

Newsletter

http://www.sjdm.org Volume 26, Number 3 September 2007

Contents

2007 Executive Board	1
President's Column	3
Conferences	5
Haiku	8
Jobs	9
Announcements	
Contributed Essays	20
Online Resources	
Note from the Editor	23
2007 SJDM Advance Meeting Registration and Annual Dues Form	24
2007 SJDM Conference Preliminary Program & Info	
Society for Judgment and Decision Making: The 2007 28 th Annual Conference	

2007 Executive Board

Jonathan Baron, baron at psych.upenn.edu, President
Michael Birnbaum, mbirnbaum at exchange.fullerton.edu, President-Elect
Bud Fennema, bfennema at cob.fsu.edu, Secretary-Treasurer
Terry Connolly, connolly at email.arizona.edu, Elected member, 2004-2007
Craig Fox, craig.fox at anderson.ucla.edu, Elected member, 2005-2008
Barbara Mellers, mellers at haas.berkeley.edu, Elected member 2006-2009
Alan Schwartz, alansz at sjdm.org, Webmaster
Julie Downs, downs at andrew.cmu.edu, Conference Coordinator
George Wu, wu at chicagogsb.edu, 2007 Program Committee Chair
Dan Goldstein, dgoldstein at london.edu, Newsletter Editor (2007-)

JDM Newsletter Editor

(Submissions & Advertisements)
Dan Goldstein
London Business School
Regent's Park, Sussex Place
London, NW1 4SA
United Kingdom
Voice: +44 (0)20 7000 8611, Fax: +44 (0)20 7000 8601
dgoldstein at london.edu

Secretary/Treasurer

SJDM c/o Bud Fennema College of Business, P.O. Box 3061110 Florida State University Tallahassee, FL 32306-1110

Voice: (850)644-8231, Fax: (850)644-8234

bfennema at cob.fsu.edu

The SJDM Newsletter, published electronically four times a year (March, June, September, and December), welcomes short submissions and book reviews from individuals and groups. Essays should: i) be less than 400 words, ii) use inline citations and no reference list, iii) not include a bio (a URL or email is ok). If you are interested in reviewing books and related materials, please email Dan Goldstein. The best way to send your contribution is via email, or as an MS Word email attachment.

Advertising Rates: Advertising can be submitted to the editor. Inclusion of the ad and the space given to the ad is at the editor's discretion. The current charge is \$200 per page (\$100 per 1/2 page). Contact Dan Goldstein for details.

Address Corrections: Please keep your mailing and/or email address current. Address changes or corrections should be sent Bud Fennema. Reports of problems in receiving or opening the pdf file should be sent to the editor.

Society membership: Requests for information concerning membership in the Society for Judgment and Decision Making should be sent to Bud Fennema.

President's Column

More attention to developmental theory

By Jonathan Baron

My interest in JDM grew out of my interest in the development of moral judgment. An admirer of Lawrence Kohlberg, I attended his workshop on how to score responses to moral dilemmas (although I never took the final exam). Kohlberg was a developmental theorist in the tradition of Jean Piaget. This tradition survives, despite all the criticism that Piaget's work has endured. For example, Deanna Kuhn carries it on, in a much improved form, in the study of reasoning about science, public policy and law. Kohlberg's students, grandstudents, and heirs also continuing to make progress on such issues is the distinction between morality and convention.

The link between Piaget's work (much of it with Inhelder) and JDM is outwardly straightforward. Piaget documented dozens (hundreds?) of what we might call biases in children. They were neat little tricks, just like Wason's logic problems, or many of Kahneman and Tversky's early findings on judgments of probability. For example, if you line up a row of 8 pennies and 8 dimes so that they correspond one to one, a young child will say that they have the same number. If you spread out the dimes, the child will say that there are more dimes. Young children do not "conserve number" over the transformation of lengthening.

Piaget had a stage theory about how this happened and how it changed over the course of development. Later theorists such as Kohlberg argued that adults did not always develop through all the stages. Adult biases could be seen as symptoms of incomplete development.

The theory itself was stated in somewhat inscrutable language. It sounded deep. Kohlberg made it a little clearer. He spoke of differentiation and integration as developmental processes. These ideas were in the air elsewhere. (They are, for example, the basis of the "integrative complexity" measures used by Phil Tetlock and others.) I never understood "integration." But differentiation (I think) is just the making of distinctions, like that between convention and morality, or length and number. When you distinguish length and number, you can respond differently to questions about length and questions about number. In the domain of JDM biases, people sometimes pay attention to probability ratios when differences are relevant, just as they attend to length when number is relevant. This is at least roughly what Kahneman and Frederick have called "attribute substitution."

But the part of the theory that I think is somewhat neglected is the (mushy, inscrutable) idea of development itself. I think what it means is that adult concepts arise through an inevitable interaction between children and their environment. They are not in the head at birth, except insofar as they are inevitable, given a "normal" human environment and normal human intelligence. What is in the head at birth might be much simpler. It might involve a few very general learning mechanisms. (This is not to say that "modules" do not exist at all, just that they may not be needed to explain a lot of cognitive development.)

To take a simple example, consider the "omission bias" that I have studied with Ritov and others. This might not be in the head of a newborn. It may well arise when children experience moral censure, or when they see others censured. Most censure is for acts, because acts are more detectable than omissions. The problem is that many of the harmful, intended omissions are not detected by parents and others.

Some children figure out the PURPOSE of moral censure, and apply it to omissions as well. Others take things more at face value. (We find wide individual differences in omission bias.) Or, as Arkes and Ayton suggested (1999), the sunk-cost effect might arise as an overgeneralization of a learned rule against waste, so that it first increases (as the rule is learned) and then declines (as the distinction between past and future is understood) with development.

More sophisticated developmental theories often postulate stages, each one characterizing a way of looking at some domain. A complete stage theory will explain how each intermediate stage is unstable in ways that might lead to transition to the next stage. For example, Kohlberg's stage 4 confounds morality with conformity a socially endorsed moral code, often based on law or religion. Stage 4 is unstable because it cannot resolve conflicts between different codes. This instability leads to a search for deeper moral principles such as utilitarianism (which Kolhberg initially put at stage 5 out of 6).

Researchers in JDM are constantly asked for, and ask themselves for, deeper theories about where things come from. Many turn to evolutionary theory to provide answers (an approach consistent with renewed interest in the brain, which is thought to be the relevant organ). Developmental theory is an alternative to evolutionary theory. Both theories are about adaptation. Developmental theory is about adaption within the life span. Ultimately, developmental theory and evolutionary theory could work together, if the latter can explain the capacity for development.

The fact that developmental theory is much more easily tested should not count in its favor. If we lose something in the dark, a search where the light is better will not help to find it. But the potential of developmental theory to explain heuristics and biases should lead to more research than is now being done.

Some is being done. See, for example, the three citations below, which review some relevant research. But note that research on children and adolescents is not the same as research based on developmental theory. True developmental theory, in some very inscrutable way, is about the processes of development, not simply about the judgments of children, cute as they may be. The problem I see is that the rest of us have tended to neglect this very useful approach to explanation.

Arkes, H. R., & Ayton, P. (1999). The sunk cost and Concorde effects: Are humans less rational than lower animals? Psychological Bulletin, 125, 591-600.

Jacobs, J. E., & Klaczynski, P. A. (2005). The development of judgment and decision making in children and adolescents. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Reyna, V. F. & Farley, F. (2006) Risk and rationality in adolescent decision making: Implications for theory, practice, and public policy. Psychological Science in the Public Interest 7 (1), 1–44.

Conferences

Our own Society for Judgment and Decision Making conference takes place November 16-19, 2007 in Long Beach, California. See the end of this newsletter for information and a preliminary program.
The 3rd Annual Judgment and Decision Making Pre-Conference at the meeting of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology (SPSP) will be held February 7, 2008 in Albuquerque, NM.
The JDM preconference highlights the emerging nexus of social-personality, judgment, and decision making research. The program consists of invited addresses and a poster session.
Poster presentation submissions are now being accepted. For further information, please visit our web site: http://www.socialthinking.org/jdm.html
This year's JDM Pre-Conference organizers are happy to field further questions: Ayelet Fishbach, University of Chicago; Peter McGraw, University of Colorado; Neal Roese, University of Illinois; Kelly See, New York University
We are pleased to announce that the 13th FUR conference will be held on July 2-5, 2008 at IESE Business School, University of Navarra, in Barcelona. See http://www.iese.edu/furXIII for details and updates.
FUR XIII is the latest in the series of International FUR conferences. FUR is an acronym for The Foundations and Applications of Risk, Utility and Decision Theory. The first FUR conference was held in Oslo in 1982 and was founded by Maurice Allais and Ole Hagen. Since then they have been held in Venice (1984), Aix-en-Provence (1986), Budapest (1988), Duke (1990), Paris (1992), Oslo (1994), Mons (1997), Marrakech (1999), Torino (2001), Paris-Cachan (2004), and Rome (2006).
FUR is truly interdisciplinary, reflected by the fact that its Scientific Committee includes experts in the Decision Sciences from Economics, Management Science, Psychology, Finance, Mathematics, Philosophy, Computer Science and Statistics. The preliminary deadline for submission of papers is April 15, 2008. Accepted papers will be notified by May 10th.

29th Society for Medical Decision-Making Annual Meeting: October 20-24, 2007 Pittsburgh, PA Sheraton Station Square Hotel. Please join us for the Annual Meeting of the Society for Medical Decision Making. This year's theme is "Exploring the Science of Decisions" and will feature:

Special Symposia. National and international speakers will describe recent advances and important issues in the use analytic methods in policy, the direction of therapies through genetic information, and the growth of personalized medicine

Keynote Address. Malcolm Gladwell, author of The Tipping Point: How Little Things Make a Big Difference and Blink: The Power of Thinking Without Thinking, will discuss the use of decision aids in professional sports for judging and selecting players as a counterpoint to complex decision making in health care. Come hear one of 2005 Time Magazine's "100 Most Influential People."

Outstanding Short Courses Including: Mathematical Modeling of Infectious Diseases; Case Study in Policy: How Cost-Effectiveness is Used in Immunization Policy; Building Bayesian Decision Support Systems; Improving Patient Decision Making; Microsoft Excel: Skills for Modeling and Efficiency; Knowledge Management in Health Care Organizations, Challenges, and Promises; How to Successfully Obtain a Career Development Grant

Social Event. Join new and old friends at one of the best baseball stadiums in the country, PNC Park. Good food, drink and a special sports symposium, "Decision Making in Sports: Violations in Expected Utility and Everything Else."

Oral and Poster Presentations. Participate in the renowned SMDM poster sessions, offering stimulating and rigorous one-on-one discussions with current junior and senior investigators, and listen to the oral presentation of some of the best research the Society has to offer. More information and registration materials can be found at www.smdm.org

Jie Weiss and Michael Birnbaum invite you to beautiful Fullerton, CA for the 46th Annual Edwards Bayesian Conference. The name of the Conference honors the founder, Ward Edwards, who passed away in 2005.

But the Conference is still run very much the way Ward did it: conversational presentation style, lots of time for discussion, lavish hospitality, and no parallel sessions. We also follow Ward's tradition that the Conference is much more open than its name would suggest. Presentations are accepted if Ward would have been interested in them. That intentionally vague description opens the door to topics in judgment, decision analysis, and even some statistics. Ward wanted the talks to describe new rather than previously published work, so that he could feel as though he was participating in the baking process. The dates for the Conference are January 9-11, 2008, with the talks scheduled for Thursday and Friday. We maintain a low registration fee (\$60) by having the Conference take place on campus between academic sessions.

Talks will be held on the campus of California State University, Fullerton.

There will be a pre-Conference reception at the Chase Suites Wednesday evening, January 9, and a post-Conference party at the nearby home of Jie and David Weiss Friday evening, January 11. We hope that you can arrange your schedule to include these extra-curricular events. Ward often said that what comes through the alcohol-induced fog might prove even more valuable than the talks.

Much more information is available on the Conference website

http://psych.fullerton.edu/mbirnbaum/bayes/

Next April 26 (Saturday), the one day Oklahoma-Kansas Judgment and Decision Making conference will be held at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center in Oklahoma City. If this is a convenient trip for you, you are invited! The conference gives an opportunity for researchers, theoretical and applied, to share their work, and for students to get to know others in the field. Please email Rob Hamm (robert-hamm at ouhsc.edu) to be put on the list for email invitations. (Initial invitation in early 2008: how to submit presentations; later invitation: how to attend).

We are pleased to announce that the 11th biennial Behavioral Decision Research in Management conference will be held April 24-26, 2008 at the Rady School of Management, University of California, San Diego. The call for papers will come in Fall 2007 and submissions will be due around the end of the year. More information and future updates available at www.bdrm.org.

The 2008 Marketing and Public Policy Conference (MPPC) will be held in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania at the Loews Hotel with easy access to attractions including Independence Hall and the Liberty Bell, the Constitution Center and the Franklin Institute. The conference co-chairs (Ron Hill, Ray Taylor and John Kozup) are seeking submissions of abstracts, completed papers, and special session proposals dealing with cutting-edge issues of continuing and current concern that are at the intersection of public policy and marketing. A diversity of theoretical perspectives and methodological approaches are welcome, with an emphasis on how they inform the various stages of policy development from initial inception through evaluation. Papers addressing any topic related to policy issues associated with marketing will be welcomed. Please electronically submit your abstract, completed paper or special session proposal to: MPPC2008@villanova.edu

General conference information is available at http://www.villanova.edu/business/excellence/marketing/

For an in-depth view of topics appropriate for the conference, refer to past issues of the Journal of Public Policy & Marketing. (http://www.marketingpower.com/content1056.php) Submissions for competitive papers, special sessions, and working papers/extended abstracts must be received no later than Friday, November 16, 2007. Notification of acceptance in one of these three categories will be made by Friday, January 25, 2008.

The 2007 North American Conference of the Association for Consumer Research will be held at the elegantly refurbished Peabody Hotel in Memphis, Tennessee, from Thursday October 25 through Sunday October 28, 2007.

