





Effects of attentional selection on preference choices for consumer products

Nadiia Makarina^{1,2}, Ronald Hübner^{1,2}, Sebastian Dummel³, and Arnd Florack⁴

¹Department of Psychology, University of Konstanz, Germany ²Graduate School of Decision Sciences, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany ³Department of Psychology, University of Cologne, Germany ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Vienna, Austria

E-Mail: Nadiia.Makarina@uni-konstanz.de

Abstract

It is usually assumed that consumers base their choice between products on the expected benefit provided by the products. However, there is evidence that the mere selection of a product also increases the preference for this product. In a study by Janiszewski, Kuo, and Tavassoli (2013), participants had to select targets in a visual search task. As a result, in a subsequent preference choice task former targets were preferred to former non-targets. However, for detecting the relatively small increase in preference, they paired unknown products so that originally there was no difference in value. In the present study, we tried to generalize the mere selection effect to known products. Equal-value pairs for the preference choice task were constructed based on preference ratings obtained in an independent preliminary study. In two experiments with this method, we replicated the mere selection effect. Moreover, we also provide evidence that selected products can increase in value.

How do we choose a product?

- Products are valued for the benefits they provide and chosen accordingly (Brosch & Sander, 2013)
- We choose what we prefer, but also prefer what we chose: chosen items increase in value compared to the non-chosen ones (Coppin et al., 2014; Izuma et al., 2010)

Choice biased by attention (Wedel & Pieters, 2008; Krajbich et al., 2011; Banovic, 2014):

- items that are attended more are more likely to be chosen
- items fixated on last are chosen more often
- value of an item determines how strongly it attracts attention, which in turn influences the choice probability

Attention is affected by value

(Dummel & Hübner, 2017):

The influence of selective attention on choice

"Repeatedly allocating attention to



Methods and Material



a product (selective attention) and away from other products (inattention) subsequently influences choices between these products and competing products." (p. 1258) (Janiszewski, Kuo, and Tavassoli, 2013)

Mere selection effect – increased preference for selected target items

Visual Search Task

Locate the sweet item and click the respective mouse button!

Locate the savory item and click the respective mouse button!



Conclusions

Results

Choice proportions in the preference-choice task

Liking ratings of the products



Preference Choice Task

Between two items choose the one you prefer more!



Generalization of the mere selection effect: the effect is found even for well-known products, for which participants already had prior preferences

- Relatively subtle preference unrelated manipulation affects existing preferences
- Attention and selection had an effect on values of the snacks (i.e., liking rating)
- Mere selection effect does not increase when choice is made under time pressure

References: Banovic, M. (2014). Eye of the beholder: Visual search, attention and product choice. In *I See You, You See Me: Inferring Cognitive and Emotional Processes From Gazing Behaviour* (pp. 40-61): Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing. Coppin, G., Delplanque, S., Bernard, C., Cekic, S., Porcherot, C., Cayeux, I., & Sander, D. (2014). Choice both affects and reflects preferences. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 67*(7), 1415-1427. Dummel, S., & Hübner, R. (2017). Too tasty to be ignored: How individual food preferences affect selective attention. *Experimental Psychology, 64*(5), 338-345. Izuma, K., Matsumoto, M., Murayama, K., Samejima, K., Sadato, N., & Matsumoto, K. (2010). Neural correlates of cognitive dissonance and choice-induced preference change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107*(51), 22014-22019. Janiszewski, C., Kuo, A., & Tavassoli, N. T. (2013). The influence of selective attention and inattention to products on subsequent choice. *Journal of Consumer Research, 39*(6), 1258-1274. doi:10.1086/668234 Krajbich, I., & Rangel, A. (2011). Multialternative drift-diffusion model predicts the relationship between visual fixations and choice in value-based decisions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108*(33), 13852-13857. Wedel, M., & Pieters, R. (2008). A review of eye-tracking research in marketing. In *Review of marketing research* (pp. 123-147): Emerald Group Publishing Limited.