous vehicle. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 52, 113-117.