As in past years, the conference will provide a forum for scholarly presentations, discussions, and collaborations on consumer behavior. There will be six main forums for the presentation and discussion of research and scholarly thought. In addition to the five tracks to which submissions are sought, this

year's conference will feature a new forum called "Epistemic Sessions." A detailed document announcing additional conference highlights is now available on the conference website: http://www.acrweb.org/acr

Eric Johnson and Donna Hoffman are co-organizing a Special Pre-Conference on Online Consumer Behavior to be held in conjunction with the 2007 Association for Consumer Research Annual North American Conference. The Special Pre-Conference will be held on Thursday, October 25, 2007, in advance of ACR.

It has been about 10 years since the first research about consumer behavior in online environments was originally published. What have we learned in that time? What frameworks, theories and facts have emerged? What new questions need to be explored? The Center for Excellence in E-Business at Columbia Business School and the Sloan Center for Internet Retailing at the University of California, Riverside are jointly sponsoring a pre-conference, in conjunction with the 2007 Association for Consumer Research Annual North American Conference, to address these questions. The goals of this pre-conference are to (1) summarize existing knowledge (2) present state-of-the-art results, methods and concepts and (3) hopefully set exciting directions for future research.

Program Committee:

Randy Bucklin (UCLA), John Deighton (Harvard), Kristin Diehl (USC), Andrew Gershoff (Michigan), Dan Goldstein (LBS), Gerald Häubl (Alberta), John Lynch (Duke), Wendy Moe (Maryland), Jaideep Sengupta (HKUST), Venky Shankar (Texas A&M)

Registration Details: Deadline is October 1, 2007 to register for the conference. The registration fee is \$150.00 and includes breakfast, lunch, all breaks and attendance at the pre-conference. Attendees must register through http://webpay.ucr.edu/ Conference attendance will be limited to 100 attendees, so please be sure to register well in advance of the deadline.

A limited number of hotel rooms have been set aside at the ACR rate of \$174.00 plus tax for the preconference. Please contact the hotel directly to book your room. The Peabody Memphis www.peabodymemphis.com 149 Union Avenue, Memphis, TN 901-529-4000; 1-800-732-2639 Conference Code: 286824

Haiku

My Young Daughter's Dressing Rituals and BDT by James O'Brien

The small girl ponders Array of clothes on her bed No function guides her

Jobs

The Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University seeks to appoint exceptional scholars to tenure-track positions at the nexus of psychology, public policy and public leadership. We especially seek individuals with research interests related to public policy and public leadership from the fields of social psychology, organizational behavior, personality psychology, behavioral decision research, sociology, and/or combinations thereof.

The Kennedy School has growing programs in judgment and decision making, broadly defined, with strength in such topics as emotional influences, negotiations, risk perception, resource allocation, trust, and group perception. For example, the Kennedy School has recently approved a field of interdisciplinary doctoral study in judgment and decision making, which draws primarily on faculty strengths in behavioral economics, organizational behavior, and psychology. The Kennedy School also has a new, state-of-the-art laboratory dedicated to supporting research in these areas. It includes, among other features, capability to collect biological measures as well as behavioral and cognitive measures.

Research and teaching excellence are the highest priorities for the position. Also important is enthusiasm for working in an environment that emphasizes the marriage of discipline-based scholarship and applied, public-policy scholarship. Applicants for the position should also be committed to teaching professionally-oriented students, including middle-level and senior executives, in ways that will help them perform well in professional roles.

Interested candidates should mail their applications to Professor Jennifer S. Lerner, Faculty Search Committee, Kennedy School of Government, 79 JFK Street, Cambridge, MA 02138 no later than November 1. Review of applicants will begin as soon as they are received, and early applications are encouraged. Applications should include a current curriculum vita, representative publications, and the names of those who can provide references. Qualified women and members of minority groups are encouraged to apply. Harvard University is an Affirmative Action/Equal opportunity employer.

The University of Oregon Psychology Department is searching for a tenure-track Assistant/Associate Professor in the area of Affective/Social Neuroscience. Demonstrated research and teaching abilities in social/personality psychology and expertise in neuroscience methods, especially fMRI, are expected. The successful candidate will help build bridges between the social/personality and cognitive/neuroscience areas in the department and will be actively engaged in the collaborative research group at the University's Lewis Center for Neuroimaging. Ph.D. by Fall 2008 required. Applicants should have three letters of recommendation sent and should mail a curriculum vitae, a 3-page statement on research and teaching interests, and selected manuscripts to: Affective/Social Neuroscience Search, Department of Psychology, 1227 University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, 97403-1227. To be assured of full review, applications should be received by October 15, 2007. Position will be open until filled. The University of Oregon is an EO/AA/ADA institution committed to cultural diversity. We seek candidates who share our commitment to diversity and can work effectively with students, faculty, and staff from diverse backgrounds.

The Psychology Department at Ohio University invites applications for a tenure-track position in Cognitive Psychology. The successful candidate will be expected to maintain an active, high-quality, fundable research program and will also be expected to participate in the department's training program in quantitative methods. Preference will be given to candidates whose research entails mathematical modeling of cognitive processes and who can interact with other members of our Social Judgment and Behavioral Decision Making group. Rank is at the assistant professor level. Applications must be made online at www.ohiouniversityjobs.com/applicants/Central?quickFind=53184

Candidates for this position should submit a letter of application, curriculum vitae, three letters of recommendation, copies of recent publications, a statement of research interests, and, for candidates with teaching experience, evidence of teaching effectiveness. Any materials that cannot be attached as part of the online application should be sent to Claudia González Vallejo, Chair SJBDM Search Committee, Department of Psychology, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701- 2979; 740-593-1095; gonzalez@ohio.edu. Review of complete applications will begin October 1, 2007, and will continue until the position is filled. We strongly encourage applications from minority and women candidates. We seek a candidate with a commitment in working effectively with students, faculty and staff from diverse backgrounds. Ohio University is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer.

The Department Of Psychology At The University Of Georgia seeks two Assistant Professors to begin August, 2008. A Ph.D. in Psychology is required. We seek outstanding scholars engaged in high impact research, regardless of specific research focus. Research areas can fall within our departmental programs (cognitive, clinical, developmental, I/O, neuroscience, and social), cut across these programs, or encompass related themes such as health or multicultural approaches. Expectations include contributing to graduate and undergraduate training and developing an externally funded research program. Established collaborative associations on campus with the Institute for Behavioral Research, Institute of Gerontology, Bioimaging Research Center (with fMRI, MEG, etc.) and Biomedical and Health Sciences Institute offer excellent research development opportunities.

Candidates should send a letter of application describing research and teaching interests, a curriculum vita, and reprints/preprints, and arrange to have four letters of reference sent to: Chair, Psychology Search Committee, Department of Psychology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602-3013. The University of Georgia is an AA/EEO institution. The Department of Psychology and the Franklin College of Arts and Sciences are committed to increasing the diversity of its faculty and strongly encourage applications from individuals in under-represented groups. Applications received by November 20, 2007, are assured full consideration.

The Department of Social and Decision Sciences at Carnegie Mellon University seeks candidates to fill a junior-level tenure track position in behavioral decision research.

Candidates must demonstrate a strong empirical track record and have research interests related to the psychology of judgment and decision making. We prefer candidates who can teach courses in the

psychology of judgment and decision making, empirical research methods, or otherwise participate in the department's undergraduate majors in Decision Science, Policy and Management, and Political Science. Joint appointments are possible with other units on campus.

The department is interdisciplinary, including economists, psychologists, political scientists, and historians. It has particular research strengths in behavioral decision theory, policy analysis, industrial organization, technological change, and computational social science. (Current projects and faculty are described at the website: http://www.hss.cmu.edu/departments/sds/).

Carnegie Mellon University is an Affirmative Action/ Equal Opportunity employer. We encourage minorities, women, and individuals with disabilities to apply. Applicants should send a CV, reprints/preprints, 3 or 4 letters of recommendation, a statement of research interests, and a cover letter to: Chair, Behavioral Decision Theory Search Committee (Psychology), Department of Social and Decision Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890.

Review of applications will begin October 15th. Applications received by November 1st are assured full consideration.

Princeton University's Department of Psychology anticipates making an appointment at the associate or full professor level. The position will be a joint appointment between the Psychology Department and the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs (WWS), as part of an expanding joint venture in psychology and public policy. The candidate will teach some psychology courses and some courses that explore the links between psychology and public policy. Social psychologists with an interest in policy-relevant topics, including health and well-being, and cognitive psychologists specializing in judgment and decision making are invited to apply.

All applicants must have an active research program and demonstrated commitment to teaching and advising at both the graduate and the undergraduate levels. They should also be interested in interacting with neighboring social science disciplines (such as economics or politics).

Applicants should submit a c.v. with a cover letter summarizing their qualifications and names of three senior researchers who would be prepared to write letters on their behalf to: Search Committee SOC/JDM/WWS, Department of Psychology, Princeton University, Green Hall, Princeton, NJ 08540-1010. Applicants should submit materials as soon as possible; the search will remain open until the position is filled. Princeton University is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. For information about applying to Princeton and how to self-identify, please link to http://web.princeton.edu/sites/dof/ApplicantsInfo.htm.

The University of Chicago Graduate School of Business is seeking to hire tenure-track faculty for its Managerial and Organizational Behavior area. We will consider candidates who are completing their PhD's as well as more experienced candidates. Candidates must have earned a PhD (or equivalent) or expect to receive a doctorate in the near future. We are looking for candidates with strong disciplinary

training in any of the social sciences who can use that discipline background to conduct research on aspects of behavior relevant to management in organizations and to introduce MBA students to behavioral science principles. While we will consider candidates with interests in negotiations, social psychology and organizations, all broadly defined, we have a particular interest in applicants who conduct research on decision-making. Members of the Managerial and Organizational Behavior group are responsible for teaching courses such as Managing in Organizations, Managerial Decision Making, Power and Politics, and Negotiations. Candidates should be qualified to teach at least one of these courses plus another MBA elective. The group has a well-equipped laboratory for experimental research. We will begin reviewing applications on October 15, 2007, although we will continue to accept applications until the position has been filled. Please submit an application online, including a cover letter briefly describing your plans for future work, a vita, a written sample of your present work, and two letters of reference, at http://facultyapply.chicagogsb.edu. Hardcopy applications will not be accepted. The University of Chicago is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.

The Department of Communication at Cornell University invites applicants for two tenure track, open rank faculty positions appointment starting July 1, 2008. At least one of the positions will be filled at the rank of Assistant Professor. We encourage qualified applicants of any rank to apply for either position.

Science, Environment, and/or Risk Communication: We seek a colleague to conduct research and teach in the area of science, environment, and/or health-risk communication. We welcome innovative and imaginative scholars who approach the study of science, environment, and/or health-risk communication from psychological, sociological, or institutional vantage points using qualitative or quantitative methods. The science, environment, and risk area constitutes one of the Department's core strengths; applicants whose work contributes to other core strengths in communication and information technology and in media studies are particularly encouraged to apply. All materials should be sent to Dr. Bruce Lewenstein, Department of Communication, 321 Kennedy Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. For additional information, e-mail Dr. Lewenstein (b.lewenstein@cornell.edu) or telephone 607.255.8310.

Communication and Information Technology: We seek a colleague to conduct research and to teach in the area of Communication and Information Technology, with an emphasis in one or more of the following: 1) Human-Computer Interaction, 2) Computer-Mediated Communication, 3) IT in organizations, and 4) Technology and Society. The communication and information technology area constitutes one of the Department's core strengths; applicants whose work contributes to other core strengths in media studies and in science, environment and risk are particularly encouraged to apply. All materials should be sent to Dr. Jeff Hancock, Department of Communication, 320 Kennedy Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. For additional information, e-mail Dr. Hancock (jth34@cornell.edu) or telephone 607.255.4452.

Successful candidates for either position will have a Ph.D. in Communication or closely aligned field and have (or show promise of developing) a national and international reputation doing theory-based empirical research. We seek innovative scholars of social science who will develop a research program connected to college and university priorities in applied social science, information science, the new life sciences, environmental issues, and/or public outreach. In the Department of Communication we focus

on a number of subfields including social psychology of communication; language and communication; science, risk, environment, and health communication; human-computer interaction; media communication and society; and organizational communication. Both positions will involve 50% research and 50% teaching responsibilities; publishing in peer-reviewed literature in relevant fields is expected. In addition, successful candidates are expected to secure external research funding. Communication faculty teach two to three undergraduate and/or graduate courses per academic year, and advise students in the Department's B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. programs. Cornell offers a highly competitive salary and benefits package. Support for start-up research costs will be available. Women and minorities are especially encouraged to apply. Applications will be reviewed beginning October 15, 2007 until candidates are selected. For more information about the Department of Communication, please visit our website: http://www.comm.cornell.edu.

Application: Send letter of application addressing position qualifications and goals, vita, official academic transcripts, writing sample, names and contact information of three references. Please also have each reference submit a letter of recommendation. Cornell University is an equal opportunity, affirmative action educator and employer.

Cornell's Department of Human Development (http://www.human.cornell.edu/hd/) seeks applicants for an Assistant Professor tenure-track position in human developmental behavioral neuroscience to begin July 2008. The Department is developing a comprehensive training program in developmental aspects of behavioral neuroscience, and currently affective, social, and cognitive areas of neuroscience are represented. An interest in experiential effects on human neurobiological systems is also represented. Methodological approaches currently represented include fMRI, pharmacological manipulation of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, and electrophysiological recording. Subject populations studied range from infants and adolescents to young adults of both normal and disordered status, including dyslexia, autism spectrum disorders and Fragile X syndrome, attention deficit disorder, and personality disorders. Although methodological approaches are not limited, analysis of EEG or genetic polymorphisms is of special interest. Cornell has new facilities that fully support researchers in genotyping.

Responsibilities include teaching three courses per year (one large undergraduate course, one small undergraduate course, and one graduate seminar), supervising student research, and maintaining an externally-funded program of empirical research.

We have an active group of decision researchers at Cornell University who gather regularly to attend talks sponsored by the Center for Behavioral Economics and Decision Research. We are also well represented in the new concentration in Law, Psychology, and Human Development.

