# The (mis)Measurement of Group Differences: The Case of Pain-of-Payment

Rumela Sengupta (Doctoral Student, University of Illinois at Chicago) Samuel Bond (Associate Professor, Georgia Tech) Iman Paul (Doctoral Student, Georgia Tech)

#### Abstract

For constructs that are unobservable and perceptual, group differences on conventional measurement scales may not represent "true" differences, but rather differences in scale

# Methodology

- Design (n = 165)
  - 2 x 2, between-subjects
    - Gender: *male* vs. *female*
  - Scale format: *conventional* vs. *sensory*

#### Discussion

Faced with an identical transaction, women and men reported similar pain-of-payment on a <u>conventional</u> measure, but women reported more pain-of-payment on a <u>sensory-specific</u> measure.

interpretation. To illustrate the problem and potential solutions, we explored gender differences in "pain-ofpayment." Participants simulated paying for a speeding ticket, then reported pain-of-payment using either a conventional or sensory-specific (brightness) scale format. Results revealed no gender difference on the conventional scale, but a sizeable difference on the sensory scale. The mismeasurement issue we identify has broad implications for JDM research.

## Introduction

- **Current Practice**: Group differences in perceptual variables are measured by use of conventional rating scales (categorical, verbal).
- $\succ$  e.g., Do women experience more intense pain than men?

#### Scenario (speeding ticket):

- "...You were driving home from a friend's house when you were pulled over by the police and ticketed for speeding, with a fine of \$180...."
- "....Upon returning home, you decided to pay off the ticket immediately, using a check..."

	1936
YOUR NAME	DATE
PAY TO THE DRDER OF	\$
	DOLLARS O
OR	
«000000186« 000000529«	1936

#### **DV and Scale Manipulation**:

- "Please indicate how painful it was to pay \$180 for the speeding ticket."
  - Conventional scale: verbal, 9-point scale (1="somewhat painful," 9="extremely painful")

- Consistent with past evidence that women "underreport" physical pain
- Pain-of-payment researchers should be sensitive to this under-reporting.
- Cross-modality measurement represents a practical, portable solution to problems in group comparison • Takes advantage of our innate ability to match intensities across different sensory modalities
- Moving forward, we plan to explore other domains where group differences are a primary focus:
- Risk perception?
- Emotions?
- Utility?
- Satisfaction?
- ETC.





**Assumption**: Intensity descriptors (like "severe" and "worst") are interpreted by both groups to mean the same absolute perceived intensities.



**Problem**: The assumption may be wrong! If so, group comparisons may not be measuring "true" differences, but rather systematic differences in scale interpretation across groups.

Sensory (brightness) scale: "Paler colors represent less pain, and brighter colors represent greater pain."





## References

- Bartoshuk, L. M., Fast, K., & Snyder, D. (2005). Differences in our sensory worlds: Invalid comparisons with labeled scales. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14, 122–125.
- Bartoshuk, L. (2014). The measurement of pleasure and pain. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 9(1), 91-93.
- Mazar, N., Plassmann, H., Robitaille, N., & Lindner, A. (2016). Pain of paying?—A metaphor gone literal: Evidence from neural and behavioral science.
- Stevens, J. C. (1959). Cross-modality validation of subjective scales for loudness, vibration, and electric shock. Journal of



- **Solution**: Utilize a cross-modal, sensory-specific scale whose perceived interpretation will not be subject to systematic group differences.
- $\succ$  e.g., "worst pain"  $\rightarrow$  "brightest light," "loudest noise," etc.
- No main effect of scale format
- Main effect of gender (p < .05), qualified by a gender\*scale interaction (p < .05)
  - **Conventional scale**: Pain-of-payment did not reliably differ for men vs. women (M = .02 vs. -.02, p > 0.05).
  - **Sensory scale**: Pain-of-payment was <u>reliably greater for</u> <u>women</u> vs. men (M = .47 vs. -.32, p < .01)

Experimental Psychology, 57, 201–209.

Zellermayer, O. (1996). The pain of paying. unpublished dissertation, Department of Social and Decision Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA.

**Contact Information** 

• We welcome your questions, comments, and (hopefully) ideas for improvement!

Rumela Sengupta, <u>rsengu4@uic.edu</u> Iman Paul, iman.paul@scheller.gatech.edu