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## The Sign Effect

- People discount negative future events less than positive future events (Hardisty \& Weber, 2009; Thaler, 1981)

$\left.$| Discounting <br> of negative <br> events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |$<$| Discounting |
| :---: |
| of positive |
| events | \right\rvert\,

Possible mechanism:

- Dread stronger than positive anticipation (Hardisty, Frederick, \& Weber, 2015)

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Future <br> negative <br> event |  |
| Future <br> positive <br> event | $\longrightarrow$Strong <br> feelings <br> of dread |
| High <br> perceived <br> importance <br> (less discounting) |  |
| Weaker <br> positive <br> anticipation$\longrightarrow$Lower <br> perceived <br> importance <br> (more discounting) |  |

## The Question

- What about past events?



## - Discounting:

The sign effect will be attenuated (possibly reversed) for past events (cf. Yi, Gatchalian, \& Bickel, 2006) $>$ Negative events discounted less than positive events in future, but not in past.

## - Process:

> Dread stronger than positive anticipation for future events
> Memory for past negative events not necessarily stronger than memory for past positive events

## The Hypothetical Scenarios (Studies 1a and 1b)

Participants: $N=184$ (Study 1a) $N=186$ (Study 1b); Amazon MTurk
Design and procedure:
-2 (event valence: positive/negative) $\times 2$ (event timing: past/future) within-subjects titration discounting task - Positive and negative events equated via pretesting for subjective present value

- For hedonic stimuli, excluded subjects who did not report personal view of event in line with intended valence


## Study 1a: monetary stimuli

Positive event: Receive \$10
Negative event: Lose \$5

Time interval:
1 year
Study 1b: hedonic stimuli
Positive event: Receive pleasant 1 hour massage
Negative event: Receive unpleasant 1 minute electric shock

## Process measure:

- Anticipation/Memory:
"How psychologically pleasurable or displeasurable would the [anticipation/memory] [of event] be? In other words, how would you feel while [waiting for/remembering] it?"


MONETARY: Time x valence $F(1,183)=5.54, p=.02, \eta_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}=.03$ HEDONIC: Time $x$ valence $F(1,176)=8.13, p=.005, \eta_{p}^{2}=.04$


MONETARY : Time x valence $F(1,183)=126.21, p<.001, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}=.41$ HEDONIC: Time $x$ valence $F(1,176)=131.84, p<.001, \eta_{p}{ }^{2}=.43$

The Mediation Model (for Study 1b)


Mediated Moderation (cf. Muller, Judd, \& Yzerbyt, 2005)
Anticipation of future negative events

Stronger anticipation (dread)

Less discounting

## The Beans (Study 2)

- Does the same process occur for actually experienced events?

Participants: $N=104$ community members
2 (positive or negative flavored jelly beans) x 3 (past/present/future rating) The flavors: mixed design


| Positive | Negative |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cotton Candy | Earthworm |
| Watermelon | Dirt |
| Orange <br> Sherbert | Booger |
|  | Sausage |

Strength of anticipation/memory controlling for present experience

## The Conclusions

- Sign effect occurs for future, but not past events
- Because:
$>$ Dread of future events is stronger than positive anticipation
$>$ Memory is NOT stronger for negative (vs.positive) past events

Limitations/Future directions:

- Positive jelly beans (study 2) rated higher overall than negative jelly beans.
- Next jelly bean study with better-matched beans and exploring longer time intervals (1 week)
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