This is a tenured-track position. Salary is negotiable depending on qualifications and experience. For consideration, please send curriculum vitae, statement of research and teaching interests, representative publications, and three letters of reference to: Search in Developmental Behavioral Neuroscience, Department of Human Development, MVR Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Review of applications will begin December 15, and continue until the position is filled. Cornell University is an equal opportunity, affirmative action educator and employer.

The Department of Human Development (http://www.human.cornell.edu/hd/) seeks applicants for two tenure-track Assistant Professor positions in any area to begin July 2008. These positions extend a major building program in the Department that has resulted in eight significant hires in recent years. The Department has a broad base in normative developmental psychology and sociology that includes social, personality, cognitive, emotional, and biological domains.

In addition, the Department has four particularly strong emphases that cut across the five content domains: (1) Aging & Health, with foci on both normal, positive aging as well as age-related socioemotional and health problems (2) Culture & Diversity, which examines the influence of cultural factors on basic developmental processes; (3) Developmental Behavioral Neuroscience, which includes both normative and disordered cognitive, affective, and social domains; and (4) Law, Psychology, & Development, with special interests in cognition and memory.

Individuals who integrate several basic areas are of particular interest. The department also maintains significant research bridges with the Medical campus in New York City. Responsibilities include teaching three courses per year (typically two undergraduate and one graduate), supervising student research, and maintaining a rigorous, highly productive and externally-funded program of empirical research that maintains and builds the department's international reputation.

We have an active group of decision researchers at Cornell University who gather regularly to attend talks sponsored by the Center for Behavioral Economics and Decision Research. We are also well represented in the new concentration in Law, Psychology, and Human Development.

Salary is negotiable depending on qualifications and experience. For consideration please send vita, statement of research and teaching interests, three representative publications, and three letters of reference to: Office of the Chair, Department of Human Development. Martha Van Rensselaer Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Review of applications will begin November 15; applications are welcomed until the position is filled. Cornell University is an equal opportunity, affirmative action educator and employer.

The Department of Psychology, University Of Massachusetts - Amherst invites applications for an openrank, tenure track position in cognitive psychology beginning Fall 2008. Candidates in any area of Cognitive are encouraged to apply, but we have special interests in human memory, categorization, judgment and decision making, and language processing. Use of computational modeling techniques is particularly desirable. Candidates applying at the junior level must have a strong record of research, clear potential to obtain support for and maintain an active research program, and strong teaching skills. Senior candidates must additionally have a record of extramural support. Candidates will be expected to collaborate with other faculty members with similar interests across campus. Rank and salary are dependent on experience and qualifications. Applicants should send a vita, a statement of research and teaching interests, reprints of recent publications, and at least three letters of recommendation to: Cognitive Search Committee, Department of Psychology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA

01003-7710. Applications are due on November 1, 2007. The search committee will begin reviewing applications on that date, and will continue until the position is filled. Hiring is contingent upon the availability of funds. The University of Massachusetts is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer. Women and members of minority groups are strongly encouraged to apply.

The Department of Psychology at The Ohio State University seeks outstanding candidates for a position in Social Judgment and Decision Making. Rank is at the Assistant or Associate Professor level and subject to the availability of funds. All applicants are expected to have strong research programs and to contribute to both graduate and undergraduate supervision and instruction. Candidates whose interests complement other existing strengths or priority areas in the department (e.g., clinical, cognitive, developmental, psychobiology) or related disciplines (e.g., Economics, Political Science, Law) will be especially attractive. Send a letter of application, statements of research and teaching interests, a vita, reprints, and three letters of recommendation to the JDM Search Committee, Department of Psychology, Ohio State University, 1835 Neil Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210. To ensure consideration, application materials must be received by October 15, 2007; but applications will be reviewed until the position is filled. For more information about the department, visit: www.psy.ohio-state.edu. To build a diverse workforce Ohio State encourages applications from individuals with disabilities, minorities, veterans, and women. EEO/AA employer.

This year the University of Maryland Department of Psychology seeks to fill six tenured or tenure-track positions, of which two are in Cognitive Science and Neuroscience (CSN). CSN consists of a highly interdisciplinary group of cognitive psychologists and neuroscientists studying brain and behavior in both human and animal models. Topics of research pursued by our faculty include, but are not limited to, neuroethology, auditory processes, sensorimotor integration, memory, human judgment and decision making, and attention.

The other four Ph.D. programs in our new training structure are: 1) Clinical Psychology; 2) Social Decision and Organizational sciences, 3) Counseling Psychology; and 4) Developmental Psychology. Many faculty members participate in more than one program, thereby fostering a rich web of collaborations throughout the department. Candidates who can contribute to one of these programs in addition to CSN are especially encouraged to apply.

The CSN faculty also interact with large cadre of researchers across the campus, including faculty with primary appointments in Engineering, Kinesiology, Linguistics, Hearing and Speech, Human Development, and Biology, and the Center for the Advanced Study of Language (CASL). CSN faculty are actively involved in the campus Neuroscience and Cognitive Science (NACS) Graduate Program (www.nacs.umd.edu), an interdisciplinary research training program that involves more than 80 faculty across 14 departments, with adjuncts at NIH, Children's Hospital and Walter Reed. Collectively, these departments and programs provide a unique and rich environment for studying cognitive and neuroscience.

Individuals trained in any area of Cognitive Psychology, Cognitive Science or Neuroscience are encouraged to apply. We are especially interested in scientists whose research crosses disciplines (e.g., cognitive neuroscience, computational neuroscience) and who are skilled in quantitative and computational modeling, though we encourage applications from all outstanding individuals. Successful candidates will have a record of, or demonstrated potential of, securing external funding, excellent research productivity, and interest in forming collaborative research ties within and across programs and departments. A strong commitment to teaching and mentorship at both the undergraduate and graduate levels is expected. Rank is open, although we expect to hire mostly at the assistant or associate professor levels. Salary is highly competitive, research conditions are excellent, and there are extensive opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration both on campus and at universities and Federal laboratories in the Washington DC metropolitan area.

Please send a CV and a statement of research and teaching interests, and arrange to have three letters of recommendation sent to Ms Peggy Barott, Search Committee Coordinator, Department of Psychology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742. In addition send up to three articles as PDF files to search@psyc.umd.edu. In the subject heading put CNS search followed by your last name. Inquires for the position can be addressed to Dr. Cynthia Moss. The University of Maryland is an Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity Employer. For best consideration, materials should arrive by October 15, 2007. All searches will remain open until filled.

This year This year the University of Maryland Department of Psychology seeks to fill six tenured or tenure-track positions, of which two are in Social, Decision, and Organizational Sciences (SDOS). SDOS is an umbrella area comprised of social psychologists, organizational psychologists, and judgment and decision-making researchers who collectively study how individuals perceive and process information about their social environment and themselves, make decisions, and manage their interdependencies with others in dyadic, team, organizational, and societal contexts. Topics that we study in SDOS include, but are not limited to social cognition, self-regulation, stereotyping, decision making, conflict and negotiation, group dynamics, leadership, social networks, organizational climate and culture, and national culture.

Individuals trained in Social Psychology, Industrial-Organizational Psychology, Organizational Behavior, Decision Sciences, or some combination are encouraged to apply. We are especially interested in applicants who bridge across these traditional areas and who have strong methodological or quantitative skills.

The other four Ph.D. programs in our new training structure are Clinical Psychology; Cognitive and Neuroscience; Counseling Psychology; and Developmental Psychology. Many faculty members participate in more than one program, thereby fostering a rich web of collaborations throughout the department. Candidates who can contribute to one of these programs in addition to SDOS are especially encouraged to apply.

Successful candidates will have a record of, or demonstrated potential of, securing external funding, excellent research productivity, and interest in forming collaborative research ties within and across programs and departments. A strong commitment to teaching and mentorship at both the undergraduate and graduate levels is expected. Rank is open, although we expect to hire mostly at the assistant or

associate professor levels. Salary is highly competitive, research conditions are excellent, and there are extensive opportunities for interdisciplinary collaboration both on campus and at universities and Federal laboratories in the Washington DC metropolitan area.

Please send a CV and a statement of research and teaching interests, and arrange to have three letters of recommendation sent to Ms Peggy Barott, Search Committee Coordinator, Department of Psychology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742. In addition send up to three articles as PDF files to search@psyc.umd.edu. In the subject heading put SDOS search followed by your last name. Inquires for the position can be addressed to Dr. Michele Gelfand (mgelfand@psyc.umd.edu). The University of Maryland is an Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity Employer. For best consideration, materials should arrive by October 15, 2007. All searches will remain open until filled.

The Rady School of Management (http://rady.ucsd.edu) at the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) invites applications for assistant, associate and full professor positions in marketing. Preference will be given to experienced applicants who have distinguished scholarly records, have demonstrated teaching expertise with graduate students and executives, and are good institution builders. All applicants must have a Ph.D. or will be working towards a Ph.D. by the start date of the new academic year. Associate-level candidates must show evidence of a strong research record in their specialization, while candidates for senior rank must demonstrate a continuing publication record in leading journals. Salary and appointment level are dependent on experience and based on University of California pay scales. The positions are expected to have a start date of July 1, 2008. A detailed vita (PDF or Word document form) will be accepted only by email to radymarketing@ucsd.edu <mailto:radymarketing@ucsd.edu>. Please indicate reference job code ACRMKTG on the subject line and state the level of position you are applying for in the cover letter. Review will begin October 1, 2007 and will continue until positions are filled. UCSD is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer with a strong institutional commitment to the achievement of excellence through diversity among its faculty and staff. For information contact: Monique M. Tanjuaquio, Academic Personnel Coordinator radymarketing@ucsd.edu

Michigan State University's Department of Psychology seeks a developmental psychologist at any level (Assistant to Full Professor) to further strengthen developmental science in our department. Our preference is for someone with primary interests in cognitive development and a desire to cross disciplinary boundaries. We seek a strong scientist with a nationally visible program of research who can contribute to our graduate and undergraduate training. We are especially interested in someone whose research on cognitive development intersects with research in one or more other groups within the department in addition to cognitive (e.g., clinical, social/personality, behavioral neuroscience). Our department is a highly interactive environment with excellent resources for conducting integrative, translational, and multi-method research.

The Department of Psychology at Michigan State University seeks to appoint one or more cognitive psychologists to tenure-track positions at open rank. Candidates in any core area of cognitive psychology or cognitive neuroscience, with outstanding research profiles and existing or strong potential for extramural funding, are encouraged to apply. Review of applications will begin immediately. Please direct inquiries and applications (CV, reprints, and three letters of reference) to Dr. Tom Carr, Cognitive Psychology Search, Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824. MSU is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer.

The Departments of Psychology and Management at Michigan State University are seeking to recruit a faculty member to serve as the John A. Hannah Distinguished Professor of Psychology and Management, beginning in fall, 2008. The ideal candidate should have demonstrated competence in the psychology of work behavior (industrial/organizational psychology, organizational behavior, human resource management). The individual should be an active researcher with a substantial national and international standing resulting from research work and scholarly activities. General criteria for measuring the research qualifications are those normally used to assess individuals for senior and advanced associate professor faculty positions (quantity and quality of publications, command of research methodology, and recognition by professional associations such as the American Psychological Association and the Academy of Management). In addition, the person would be expected to be an active member of the psychology and management departments, participate in graduate seminars, and serve on graduate research committees in areas dealing with work behavior. It is also expected that the successful candidate would be able to integrate efforts to understand work behavior and garner resources that span units within the university and external to the university. The candidate selected would join a large and productive group of scholars interested in work behavior in the departments of Psychology (iopsych.msu.edu) and Management (http://www.bus.msu.edu/mgt/faculty.cfm) as well as elsewhere in the university. Due to the diversity of our interests, our students are exposed to a variety of ways of thinking and our faculty members are able to pursue ideas that cross the boundaries separating conventional domains of research. Salary is negotiable and the position comes with a generous research budget. Applicants should mail a cover letter and a curriculum vita to Hannah Chair Search Committee, Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, MI 48824-1116. We will begin to consider application materials by September 1, 2007, and continue to do so until the position is filled. Michigan State University is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer, and thus we are particularly interested in considering qualified minority or female applicants.

The University of Pennsylvania's Philosophy, Politics, and Economics Program (PPE), in collaboration with the Departments of Philosophy and Economics, invites applications for a tenure-track Assistant Professorship in the areas of Philosophy and Economics. We are prepared to consider exceptional candidates at the beginning Associate Professor level. The successful candidate's appointment will be in either the Philosophy or Economics Department, subject to the usual graduate and undergraduate teaching duties and other responsibilities.

Applicants should have an active, high quality research program that may include (a) experimental research in areas relevant to PPE, which encompass, but are not limited to, social norms, fairness, trust,

cooperation, and moral judgment; (b) evolutionary models of social institutions (norms, values, conventions, etc.); (c) epistemic and methodological foundations of models of social interaction, institutions and economic structures. The successful candidate will be able to combine philosophical insights with a thorough knowledge of the relevant economic areas and methods. This position provides the opportunity to interact with Penn faculty who are interested in these research areas across multiple disciplines. Candidates are expected to have a commitment to teaching excellence at both the graduate and undergraduate levels. Some undergraduate courses will target PPE majors and be cross-listed in the relevant department.

Candidates must complete an on-line profile at https://fusion.sas.upenn.edu/faculty/pos/ppe; and then submit a CV, statements of teaching and research interests, and selected preprints by email to Sarah Gish-Kraus (gishkrau@sas.upenn.edu). They should also arrange for three letters of recommendation to be sent to the PPE Search Committee, University of Pennsylvania, 311 Logan Hall, 249 S. 36^th St., Philadelphia, PA 19104-6304 (email: gishkrau@sas.upenn.edu). Review of applications will begin immediately and will continue until the position is filled. Candidates are encouraged to have all materials submitted prior to November 10, 2007.

The University of Pennsylvania is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. Women and minority candidates are encouraged to apply.

Announcements

Proposed amendment to the SJDM by-laws by Jonathan Baron. "The Society has several committees, which will change from time to time, in addition to the program committee. These committees, their duties, and their membership, are listed in the web page. Members and chairs of committees are appointed by the President, in accord with the procedures of each committee. The President may seek advice from the Executive Committee or other members."

David Weiss wishes to inform users of the CALSTAT suite of computer programs that accompanies his text "Analysis of Variance and Functional Measurement: A Practical Guide" http://www.davidjweiss.com/AnalysisofvarianceandFunctionalMeasurement.htm (reviewed by Warren Thorngate in the March 2006 issue of the Newsletter) that a Service Pack: http://www.davidjweiss.com/CALSTATsuite.htm is now available on his website. The Service Pack resolves display issues that may arise when using a high resolution screen setting. Obsessive authors may also find David's Citation Checker http://www.davidjweiss.com/CitationCheckerindex.htm add-in for WORD useful.

Ben Newell, David Lagnado & David Shanks would welcome a SJDMer to review their new book <u>Straight Choices: The Psychology of Decision Making</u> for this newsletter. Please contact the editor if interested.

George Bergus and Alan Schwartz have a book coming out next year on medical decision making for community physicians (and others). Although it's not out yet, there's a blog for it at http://www.makingmedicaldecisions.com that has some modified content from the book.

We are pleased to announce the launch of the "Journal of Choice Modelling", a new open access peer reviewed journal dedicated to the field of choice modelling.

The journal publishes theoretical and applied papers in the field of choice modelling. It is not limited to one area of study, such as transport or marketing, but invites contributions from across a range of disciplines where the analysis of choice behaviour is a subject of interest. The journal also seeks to be non-specific in terms of the topics covered, with papers dealing with methodology, data, survey and experimental design all being of equal interest. Similarly, we welcome papers discussing the use of advanced choice modelling techniques in actual real world applications.

The Journal of Choice Modelling is an open access journal. Papers published in the journal can be freely accessed by anyone with an internet connection. This greatly increases visibility, and allows access by academics, practitioners and the general public, without the need for registering or paying access fees. The Journal of Choice Modelling is a web-based journal, with no paper version. This not only greatly reduces costs, but also significantly improves turnaround times.

We are now inviting contributions for the inaugural issues of the Journal of Choice Modelling. We welcome papers discussing any topic related to the study of choice behaviour." For detailed information on the journal including a listing of current members of the editorial board and submission guidelines, see: http://www.jocm.org.uk

Contributed Essays

Review of Drew Westen's book The political brain: The role of emotions in deciding the fate of the nation

By David Hardman London Metropolitan University

According to research reported here by Drew Westen, the most important factor influencing voting behaviour – in Americans, at least – is how the candidates make them feel. Gut feelings, he says, are much stronger predictors of voting preferences than more rational factors. Policies only matter to the extent that they influence voters' emotions. Demonstrating the limits of rational thought, one study found that committed Democrats and Republicans saw greater contradictions in the inconsistent pronouncements of opposition candidates than their own candidates. Additionally, fMRI results showed that highly positive feelings often followed exposure to inconsistencies in one's own candidate's statements. Apparently, participants were able to rationalize away such inconsistencies.

Drew Westen attributes Republicans' greater electoral success to their understanding of the need to construct messages with emotional impact. Bill Clinton was unusual among Democrats in making emotional connections with voters; most Democrats have relied too much on the use of statistics to counter Republican arguments (although Westen doesn't mention it, readers of this newsletter may be familiar with recent work showing that statistical information can reduce charitable giving).

Much of The political brain concerns the way in which the specific framing of a message activates a specific cognitive-affective network rather than some other network. For example, John Kerry's campaign could have appealed to the important southern constituency by playing up the fact that he was a keen hunter, but they were afraid of alienating the "wheatgerm and tofu crowd", though these voters were hardly likely to vote for Bush anyway. Instead, Republicans were able to paint a picture of Kerry as a privileged northeastern liberal (Kerry's own first campaign ad used the word 'privileged' in describing his background).

Westen backs his assertions about the activation of different networks with some of the evidence about spreading activation. Nonetheless, such a large part of the book is devoted to discussions about how this message activated that network that I did wonder whether he might have been arguing beyond the evidence.

The book is divided into two sections. The first, and shorter, section is titled Mind, Brain, and Emotion in Politics. This is where most of the key science is described. The larger following section is titled A blueprint for emotionally compelling campaigns. Thus, anyone who – based on the title – is expecting to learn about the brain in relation to politics does not really need to read the last two-thirds of the book.. However, there is much to be learned about American political campaigning in the second section, and Westen does make a pretty convincing case that Democratic politicians need to radically rethink how they get their message across. The book is also written in an engaging and passionate style, though I can't imagine what committed Republican readers will make of it.

Mark Twain on Expertise

By James Shanteau

In reading Mark Twain's (nee: Samuel Clemens) "Life on the Mississippi" (1883), I came across an insightful passage about expertise, as well as perhaps the first mention of the words "judgment" and "decision" in print together.

First, some background. In 1857, Mark Twain met a master steamboat pilot, Horace Bixby, on a voyage down the Mississippi river. Bixby inspired Twain to become a pilot (a highly paid and respected profession in those days). Twain spent over two years in detailed study of the 2,000 miles (3,200 km) of the river from New Orleans to Minneapolis. A pilot needed an encyclopedic and dynamic knowledge to navigate the ever-changing river in the days before charts, buoys, dredging, locks, etc.

The following is taken from Mark Twain's chapter "A Pilot's Needs:"

"I think a pilot's memory is about the most wonderful thing in the world. To know the Old and New Testaments by heart, and be able to recite them glibly, forward or backward, or begin at random anywhere in the book and recite both ways and never trip or make a mistake is no extravagant mass knowledge, and no marvelous facility, compared to a pilot's massed knowledge of the Mississippi and his marvelous facility in the handling of it. I make this comparison deliberately and believe I am not expanding the truth when I do it. Many will think my figure too strong, but pilots will not." (p. 115-116)

"Give a man a tolerably fair memory to start with, and piloting will develop it into a very colossus of capability. But only in the matters it is daily drilled in. A time would come when the man's facilities could not help noticing landmarks and soundings, and his memory could not help holding on to them with grip of a vise; but if you asked the same man at noon what he had had for breakfast, it would be ten chances to one that he could not tell you. Astonishing things can be done with the human memory if you will devote it faithfully to one particular line of business." (p. 116-117)

"A pilot must have a memory; but there are two higher qualities which he must also have. He must have good and quick judgment and decision, and a cool, calm courage that no peril can shake. Give a man the merest trifle of pluck to start with, and by the time he has become a pilot he cannot be unmanned by any danger a steamboat can get into; but one cannot quite say the same for judgment. Judgment is a matter of brains, and a man must start with a good stock of that article or he will never succeed as a pilot." (p. 118-119)

This passage illustrates three points about expertise which I have found in my research to be true today: First, expertise in a skilled domain requires a thorough and up-to-date memory. In my research, I have yet to meet an expert who did not have an exhaustive memory for the details of his/her domain. As Mark Twain argues, an expert without a detailed knowledge of their domain is not an expert. Second, expert memory (and expertise in general) is highly task/domain specific, as in Mark Twain's example of pilots not remembering what they had for breakfast. In my experience, there is no such thing as generic "expertise." Rather as W. G. Chase noted, expertise is "spectacularly narrow." As a result, there are sizable and systematic differences between the performance of experts in different domains (see Shanteau, 1988, Acta Psychologica; 1992, OBHDP; 1993, Auditing).

Third, "judgment and decision" is central to skilled expertise, but it is not the only relevant process. Beyond memory, Mark Twain argues for "brains" (or intelligence) and for "courage" -- a process rarely, if ever, mentioned or studied in JDM research. I have copied out the complete passage from Mark Twain on becoming a pilot and will send to anyone who contacts me.

Review of Phil Tetlock's Book on Expertise

By J. Scott Armstrong

We rely on experts to make forecasts for important choices in politics and economics. These are tough problems, and we need smart people who have the facts. We look for coherent analyses, and we trust experts who can make a good case for what will happen. For example, we pay close attention when Nobel Prize winners speak - at least when they speak about their area of expertise. Sure, outcomes are difficult to predict, but this is the best we can do.

If you wish to continue relying on expert judgment, do not read Phil E. Tetlock's book, Expert Political Judgment: How good is it? How can we know? Princeton University Press (2006). Based on Tetlock's large-scale study, the book is a major contribution to the social sciences. It provides massive evidence showing that unaided forecasts by experts are no better than those made by people with little expertise. His study involved 284 experts making more than 82,000 forecasts over two decades. I cannot imagine how anyone would need more evidence that experts (unaided by structured methods) are unable to provide useful forecasts.

Adrian Tschoegl and I reviewed this book (available in full text at http://jscottarmstrong.com): Adrian E. Tschoegl & J. Scott Armstrong (2007), "Review of Expert Political Judgment," International Journal of Forecasting, 23, 339-342.

In 1978, based on a small number of clever experiments done by others, I proposed the seer-sucker-theory: "No matter how much evidence exists that seers do not exist, seers will find suckers." Tetlock's findings will provide a good test for the seer-sucker theory.

Online Resources

SJDM Web site http://www.sjdm.org

Judgment and Decision Making – The SJDM journal, http://journal.sjdm.org entirely free and online

SJDM Newsletter – Current and archive copies of this http://www.sjdm.org/newsletters

newsletter

SJDM mailing list – List archives and information on joining the email list <u>http://www.sjdm.org/mail-archive/jdm-society/</u>

Decision Science News – Most of the content of this newsletter is released early in blog form here

Note from the Editor

I pre-release some of the content of the SJDM newsletter in Web log (blog) from at http://www.decisionsciencenews.com. The reasons for this are several. Blogs facilitate: weekly instead of quarterly releases, instant revisions of time-sensitive content (e.g., conference deadline changes), and easy subscription via newsreaders. The newsletter will continue to be published March, June, September, and December on the SJDM site in PDF form, as always.

2007 SJDM Advance Meeting Registration and Annual Dues Form Phone: FAX: First Name for Nametag: Address: _____ E-Mail: □ Check if this is a new address Member Student Non-Member 2007 Meeting Registration Fee (Long Beach, CA) □ \$160.00 □ \$90.00 □ \$195.00 Late Registration (after October 31st) □ 225.00 □ 185.00 □ 105.00 Annual SJDM Membership Dues 35.00 10.00 Past Dues Women in SJDM Lunch (Sunday) suggested donation* 15.00 10.00 □ 25.00 Total Note: Registration includes coffee breaks, continental breakfasts (Saturday, Sunday, and Monday), Sunday social, and Monday luncheon. Dues are separate from registration fees. * Due to our generous sponsors, there is no fee for the Women in SJDM event. Lunch will be provided and all (women and men) are invited to attend! Suggested donation contributions will help sustain this event in the years to come. Method of Payment: □ Check/Money Order (Please, no cash); Make checks payable to Society for Judgment and Decision Making □ MasterCard □ VISA □ American Express □ Discover Account Number Signature Expiration Date If paying by credit card: Name on credit card _____

Mail this form with payment to: SJDM c/o Bud Fennema, Florida State University, 821 Academic Way, P.O. Box 3061110, Tallahassee, FL 32306-1110 (or fax to 850-644-8234). Receipts will be distributed at the conference registration. Journal Note: SJDM Members are entitled to discounts on the following journals: Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, and Risk, Decision and Policy. Contact the publishers for details. Links to journal websites may be found on the SJDM website (www.sjdm.org) under related links.

Home address _____

2007 SJDM Conference Preliminary Program & Info

Program: A preliminary program for the JDM meetings in Long Beach (November 16-19, 2007) is available as an appendix to this newsletter, and can be found on the SJDM website (www.sjdm.org). There are a few gaps in the program, and maybe even an error or two (please George Wu if you find one). But this should help make travel plans. We will post new programs as information comes in.

Hotel reservations: Hotel reservations can be made through the Psychonomic Society annual meeting web site: http://www.psychonomic.org/meet.htm Note that JDM talks will be at the Westin Long Beach, so you may wish to use that hotel if you are not attending Psychonomics as well. There may be a shortage of rooms available at the conference rate on the night of November 18th. If you cannot get the \$149 rate on the 18th, please call the Westin directly at (562) 436-3000 and ask for the conference rate. If the hotel will not grant you the rate, please call SJDM's representative, Terry Love, at (520) 529-0000 ext 19. She will do her best to negotiate with the hotel to get you the rate. We are continuing to negotiate with the hotel to add more rooms, and apologize for any inconvenience. (Updated Sep 20, 3:30pm)

Conference registration: There is a registration / dues form on the preceding page of this newsletter. A PDF version of this form can be found online at http://www.sjdm.org/programs/2007-register.pdf

Society for Judgment and Decision Making: The 2007 28th Annual Conference



The Westin Long Beach, CA November 16-19, 2007

Preliminary Program (September 24, 2007)

CONTENTS	PAGES
Master Schedule	27
Paper Presentations Listed by Session	28
Special Events	29-31
Paper Abstracts	32-47
Poster Titles Listed by Session (abstracts are available at www.sidm.org)	48-57

2007 Program Committee: George Wu (Chair), Melissa Finucane, Craig McKenzie, Ellen Peters, Rebecca Ratner, Yuval Rottenstreich, Alan Schwartz, and Gal Zauberman. Special thanks to Julie Downs who was instrumental in helping develop the conference program.

2007 SJDM Conference Master Schedule

The Westin Long Beach, CA November 16-19, 2007

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16

Psychonomics J/DM Sessions (See page 4 of this program and the Psychonomics program for details) Brunswick Society Meetings (See page 4 of this program for details)

5:00-7:00 pm	JDM Welcome Reception / Early Registration	Tokyo/Vancouver rooms, Westin Hotel, Third Level
7:00-9:00 pm	Executive Board Dinner	Utopia Restaurant, 445 East 1 st Street
SATURDAY, N		
7:30-8:30 am	Registration and Continental Breakfast	Centennial Foyer, Westin Hotel, Third Level
	Paper Session #1	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
	Morning Coffee Break	Centennial Foyer
	Paper Session #2	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
12:00-1:30 pm	Lunch Break (on your own)	
1:30-2:30 pm	Keynote Address: Michael Shermer	Centennial Ballroom, Salon B
2:45-4:15 pm	Paper Session #3	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
4:15-4:45 pm	Afternoon Coffee Break	Centennial Foyer
4:45-6:15 pm	Paper Session #4	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
6:15-8:15 pm	Graduate Student Social Event	Centennial Foyer
SUNDAY, NOV		
8:30-10:30 pm		Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom*
10:30-12:00 pm	Paper Session #5	Centennial Ballroom, Westin Hotel, Salons B, C, and D
		(Note: Track A is in Salon B instead of Salon A)
12:00-1:30 pm	Women in SJDM Networking Event	Centennial Ballroom, Salon A
12:00-1:30 pm	Lunch Break (on your own)	
1:30-2:30 pm	Keynote Address: Peter Ubel	Centennial Ballroom, Salon B
2:45-4:15 pm	Paper Session #6	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
4:15-4:45 pm	Afternoon Coffee Break	Centennial Foyer
4:45-5:15 pm	Einhorn Award	Centennial Ballroom, Salon B
5:15-7:15 pm	Poster Session #2 & Cash Bar	Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom*
TBA	SJDM Evening Social Event	TBA
MONDAY, NO		
8:00-8:45	Business Meeting w/ Continental Breakfast	Centennial Ballroom, Salon B
8:45-10:15	Paper Session #7	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
10:15-10:30	Morning Coffee Break	Centennial Foyer
10:30-12:00	Paper Session #8	Centennial Ballroom, Salons A, C, and D
12:00-1:30	Presidential Luncheon	Centennial Ballroom, Salon B
	Student Poster Awards by Eric Stone	

^{*} Directions to Convention Center: Exit hotel and make a right heading down Ocean Blvd. At the second stop light (Promenade walkway), cross Ocean Blvd and follow the Promenade to the Convention Center.

Presidential Address by Jonathan Baron

2007 SJDM Conference Paper Session

(B) Affective, Social, and Self-Judgments

(C) Judgment

(A) Individual Decision Making

		Centennial Ballroom, Salon A	Centennial Ballroom, Salon C	Centennial Ballroom, Salon D
SATURDAY	SESSION #1	Symposium: Decision under Climate Uncer	Self-predictions	Weighing Information
	8:30	See page 7 of the program for details	Massey-It's a Brand New Day: Motivated Reasoning	Marks-The Ability to Disregard Knowledge: A Ment
	8:50		Koehler-The Price of Good Intentions	Brenner-Preference, consensus and unpacking: Sup
	9:10		White -Self-predictions of investment decisions	Alter-Effects of Fluency on Psychological Distan
	9:30		Hanko-On once and future things: A temporal asym	Shah-Easy does it: The role of fluency in cue we
	SESSION #2	Risky decision making	Understanding happiness and pain	Anchoring and Adjustment Processes
	10:30	Levin-Tracking the Developmental Trajectory of R	Hsee-Wealth, warmth and wellbeing	Kusev-The first-run effect: How temporal sequenc
	10:50	Schneider-Fundamental Advantage of Dynamic Exp	Nicolao-Happiness for Sale: Do Experiential or M	Mochon-Big raccoons and small giraffes: Anchorin
	11:10	Bleichrodt- A Tractable Method to Measure Utilit	Miron-Shatz-Memories of yesterday's emotions: Be	Critcher-Incidental environmental anchoring
	11:30	Ert-Loss Aversion in Decisions under Risk and th	Olivola-The "Martyrdom Effect": When the Promise	Liersch-When do implausible anchors influence ju
	SESSION #3	Mental Accounting and Losses	Symposium: Dishonesty of "Honest" People	Risk Perception
	2:45	Johnson-The Silver Lining Effect: A Formal Analy	Norton-Justifying and Rationalizing Questionable Pr	Ayal-The "Perceived Diversity Heuristic": The Ef
	3:05	Liu-Think Time (Not Money): Happiness Mindset Fo	Gino-Slippery Slope and Misconduct: The Effect of	Hogarth-Effects of mood and affect on everyday p
	3:25	Van Putten-How sweet deals can turn sour: Inacti	Weber-Psychological Mechanisms Underlying Dec	Rai-A small price to pay: Introducing small los
	3:45	Moran-Issue frames in negotiations: Bearing a lo	Mazar-Temporal Influences on Cheating Behavior	Downs-Perceived Risk & Contextualized Knowledg
	SESSION #4	Consumer Decision Making	Affective Forecasting	Symposium: Judgments/Decisions-Humanit
	4:45	Martin-Choosing and Rejecting Uncertainty: Prefe	Walsh-My Imagination or Your Feelings? Judging t	Van Boven-Who to Help? Immediacy Bias in Judgm
	5:05	Maciejovsky-Compatibility Effects in the Aggrega	Dunn-On Emotional Innumeracy: Predicted and Actu	Small-Reference-Dependent Sympathy
	5:25	Dawson- Motivating Discounts: Price-Induced Moti	Williams-The influence of the future on judgment	Cuddy-No Right to be Mad: Denying Anger and Help
	5:45	Huang-Trade-offs in the Dark: Exemplar-Based Lea	Caruso-Damned If You Do, But Not If You Did: How	Ritov-Who is the victim? Identifiability effect in different
SUNDAY	SESSION #5	Conflict and Choice (Note: in Salon B)	Affect and Judgment	Perceptions involving time
	10:30	Steffel-Inferences from Decision Difficulty: Fal	Evans-When You're Feeling Blue, Less Is True: Th	Bilgin-Looming Losses in Future Time Perception
	10:50	Botti-Dazed and Confused by Choice: How Underest	Chang-Affect As A Decision System Of The Present	Zauberman-Subjective Time Perception & Tempora
	11:10	Willemsen-Comprehension checks as a necessary condi	Huber-Seeing Red (or Blue): Anger More Than Sadn	LeBoeuf-Perceptions Of The Length Of Future Time
	11:30	Pocheptsova-When Less is More: The Effect of Pro	Zhang-Physical Contact, Emotional Responses, and	Ji-Culture and judgment based on time
	SESSION #6	Behavioral economics	Socially-influenced preferences	<u>Individual Differences</u>
	2:45	Summers-Unraveling the Disposition Effect: The r	Arora-Trade-offs Between Economic and Social Goa	Parker-Do maximizers make better decisions than
	3:05	Brown-Limited Strategic Thinking in the Field:	Fox-Mistaken Identity: Social Identity as a Spec	Rick-The Pain of Paying Varies Across Situations
	3:25	Schwartz-The psychology of benefits decisions: A	Maimaran-The Bold/Timid Divide in Consumer Cho	Peters-Numeracy and intuitive number sense in de
	3:45	Thaler-Choice Architecture	DeCaro-Motivation in Judgment and Choice: Strate	Figner-Affective versus deliberative processing
MONDAY	SESSION #7	<u>Decision Strategies</u>	Self-control and Self-regulation	<u>Likelihood Judgments</u>
	8:45	Phillips-Testing unconscious thought: Is distrac	Myrseth-Activating Self-Control: Isolated versus	Vosgerau-Outcome Dependency of Subjective Prob
	9:05	Keren-Decisions by Coin Toss and the Limits of R	Bruyneel-To Have One's Mind Set on it: Mindsets	Hart-Locus of control as a factor in gambler's f
	9:25	Chance-Decision Amnesia: Motivated Forgetting of	Shu-Myopic Procrastination of Positive Experienc	Ungemach-Within-Subject Preference Reversals
	9:45	Ulkumen-The Effect of Manipulating Category	Mead-I won't think about you unless I need you:	Erev-On the Determinants of the Psychological We
	SESSION #8	Choice sets and choices	<u>Trust</u>	Multiple Opinions
	10:30	Tal-Making a Difference: Expectation-Driven Sepa	Gaissmaier-The comparative advantage of procedur	Yaniv-The cost and benefit of independent opinio
	10:50	Gao-The Influence of Decision-Making Order on Co	John-Searching for Privacy in all the Wrong Plac	Häubl-Ironic Effects of Personalized Product Rec
	11:10	Sela-Decision Quicksands: Assortment Size, Justi	Pham-Trusting Or Not Trusting One's Feelings In	Mannes-Are we wise about the "wisdom of crowds"?
	11:30	Galak-Not in my backyard: The influence of arbit	Gneezy-Don't Get Mad, Get Even: Consumers' Re	Broomell-Why are Experts Correlated? Decompos

2007 SJDM Conference

Special Events

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 15

12:00-5:00pm 23nd Annual Meeting of the Brunswik Society Room to be announced, Hyatt Regency

http://www.brunswik.org/annualmeetings/meet23.html

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16

8:30 am-5:00 pm 23nd Annual Meeting of the Brunswik Society Room to be announced, Hyatt Regency

Psychonomic Sessions (Hyatt Regency):

8:00-10:00 am Models of Choice and Decision Making Beacon A

10:20 am-12:00pm Judgments and Reasoning Beacon A

1:30-3:30 pm Judgment and Decision Making Beacon A

5:00-7:00 pm Welcome Reception & Early Registration Centennial Foyer, Westin Hotel, Third Level

Please join us at the Welcome Reception in the Centennial Foyer, Westin Hotel, Third Level. The reception will feature appetizers and a cash bar. This event will also provide an opportunity for early conference registration so that you can avoid the lines Saturday morning.

The reception is generously co-sponsored by:

University of California, Irvine

Operations & Decision Technologies, The Paul Merage School of Business (http://www.merage.uci.edu/)

Institute for Mathematical Behavioral Sciences (http://www.imbs.uci.edu/)

Decision Analysis Journal (http://da.pubs.informs.org/)

7:00-9:00 pm **Executive Board Dinner**

Members of the executive board, JDM officers, and program chairs for this year and next year are invited to a working dinner offsite at Utopia Restaurant, 445 East 1st. Street (corner of Linden Ave. & 1st. Street), (562) 432-6888. Contact George Wu (wu@chicagogsb.edu) for further details.

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 17

12-1:30 pm **Psychonomic Poster Session** Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom

1:30-2:30 pm Keynote: Michael Shermer (Publisher of Skeptic magazine) Centennial Ballroom, Salon B

Dr. Michael Shermer is the Founding Publisher of *Skeptic* magazine (www.skeptic.com), the Executive Director of the Skeptics Society, a monthly columnist for *Scientific American*, the host of the Skeptics Distinguished Science Lecture Series at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech), and the co-host and producer of the 13-hour Family Channel television series, *Exploring the Unknown*.

Dr. Shermer is the author of Why Darwin Matters: Evolution and the Case Against Intelligent Design, as well as the recently published Science Friction: Where the Known Meets the Unknown, about how the mind works and how thinking goes wrong. His

book *The Science of Good and Evil: Why People Cheat, Gossip, Share Care, and Follow the Golden Rule,* is on the evolutionary origins of morality and how to be good without God. He wrote a biography, *In Darwin's Shadow*, about the life and science of the co-discoverer of natural selection, Alfred Russel Wallace. He also wrote *The Borderlands of Science*, about the fuzzy land between science and pseudoscience, and *Denying History*, on Holocaust denial and other forms of pseudohistory. His book *How We Believe: Science, Skepticism, and the Search for God*, presents his theory on the origins of religion and why people believe in God. He is also the author of *Why People Believe Weird Things* on pseudoscience, superstitions, and other confusions of our time.

Dr. Shermer received his B.A. in psychology from Pepperdine University, M.A. in experimental psychology from California State University, Fullerton, and his Ph.D. in the history of science from Claremont Graduate University (1991). He was a college professor for 20 years (1979-1998), teaching psychology, evolution, and the history of science at Occidental College (1989-1998), California State University Los Angeles, and Glendale College. Since his creation of the Skeptics Society, Skeptic magazine, and the Skeptics Distinguished Science Lecture Series at Caltech, he has appeared on such shows as 20/20, Dateline, Charlie Rose, Larry King Live, Tom Snyder, Donahue, Oprah, Lezza, Unsolved Mysteries, and other shows as a skeptic of weird and extraordinary claims, as well as interviews in countless documentaries aired on PBS, A&E, Discovery, The History Channel, The Science Channel, and The Learning Channel.

"The Mind of the Market: Lessons from Evolutionary Economics, Behavioral Economics, Neuroeconomics, Complexity
Economics, and Virtue Economics

How did we evolve from ancient hunter-gatherers to modern consumer-traders? Why are people so irrational when it comes to money and business? Bestselling author Dr. Michael Shermer argues that evolution provides an answer to both of these questions through the new science of evolutionary economics. Drawing on research from neuroeconomics, Shermer explores what brain scans reveal about bargaining, snap purchases, and how trust is established in business. Utilizing experiments in behavioral economics, Shermer shows why people hang on to losing stocks and failing companies, why business negotiations often disintegrate into emotional tit-for-tat disputes, and why money does not make us happy. Employing research from complexity theory, Shermer shows how evolution and economics are both examples of a larger phenomenon of complex adaptive systems. Along the way, Shermer answers such provocative questions as, Do our tribal roots mean that we will always be a sucker for brands? How is the biochemical joy of sex similar to the rewards of business cooperation? How can nations increase trust within and between their borders? Finally, Shermer considers the consequences of globalization and what will happen if nations allow free trade across their borders.

6:00-7:30 pm **Psychonomic Poster Session**

Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom

6:15-8:15 pm Graduate Student Social

Centennial Foyer

This informal event will provide student members of SJDM an opportunity to imbibe and network with the future stars of the field. But wait, there's more: SJDM is buying the first round of drinks! For more information contact Julie Downs (downs@cmu.edu).

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 18

12:00-1:30 pm Women in SJDM Networking Event

Centennial Ballroom, Salon A

All (women and men) are welcome to attend the fourth annual Women in SJDM event, focused on promoting the advancement of women faculty and graduate students in SJDM. Our featured speaker will be Linda Babcock, the James M. Walton Professor of Economics at the Heinz School of Public Policy and Management at Carnegie Mellon University. Linda Babcock is co-author of the book, "Women Don't Ask: Negotiation and the Gender Divide," named by *Fortune* Magazine as one of the 75 smartest business books of all time, and the forthcoming "Ask for It: How Women Can Use the Power of Negotiation to Get What They Really Want." Linda will present some of her research on women and negotiations, after which there will be discussion and networking opportunities. Thanks to our generous sponsors, there is no fee for the Women in SJDM event, and lunch will be provided. Suggested donation contributions (listed on the SJDM conference registration form) will help sustain this event in the years to come. Donations can be made along with your SJDM conference registration fees or at the event itself. Please register for this event in advance at http://watarts.uwaterloo.ca/~rj2white/wsjdm. Extra box lunches may be available at the event, but early registration guarantees that you will be provided with one. This event is sponsored by the Risk and Decision Processes Center at the Wharton Business School, the Society for Judgment and Decision Making, and Linda Babcock. For more information about this event, please contact Rebecca White (Rebecca. White@chicagogsb.edu).

1:30-2:30 pm Keynote: Peter Ubel (University of Michigan)

Centennial Ballroom, Salon B

Peter Ubel is Professor of Medicine and Adjunct Professor of Psychology at the University of Michigan. He directs the Center for Behavioral and Decision Sciences in Medicine, a research center that brings social scientists and clinicians together to improve health behavior and health decision-making.

Peter's research explores controversies in how people make decisions in healthcare contexts. He has won numerous research awards, including a presidential early career award from Bill Clinton. In addition to publishing his research in leading scientific journals, he has written about healthcare topics in places such as the New York Times, the Los Angeles Times, and is a regular contributor to the Huffington Post. He is author of Pricing Life, a book about healthcare rationing, and most recently of You're Stronger Than You Think, a book about how and why people underestimate their emotional resilience in the face of adversity. He is currently writing a book on market evangelism and the misunderstanding of human nature.

"Emotional adaptation and... the meaning of life?"

Good decision-making often requires accurate predictions. For example, a person might choose strawberry yogurt over blueberry if she predicts it will make her happier. But decision scientists have shown that people often make bad predictions. I will discuss one source of mispredictions -- that people frequently underestimate how much they will adapt to good and bad circumstances. I will discuss why people often overestimate the emotional impact of serious adversities, such as illness and disability. And in doing so, I will reflect on the personal and policy implications of emotional adaptation.

4:45-5:15 pm **Einhorn Award Presentation**

Centennial Ballroom, Salon B

Craig McKenzie will announce the winner of the 2007 Hillel Einhorn award on behalf of the award committee and make a brief presentation. The winner will make a presentation of the research paper for which he/she won the award.

To be determined SJDM Social Event

FORTHCOMING

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19

8:00-8:45 am **Business Meeting & Breakfast**

Centennial Ballroom, Salon B

All members of SJDM are invited to attend the business meeting (just see if we feed you breakfast if you skip the meeting). Remember, every vote counts.

12:00-1:30 pm **Presidential Luncheon**

Centennial Ballroom, Salon B

The presidential luncheon will feature a presentation of the student poster awards by Joe Johnson. President Jonathan Baron will give a talk. Incoming president Michael Birnbaum will take the oath of office.

Jonathan Baron, University of Pennsylvania

2007 SJDM Conference PAPER ABSTRACTS LISTED BY SESSION

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 17

(1A) Decision Making Under Climate Uncertainty: Empirical Results (8:30 – 10:00)

Organizers: Weber, Elke (Columbia University); Krantz, David (Columbia University); Budescu, David (UIUC)

In 2004, NSF funded several groups to study decision making under climate uncertainty, with the goal of promoting adaptation to possible global climate change. This symposium (and its companion) present results from three of these groups, the Center for Research on Environmental Decisions (CRED) at Columbia University and centers at Carnegie Mellon University and at RAND Corporation. The talks in this session focus on theoretical issues and some empirical results regarding two issues that have broad relevance to individual and group decision making with environmental consequences, namely deep uncertainty and time discounting. We also develop theory and provide empirical support for efforts that will facilitate adaptation to climate change, including ways to increase protective decision making, the selection of robust decision alternatives, and the benefit of participatory processes for the use of scientific information, and discounting of environmental goods. The studies demonstrate that the domain of environmental decisions, that is, decisions that involve consideration of uncertain and often time-delayed variables and tradeoffs between outcomes that differ in time scale and individual vs. social relevance, provides rich opportunities to investigate basic and important decision processes.

Introduction

Elke U. Weber (Columbia University)

Introduction to NSF's Decision Making Under Climate Uncertainty initiative and preview of the topics covered in this session and their interconnections

A. Deep Uncertainty

Decision Making Under Deep Uncertainty: Comparison of Robust, Optimum, and Precautionary Approaches Robert Lempert (RAND)

In many real world problems, the system model and the probability distributions on its inputs are not well known or agreed upon. This talk applies and compares several alternative frameworks for decision making under such conditions of deep uncertainty -- optimal expected utility, the precautionary principle, and robust decision-making. Applied to an environmental decision, we find that the robust decision approach captures the spirit of the precautionary principle while addressing some of its shortcomings and that robust strategies may be preferable to optimum strategies when the uncertainty is sufficiently deep and the set of alternative policy options is sufficiently rich.

The Effects of Presentation Format on Decisions Under Deep Uncertainty
David V. Budescu (UIUC); Stephen Broomell (UIUC); Robert Lempert (RAND); Klaus Keller (Penn State)

Problems that involve complex systems that are not fully understood, have a mix of short- and long-term implications, and depend on uncertainties that are not easily quantifiable are said to involve "deep uncertainty". Climate change is a perfect example of this class of problems. We report results from two decisions experiments – one using choices between abstract options, and the other involving decisions about policies pertaining to CO2 emissions. The results of both studies illustrate the high sensitivity of the subjects' decisions to the mode and format of presentation of the key probabilistic components of the system.

B. Time Discounting

Constructed Choice and Discounting of Environmental Goals David H. Krantz (Columbia University)

Economic analyses usually incorporate exponential discounting of future goods. Such discounting, even at low rates, undervalues long-term environmental goals, such as limitation of global warming or conservation of wetlands, relative to many people's intuitions. I assume that tradeoffs among goals are constructed using context-sensitive weights, and demonstrate that this assumption undercuts the theoretical rationale for discounting all goods at a single rate. Empirical measurement of actual discount rates (which may be zero) for social and environmental goals is needed as a basis for sound economic analysis.

Discounting of Environmental Goods and Discounting in Social Contexts

David Hardisty (Columbia University); Kerry F. Milch (Columbia University); Kirstin Appelt (Columbia University); Michel J. J. Handgraaf (University of Amsterdam); Poonam Arora (Columbia University); David H. Krantz (Columbia University); Elke Weber (Columbia University)

Decisions regarding climate events typically involve a temporal component. However, it is unclear whether findings from studies of monetary discounting can be usefully applied to discounting of environmental goods due to the dearth of research explicitly comparing these two domains. In a series of studies, people evaluated gains and losses of money, health, air quality, garbage, and mass transit, with outcomes occurring immediately or one year in the future. Discount rates in these domains were compared to discounting of monetary and health outcomes. Another study examined group effects on time discounting with results suggesting

that collaborative decision making may be one way to overcome the myopia often seen in individual decisions to delay or accelerate consumption.

C. Facilitating Climate Change Adaptation

Protective Decision Making and Global Warming

Howard C. Kunreuther (University of Pennsylvania) and David H. Krantz (Columbia University)

No individual, firm or nation can mitigate global warming appreciably on its own. In *interdependent security* games with negative externalities, there are economic incentives for each stakeholder to **not** undertake protective measures unless it knows that others are taking similar steps. This talk shows that by providing social rewards for cooperation, some key stakeholders will undertake protective measures, inducing others to follow suit. We discuss the conditions which lead to cascading and tipping behavior so that each stakeholder will be better off taking steps to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, thus reducing the risks associated with global warming.

Easier But Insightful? Developing A Judgment-Based Approach For Selecting Robust Alternatives In Climate Change Adaptation Decisions

Tim McDaniels (University of British Columbia) and Granger Morgan(Carnegie Mellon University)

The irreducible uncertainties associated with climate change adaptation choices make the standard methods of decision-making under uncertainty problematic. Lempert and other authors have identified robust decision making as a potentially fruitful approach, although the published approaches to robust decision-making are highly demanding. We seek to develop a practical judgment-based approach for selecting robust alternatives, using examples of forest replanting and rehabilitation after beetle infestations. Judgments of resource management specialists were elicited to characterize views on how different forest management options will perform over time, given three climate scenarios.

The Influence of Group Discussion on Information Use: Farmers' Groups, Climate Forecast Dissemination and Agricultural Planning in Uganda

Ben Orlove (UC Davis); Carla Roncoli (U of Georgia); Merit Kabugo (Makarere University); Mark Grote, David H. Krantz

During two successive agricultural seasons, we presented climate forecasts to African farmers at the time of selection of crop varieties and planting dates. One set received it as individuals and another in group settings. Data from transcripts of group discussions and post-season interviews indicate that farmers who took part in group discussions recall forecasts more fully and were more likely to make and carry out forecast-based plans. Reasons for this association include the effects of group meetings on the sense of understanding of and commitment to forecasts, the trust in the forecast, and the level of engagement with plans.

(1B) Self-predictions (8:30 – 10:00)

It's a Brand New Day: Motivated Reasoning and the Persistence of Positive Illusions Dawson, Erica (Yale School of Management); Massey, B. Cade (Yale School of Management)

Many studies indicate people hold positive illusions about themselves, but few suggest how these illusions persist over time. We hypothesize that this persistence is due in part to people's self-serving perceptions of the imperfect correlation between the past and the future. We find that people believe processes are more stable when they've performed well, and more unstable when they've performed poorly, and that this bias facilitates the persistence of optimistic expectations.

The Price of Good Intentions

Koehler, Derek (University of Waterloo); White, Rebecca (University of Waterloo); John, Leslie (Carnegie Mellon University)

Students starting a work term estimated the probability that they would achieve a savings goal. Compared to a control group, students asked to report their progress toward their savings goal every other week were more likely to reach it. Self-predictions were insensitive to the impact of this manipulation, however, and its underestimation also produced unwillingness to pay to be part of a program that used progress reports as a means of enhancing savings. The focus of self-predictions on (good) intentions can undermine the perceived impact and thereby the use of mechanisms that could otherwise foster goal achievement.

Self-predictions of investment decisions under narrow and broad bracketing
White, Rebecca J. (University of Waterloo); Koehler, Derek J. (University of Waterloo); Li, Annie (University of Waterloo)

We examine the accuracy of self-predictions of future investment behavior. Over 40 investment decisions, participants selected either "conservative" (high probability of small gains), or "aggressive" (low probability of large gains) investments, which were presented in either a narrow (one-at-a-time) or broad (four-at-a-time) bracket. The narrow bracket produced more risk aversion than the broad bracket, consistent with myopic loss aversion, but self-predictions were insensitive to this influence. Investment decisions presented in broad brackets yielded greater adherence to initially predicted investment strategies.

On once and future things: A temporal asymmetry in judgments of likelihood Hanko, Karlene (Cornell University); Gilovich, Thomas (Cornell University)

What do people see when they gaze into the future? Many people, at least among those in Western cultures, see a future brimming with possibilities. In the present research we hypothesized that, because people see the future as more "open" than the past, they tend to see any particular uncertain event as more likely in the future than in the past, once differences in knowledge of past versus

future are eliminated. In a series of studies, we demonstrate this temporal asymmetry in judgment and test the proposed mediator, the belief in an "open" future.

(1C) Weighing Information (8:30-10:00)

The Ability to Disregard Knowledge: A Mental Contamination Perspective Marks, Melissa (The Ohio State University); Arkes, Hal (The Ohio State University)

We demonstrate that participants can disregard newly learned obscure, but not familiar, information; however, forewarning participants that later they will have to disregard familiar information enables them to do so. In Experiment 1 we demonstrate participants' inability to discount newly learned information about the well-known Revolutionary War. In Experiment 2 participants presented with obscure War of 1812 information are able to discount successfully. Participants in Experiment 3 who are told before reading a Revolutionary War essay that they will have to disregard the essay information later, are able to discount familiar information effectively. We attribute our findings to source confusion.

Preference, consensus and unpacking: Support theory models of the preferences of others Brenner, Lyle (University of Florida); Bilgin, Baler (University of California -- Riverside)

We propose a support theory model of social projection and the (false) consensus effect. In this model, the perceived popularity of one's preferred option can be increased in two ways. First, greater evidential support accrues to the hypothesis that others prefer one's preferred option. Second, the preferred option is more salient and therefore less likely to be discounted when "packed" together with other options. This second principle predicts reduced unpacking effects for disjunctive hypotheses which include the judge's preferred option. We test several models accommodating different unpacking effects based on both discrete and graded measures of preference.

Effects of Fluency on Psychological Distance and Mental Construal: Or Why New York is a large city, but nEW yORK is a civilized jungle

Alter, Adam L (Princeton University); Oppenheimer, Daniel M (Princeton University)

People construe the world along a continuum from concretely (focusing on specific, local details) to abstractly (focusing on global essences). We show that people are more likely to interpret the world through an abstract cognitive lens when they experience cognitive disfluency, or processing difficulty. We show this effect using three instantiations of fluency: visual perceptual fluency, conceptual priming fluency, and linguistic fluency. Consistent with Construal Theory (Trope & Liberman, 2003), we suggest that one mechanism for this effect is people's tendency to perceive disfluent stimuli as more psychologically distant from their current position.

Easy does it: The role of fluency in cue weighting Shah, Anuj K. (Princeton University); Oppenheimer, Daniel M. (Princeton University)

Many models of judgment and decision making assume that people weight information according to its validity. We propose that people weight fluent, or easy to process, information more heavily than disfluent information when making judgments. Cue fluency was manipulated independent of cue validity in three studies. In Experiments 1 and 2, participants weighted visually fluent information more heavily than visually disfluent information. In Experiment 3, participants weighted linguistically fluent information more heavily than linguistically disfluent information. These studies demonstrate that fluency affects cue weighting independent of cue validity.

(2A) Risky Decision Making (10:30 – 12:00)

Tracking the Developmental Trajectory of Risky Decision Making

Levin, Irwin P. (University of Iowa); Weller, Joshua A. (Decision Research); Hart, Stephanie S. (University of Houston, Clear Lake); Pederson, Ashley A. (University of Memphis); Harshman, Lyndsay A. (University of Iowa)

Over a series of studies we developed the "cups" task as a way of studying risky decision —making in populations that include children as young as age 5. We describe how this task has been used to compare children and adults (parents) in the following ways: overall level of risk-taking; differential risk-taking to avoid losses and achieve gains; stability of risky decision-making over a 3-year period; adaptability to contingencies that make risk-taking advantageous or disadvantageous. We discuss parallels between the development of risky decision-making behaviors and the maturation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

A Fundamental Advantage of Dynamic Experience-based Strategies for Risky Choice Schneider, Sandra L. (University of South Florida); Decker, Nathaniel (University of South Florida); Hudspeth, Christopher (University of South Florida)

Using a simplified agent-based modeling approach, we simulated outcome distributions for decisions made by agents using static lottery-based strategies (expected utility, prospect theory) versus dynamic experience-based strategies (aspiration levels, experience trajectories). Static strategies always varied symmetrically around the average outcome, with effects on the likelihood of being at the extremes. Dynamic, experience-based strategies resulted in more control over the shape of outcome distributions. Only in this adaptive context was it possible to differentially influence the likelihood of ending up relatively rich versus poor. We discuss how emergent properties of dynamic strategies shed new insight on the impact of potential strategies.

A Tractable Method to Measure Utility and Loss Aversion under Prospect Theory

Abdellaoui, Mohammed (GRID, Cachan); Bleichrodt, Han (Erasmus University Rotterdam); l'Haridon, Olivier (GRID, Cachan)

This paper provides an efficient method to measure utility under prospect theory. Our method is based on the elicitation of certainty equivalents for two-outcome prospects. We found that most subjects were risk averse for gains and risk seeking for losses but had concave utility both for gains and for losses. This finding illustrates empirically that risk seeking and concave utility can coincide under prospect theory. Utility was steeper for losses than for gains, which is consistent with loss aversion. Utility did not depend on the probability used in the elicitation, which offers support for prospect theory.

Loss Aversion in Decisions under Risk and the Value of a Symmetric Simplification of Prospect Theory Ert, Eyal (Technion); Erev, Ido (Technion)

Three studies are presented that examine alternative interpretations of loss aversion. The first two studies reject a "strong" interpretation: In violations of this interpretation the proportion of risky choices was higher given prospects with mixed payoffs (gain and losses) than given prospects with nonnegative payoffs. The third study rejects a "moderate" interpretation: It shows that the elimination of the loss aversion assumption from prospect theory increases the theory's predictive value. The results demonstrate the value of a simplified version of prospect theory that assumes a symmetric "s shaped" value function, a symmetric probability weighting function, and a stochastic response rule.

(2B) Understanding happiness and pain (10:30 – 12:00)

Wealth, warmth and wellbeing Hsee, Christopher (University of Chicago GSB)

A fundamental question in happiness research is whether raising the wealth of all increases the happiness of all. A lab study and a field study suggest that the answer depends on where the wealth is spent -- on improving Type A or Type B consumptions. Type A consumptions, such as temperature, directly affect one's visceral/biological system; type B outcomes, such as jewelry, do not affect one's visceral/biological system. Spending wealth on improving Type A consumptions could increase overall happiness, whereas spending wealth on improving Type B consumptions would not.

Happiness for Sale: Do Experiential or Material Purchases lead to more Consumer Happiness?

Irwin, Julie R. (The University of Texas at Austin); Goodman, Joseph K. (University of South Carolina); Nicolao, Leonardo (The University of Texas at Austin)

Researchers have suggested that consumers would be happier if they spent their money on experiences as opposed to material possessions. In four experiments, we test this experience recommendation, and show that it may be misleading in its general form. We find that valence of the outcome significantly moderates differences in respondents' reported retrospective happiness with material versus experiential purchases. This valence by purchase type interaction is especially strong for consumers who are not materialistic. These results have implications for consumers attempting to maximize their happiness, and for marketers and public policymakers who have an interest in consumer welfare.

Memories of yesterday's emotions: Better, worse, and for some, even worse Miron-Shatz, Talya (Princeton University); Stone, Arthur (Stony Nrook University); Kahneman, Daniel (Princeton University)

We apply the Day Reconstruction Method (DRM, Kahneman et al., 2004) to 810 women, and demonstrate that peak-coding extends beyond pain to other emotions, so people recall being angrier, happier and friendlier than they reported feeling during the previous day. Peak coding could perpetuate one's perspective on life: positively disposed people peak-code pleasant emotions, and people high in negative affective disposition pronouncedly peak-code unpleasant emotions, especially when there is a large variance in the experiences. Thus, peak-coding is driven by memory processes, but is augmented in the presence of coherent implicit theories regarding the experience or the experiencing self.

The "Martyrdom Effect": When the Promise of Pain and Effort Increases Prosocial Contributions Olivola, Christopher Y. (Princeton University); Shafir, Eldar (Princeton University)

Normative and lay theories of decision-making consider pain and effort to be deterrents. In contrast, we provide novel evidence that the prospect of pain and effort can promote prosocial behavior. Across a series of experiments, participants contributed more money to a prosocial cause when the contribution process was painful and effortful than when it was neutral or enjoyable. We demonstrate this "martyrdom effect" using both hypothetical scenarios and studies involving real money and pain, and show that it cannot be explained by a taste for painful-effortful donation activities, cognitive dissonance, social norms, or an attribute substitution heuristic process.

(2C) Anchoring and Adjustment Processes (10:30 – 12:00)

The first-run effect: How temporal sequence patterns affect judgments and memory Kusev, Petko (City University); Ayton, Peter (City University); van Schaik, Paul (Teesside University); Chater, Nick (UCL)

Four experiments study relative frequency judgment and recall of sequentially presented items drawn from two categories (e.g. cities/animals). We find (a) a first-run effect whereby people overestimate the frequency of a given category when that category is the first repeated category to occur in the sequence and (b) a dissociation between judgments and memory; respondents may judge one event more likely than the other and yet recall more instances of the latter. Frequency judgments are influenced by the first run - which may reflect the operation of a judgment heuristic - while free-recall is influenced by later items.

Big raccoons and small giraffes: Anchoring in sequential judgments Frederick, Shane (MIT); Mochon, Daniel (MIT)

We explore the extent of anchoring when respondents render multiple judgments sequentially - a situation that commonly arises in surveys. We also examine the effect of more than one anchor, to test whether the effect is limited to the previous judgment. The first study shows that previous judgments can anchor subsequent ones, even when there is no explicit comparative standard. We also show that the effect is not limited to the preceding judgment: more anchors produce more anchoring. The effect appears to require that the preceding judgments be conceptually related and that responses be produced for these judgmental objects.

Incidental environmental anchoring Critcher, Clayton R. (Cornell University); Gilovich, Thomas (Cornell University)

Three studies examined whether numeric values that are incidentally associated with a judgment target, but uninformative with respect to the judgment at hand, may subtly attract numeric responses toward them. Participants' judgments of a football player were assimilated toward his jersey number, and the amount people were willing to pay for a restaurant meal was drawn toward an uninformative number in the restaurant's name. Neither participant expertise, depth of processing of the anchor, nor explicit attention to the anchor affected the size of the effects. Implications for preexisting accounts of anchoring phenomena will be discussed.

When do implausible anchors influence judgment? A 2-stage model of anchoring effects Liersch, Michael J. (University of California, San Diego); McKenzie, Craig R. M. (University of California, San Diego)

Implausible anchors can exert larger, smaller, or equal effects relative to plausible anchors. No current model can explain these mixed results. A 2-stage model of anchoring is proposed and tested. In stage 1, a binary decision regarding anchor relevance is made. Only if deemed "relevant" is the anchor assimilated in stage 2; otherwise it is ignored. The model predicts that implausible anchors are less likely to be assimilated, but when assimilated, will be more influential. An experiment confirmed both predictions. Whether implausible anchors exhibit smaller, larger, or equivalent effects than plausible anchors depends on how often those anchors are incorporated.

(3A) Mental Accounting and Losses (2:45 – 4:15)

The Silver Lining Effect: A Formal Analysis and Experiments
Jarnebrant, Peter (Columbia University); Toubia, Olivier (Columbia University); Johnson, Eric J. (Columbia University)

The silver lining effect predicts that segregating a small gain from a larger loss results in greater psychological value than does integrating them into a smaller loss. Starting from the prospect theory value function, we derive conditions under which this effect should occur; the optimality of integration depends on the size of the gain and the loss, as well as the individual's degree of loss aversion and rate of diminishing sensitivity. Some of the predictions are tested and confirmed in an online consumer purchase setting where integration and segregation frames are operationalized as discounts and rebates, respectively.

Think Time (Not Money): Happiness Mindset Fosters Giving Liu, Wendy (UCLA); Aaker, Jennifer (UC Berkeley)

This research examines how a focus on time versus money can lead to two distinct mindsets which impact people's willingness to donate to charitable causes. The results of three experiments (lab and field) reveal that asking individuals to think about "how much time they would like to donate" (versus "how much money they would like to donate") to a non-profit increases the amount that they ultimately will donate to the company. Insight into the mechanism suggests that asking people for time donations increases the happiness they perceive in donating. Implications for charitable giving, emotional well-being and happiness are discussed.

How sweet deals can turn sour: Inaction inertia explained by the sour grapes mechanism.

Van Putten, Marijke (Tilburg University); Zeelenberg, Marcel (Tilburg University); Van DIjk, Eric (Leiden University)

People turn down sweet deals, because they could have had a better deal before. We investigated the cause of this negative effect of missed, now unavailable opportunities on decisions. Three experiments confirm our prediction that people turn down sweet deals because they sour them, by trivializing or devaluing them, to reduce the frustration of missing a better deal. We show that when frustration over the missed opportunity is reduced, likelihood to act on the deal increases. Moreover, when there is less possibility to sour the deal, people stay frustrated and are more likely to act on the deal.

Issue frames in negotiations: Bearing a loss on high versus low priority issues in multi-issue negotiations

Moran, Simone (Ben Gurion University of the Negev); Ritov, Ilana (Hebrew University); Merzel, Avi (Hebrew University)

Focusing on negotiations that involve multiple issues for which negotiators have different priorities, we examine how independently framing high compared to low priority issues in terms of gains versus losses affects the negotiation process and outcome. We find that negotiations are protracted and that the probability of impasse is higher when high priority issues are framed in terms of losses compared to when low priority issues are framed in terms of losses. Framing high priority issues in terms of losses was also found to decrease negotiators' post negotiation satisfaction, even when controlling for their actual negotiation outcomes.

(3B) Symposium: The Dishonesty of "Honest" People (2:45 – 4:15)

Organzizer: Mazar, Nina (University of Toronto)

Everyday, people who consider themselves to be honest human beings fall prey to the various temptations to benefit from dishonesty; ironically, however, they manage to maintain a positive view of themselves in the face of their negative actions. From the staggering economic costs of deception at the corporate level to the interpersonal costs of such deception in relationships, a better understanding of deception is needed. This symposium explores how, why, and when "honest" people are dishonest – and how to get them to stop. The first two papers explore factors that impact the likelihood that deception will be detected by others: Norton et al. show that people restructure information to justify questionable preferences such that their decisions appear evidence-based, while Gino and Bazerman show that failures to detect dishonesty can occur when dishonesty increases gradually over time. The second two papers explore internal factors that impact the likelihood that an individual will engage in deception: Nagel and Weber show how factors that increase guilt decrease people's likelihood of dishonesty, while Mazar and Ariely focus on the dynamics of the conflict between the temptation to be dishonest and one's moral standards over time.

Justifying and Rationalizing Questionable Preferences

Norton, Michael I. (Harvard University); Sommers, Samuel R. (Tufts University); Vandello, Joseph A. (University of South Florida); Darley, John M. (Princeton University)

People often are drawn to make judgments between individuals based on questionable criteria, such as those individuals' social group memberships. We suggest that people mask biased decision making by recruiting more acceptable criteria to justify such decisions, licensing them to judge on the basis of social category information while appearing unbiased. Across several kinds of decisions (employment, college admissions, and jury selection) and with several social categories (gender, race, and age), we demonstrate how participants justify decisions biased by social category information by arbitrarily inflating the relative value of their preferred candidates' qualifications over those of competitors.

Slippery Slope and Misconduct: The Effect of Gradual Deterioration on the Failure to Notice Others' Unethical Behavior Gino, Francesca (Carnegie Mellon University); Bazerman, Max H. (Harvard University)

Four laboratory studies show that people are more likely to accept others' unethical behavior when ethical degradation occurs slowly rather than in one abrupt shift. In the studies, participants served in the role of watchdogs charged with catching cheating in a series of trials. The cheating they observed increased either gradually or abruptly; people were more likely to overlook cheating that increased gradually. Our results also indicate that the effect is due at least in part to the failure to notice that unethical behavior is occurring when the change is gradual rather than abrupt.

Psychological Mechanisms Underlying Deception in Bargaining with Incomplete Information Nagel, Rosemarie (Universitat Pompeu Fabra); Weber, Roberto A. (Carnegie Mellon University)

We explore bargaining when one party possesses private information that can be misrepresented. We study how the frequency of deception is influenced by psychological factors that vary guilt associated with deception. In one study, we explore individuals' willingness to misrepresent information either through actions alone (implicit) or through explicit statements (lying). In another study, we explore how the frequency of deception is influenced by whether it will be discovered. We observe high frequencies of deception across contexts, but find differences in deception and outcomes based on treatments. These relationships are moderated by personality-characteristics and the potential gains from deception.

Temporal Influences on Cheating Behavior: The "What the Hell" Effect Mazar, Nina (University of Toronto); Ariely, Dan (Duke University)

We investigate the dynamics of people's decisions to cheat over time by proposing the idea of a "What-the-Hell"-effect. The idea is that people, who consider themselves as honest, exert cognitive self-control over multiple temptations to cheat; thus, they only cheat once in awhile and by an amount that causes no threat to their self-concept. If, however, they overstretch this leeway unintentionally, they have to face the truth about themselves being dishonest, which in turn causes them to give up self-control and cheat all the way. Data from two studies support the idea.

(3C) Risk perception (2:45-4:15)

The "Perceived Diversity Heuristic": The Effect of Pseudo Multiplicity and Pseudo Distinctiveness on Risk Judgments Ayal, Shahar (Duke University); Zakay, Dan (Tel Aviv University)

The Perceived Diversity Heuristic is based on the idea that diversifying sources reduces risk. This heuristic yields biased judgments in cases where perceived multiplicity and/or perceived distinctiveness generate enhancement of the perceived diversity without actual change in the pool's normative values. In Study 1 we demonstrated how the identification of pseudo multiplicity and distinctiveness led to suboptimal choice. In Study 2 and 3 we demonstrate how these two sources interact to raise and lower the incidence of the ratio bias phenomenon. Finally, in Study 4 we found individuals differences in the ability to distinguish between real

and

pseudo

diversification.

Effects of mood and affect on everyday perceptions of risk Hogarth, Robin M. (ICREA & Universitat Pompeu Fabra); Portell, Mariona (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona); Cuxart, Anna (Universitat Pompeu Fabra)

Perceptions of risk in 2,800 "incidents" were collected using ESM (experiential sampling method) from 94 part-time students. In addition, participants provided data on both mood and emotional reactions using SAM (Bradley & Lang, 1994). Participants also made retrospective judgments about 6 incidents. Using hierarchical linear models, perceptions of risk were found to be a function of

type of risk, consequences and possibility of occurrence, mood state and emotional reactions, and gender. Retrospective judgments of risk are lower than those made at the time of the experience. We compare results with our earlier work on this topic.

A small price to pay: Introducing small losses increases attractiveness and reduces scope insensitivity Rai, Tage S. (Department of Psychology, UCLA); Holyoak, Keith J. (Department of Psychology, UCLA)

Small losses were introduced to increase the attractiveness of prospects across different real world contexts in single stimulus presentation. In the first set of experiments, participants were presented with a small probability of a positive gain and a complimentary probability of either no change or a small loss. Participants indicated that the 'loss' scenarios were more attractive. These results were extended to the study of scope insensitivity, in which it was found that introducing a small loss to an ecological intervention scenario induced sensitivity to scope and higher hypothetical willingness-to-pay (WTP) donations than when no loss was

Perceived Risk and Contextualized Knowledge Downs, Julie S. (Carnegie Mellon University)

Discerning signal from noise depends on many factors, including the overlap of distributions and motivations to avoid false positives vs. false negatives. In a nationwide survey, we explored behavioral responses to both legitimate and fraudulent emails. Prior negative outcomes were associated with misplaced caution about legitimate emails, t(137)=-2.07, p< .05, but not with resistance to fraudulent ones, t(137)< 1. Knowledge specific to the frauds protected participants, t(137)=-2.57, p=.01, but knowledge about other kinds of protection left participants vulnerable, t(137)=2.09, p< .05. These results suggest that negative experiences may change behavior, but that without contextualized knowledge they may not improve decisions.

(4A) Consumer Decision Making (4:45 – 6:15)

Choosing and Rejecting Uncertainty: Preferences for Variance in Positive (and Aversion to Variance in Negative) Experiences Martin, Jolie M. (Harvard Business School); Barron, Gregory M. (Harvard Business School); Norton, Michael I. (Harvard Business School)

When assessing experiences based on the ratings of others, do people prefer high variance options (about which opinions differ) or low variance options (about which there is consensus)? In a series of studies, we demonstrate that decision-makers prefer higher variance in positive domains (e.g., jelly beans, movies, and desserts) but lower variance in negative domains (e.g., dental procedures, disgusting foods). These predictable shifts in preferences for variance are due in part to individuals focusing disproportionately on achieving the best outcomes in positive domains – leading to variance-seeking – and avoiding the worst outcomes in negative domains – leading to variance-aversion.

Compatibility Effects in the Aggregation of Opinions Maciejovsky, Boris (Massachusetts Institute of Technology); Budescu, David V. (University of Illinois)

There has been a substantial increase of websites providing consumers with information about products and services. This information is usually presented in the form of verbal reviews and numerical ratings. It is assumed that consumers adequately integrate the information across both formats. Research on compatibility effects between stimulus and response formats, however, suggests that information aggregation and preference consistency are higher (lower) in cases of compatible (non-compatible) formats. The results of three experiments confirm this conjecture. Information aggregation and preference reversals were systematically affected by the compatibility of the stimulus and response format. Participants were not aware of this effect.

Motivating Discounts: Price-Induced Motivated Reasoning Dawson, Erica (Yale School of Management); Amir, On (UCSD Rady School of Management)

Indifferent consumers tend to interpret large discounts as signals of low quality. We examine discounts with the notion that consumers frequently are not indifferent—they often approach purchase decisions with a consumption preference. By varying apriori product appeal and discount sizes, we demonstrate that large discounts can signal low quality to neutral consumers, but have the opposite effect on consumers motivated to attain the product, who perceived the product more positively (and purchased more) when offered a large rather than moderate discount in 4 studies. We explain positive distortion in product perceptions in terms of psychological theories of motivated reasoning.

Trade-offs in the Dark: Exemplar-Based Learning and Extrapolated Preference Functions
Huang, Yanliu (Marketing Department, University of Pennsylvania); Meyer, Robert (Marketing Department, University of Pennsylvania)

Our research investigates how consumers construct multi-attribute preferences over unfamiliar attribute ranges. Using an agent-learning task we find tentative support for an asymmetric pattern-matching process that yields more accurate forecasts of utility when attribute values are better than those with which decision makers have had experience than worse. At the same time, high levels of accuracy displayed in predicting over positive ranges suggests that such pattern-matching processes were accompanied by higher-order skills of linear extrapolation---though applied only when decision makers were asked to contemplate positive outcomes.

(4B) Affective Forecasting (4:45-6:15)

My Imagination or Your Feelings? Judging the Impact of a Medical Condition on Happiness Walsh, Emma (City University); Ayton, Peter (City University)

People typically overestimate the impact of future events on happiness. One proposed remedy is, rather than imagining the event, people should consult a "surrogate" currently experiencing the event. Norwick, Gilbert, and Wilson (2005) forced people to use surrogate reports by withholding all other information and found people made better forecasts. However, in life surrogate information rarely supplants event information. When we presented both sorts of information, judgments improved but event information still biased forecasts. People are bad at imagining how medical conditions would affect their happiness but also inappropriately discount other people's experience as a valid predictor of their own.

On Emotional Innumeracy: Predicted and Actual Affective Responses to Grand-Scale Tragedies Dunn, Elizabeth (University of British Columbia); Ashton-James, Claire (University of British Columbia)

We hypothesized that affective forecasts are more sensitive to abstract quantitative information than are emotional experiences, even when forecasts are made in single-evaluation mode. Consistent with this hypothesis, we show in three studies that people predict feeling increasingly upset as a function of death toll, but that actual emotions are largely insensitive to the number of people killed—unless abstract death tolls are translated into concrete images. We argue that affective forecasts and emotional experiences may arise from separate systems, and demonstrate that the act of forecasting primes the rational (versus experiential) system, leading to reductions in temporal discounting.

The influence of the future on judgments of self and others Williams, Elanor F. (Cornell University); Gilovich, Tom (Cornell University)

People constantly think about and plan for the future, and it follows that the future has a large impact on judgments of the self. However, people don't as readily think about other people's futures, which raises questions about the extent to which the future influences judgments of others. We contend that the person one is striving to be is seen as a more significant component of the self than of other people, and the asymmetrical influence the future has on representations of the self and others can affect how each are perceived, understood, and assessed in the present.

Damned If You Do, But Not If You Did: How Temporal Perspective Shapes Perceptions of Fairness and Morality Caruso, Eugene M. (University of Chicago)

People's emotional reactions tend to be more extreme for future events than for equivalent past events, and moral intuitions are often guided by emotional reactions. Therefore, moral judgments typically may be more extreme for events set in the future than for events set in the past. In five studies, participants judged future unfair events more extremely than equivalent events in the equidistant past. The results suggest that permission for actions with ethical connotations may be harder to get than forgiveness, and that moral reactions to ethical behavior can be heavily influenced by the temporal framing of events.

(4C) Symposium: Judgments and Decisions about Allocation of Humanitarian Aid (4:45 – 6:15)

Organizers: Cuddy, Amy J. C. (Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University); Small, Deborah A. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)

Four lines of research address judgment and decision-making processes that shape allocation of humanitarian aid, emphasizing the roles of emotion (Van Boven, Small, & Cuddy) and intergroup bias (Cuddy & Ritov). Van Boven identifies an immediacy bias in decisions about humanitarian aid allocation, experimentally demonstrating that people who are exposed to a randomly ordered sequence of distressing humanitarian crises, prefer (i.e., perceive as more aid-worthy) the final crisis in the sequence to which they experienced immediate emotional reactions. Small argues that natural disasters and other tragic events are more likely to elicit sympathy than static misfortunes like hunger because sympathy is a function of change, not states. She presents evidence that sympathy is greater when a negative state is the result of a loss than when it is a chronic condition. Cuddy argues that intergoup biases in judgments about the emotional states of disaster victims impact helping responses. Observers both deny that outgroup victims experience anger, a privileged emotion they reserve for ingroups, and deny help to outgroup victims who express anger. Ritov discusses conditions under which identifying an individual victim fails to generate donations to a larger humanitarian cause, focusing on moderating roles of social categorization and ingroup preference.

Who to Help? Immediacy Bias in Judgments and Decisions about Humanitarian Aid Allocation
Van Boven, Leaf (Cornell University); Huber, Michaela A. (University of Colorado at Boulder); McGraw, A. Peter (University of Colorado at Boulder); Johnson-Graham, Laura (University of Colorado at Boulder)

In three experiments, people exhibited an immediacy bias when allocating resources among humanitarian crises they learned about over time. After exposure to a randomly ordered sequence of distressing humanitarian crises, people perceived as more deserving, donated more money to, and were more likely to write a letter calling attention to the final crisis in the sequence to which people experienced immediate emotional reactions. The immediacy bias occurred independent of mortality information, and diminished after emotions had presumably subsided. The tendency to favor the final crisis was diminished when people allocated resources after each crisis, reacting sequentially to immediate emotions.

Reference-Dependent Sympathy Small, Deborah A. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)

Natural disasters and other tragic events often capture compassion and aid whereas static misfortunes like poverty and hunger fail to arouse emotion and action. Decision researchers know well that carriers of self-utility are losses and gains, rather than absolute levels of wealth. I argue that aid disparities are partly attributable to the fact that sympathy is likewise a function of changes, not

states. I present evidence that, controlling for a victim's current state, sympathy is greater when that state is the result of a loss than when it is a chronic condition.

No Right to be Mad: Denying Anger and Help to Outgroup Victims

Cuddy, Amy J. C. (Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University); Norton, Michael I. (Harvard Business School)

Biased judgments about the emotional states of outgroup members interfere with observers' decisions to offer or deny aid during humanitarian crises. In a series of experiments, participants who read news articles about disasters ascribed less anger to outgroup victims than to ingroup victims, but equal fear and sadness, though reported more interest in helping outgroup victims to whom they had ascribed anger. Ironically, however, ingroup members withdrew help when outgroup members expressed their anger. In short, observers both deny that outgroup victims experience anger, a privileged emotion reserved for ingroups, and deny help to those outgroup victims who express anger.

Who is the Victim? Identifiability Effect in Different Types of Social Categorizations Ritov, Ilana (Hebrew University); Kogut, Tehila (Hebrew University)

When does identifying an individual victim enhance willingness to help? We suggest that increase in helping due to identifiability of the victim depends on the self social categorization of the contributor in relation to the victim, as well as the type of social categories considered. Three types of groups were examined: nationality based, politically-ideologically founded, and randomly generated groups based on the minimal group paradigm. Identifying the victim increased donations to in-group members but not to out-group ones in some types of groups, while the opposite pattern was observed for other types. We discuss possible accounts of these divergent findings.

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 18

(**5A**) **Conflict and Choice** (10:30 – 12:00) (NOTE: In Salon B)

Inferences from Decision Difficulty: False Consensus or Uniqueness as a Function of Choice Conflict Steffel, Mary (Princeton University); Shafir, Eldar (Princeton University)

Our research demonstrates that people rely on decision conflict to predict other people's choices. We find that people predict a greater proportion of others to share their preferences when decisions feel easy than when they feel hard. While relying on conflict is often a useful guide to predicting choice, this heuristic biases predictions in a systematic fashion. In a series of experiments, we manipulate conflict by varying the relative attractiveness of choice options and demonstrate errors in prediction that are based on conflict. We explore the boundaries of the decision conflict heuristic and discuss implications for individual and collective choices.

Dazed and Confused by Choice: How Underestimating the Costs of Choosing Leads to Undesirable Outcomes Botti, Simona (London Business School); Hsee, Christopher K. (University of Chicago)

Choosing involves both benefits and costs. For example, choosing allows one to find the option that best matches personal preference. At the same time, choosing is cognitively taxing and emotionally stressing. In three experiments, we show that individuals systematically underestimate the cost of choosing, and desire choice even though they would be better off if they relinquished it. For example, participants predicted that they would perform and feel better in a problem-solving task if they could choose which problems to solve, yet in reality, those who could not choose performed and felt better than those who could and did choose

Comprehension checks as a necessary condition for validating experimental demonstrations of normative violations. Keren, Gideon (Eindhoven University of Technology); Willemsen, Martijn (Eindhoven University of Technology)

A recent debate on the JDM mailing list concerns unsuccessful replications of experimental results. A major reason for such failures stems from ambiguous instructions and Experimenters' inability to take participants' perspective. While many Experimenters perform manipulation checks, they fail to conduct comprehension checks. Recently, Gneezy et. al. (2006) introduced the Uncertainty effect following which a risky prospect is valued less than its worst possible outcome. We present experiments showing that the effect results from participants' misunderstanding (and misinterpretation) of complex gambles. To prevent such artefacts and ensure the validity of experimental results and their replication, rigorous comprehension checks are recommended.

When Less is More: The Effect of Processing Fluency on Preference for Hedonic Products Pocheptsova, Anastasiya (Yale University); Labroo, Aparna (University of Chicago); Dhar, Ravi (Yale University)

Existing research posits that products that are processed more easily also feel more familiar (Schwarz 2004), and because people prefer familiar objects (Zajonc 1968), ease of processing results in enhanced liking of the target product. However, what if a product is hedonic in nature and believed to be for special occasions? We propose that for such products difficulty of processing will enhance evaluation because it will make such products feel more special. Consistent with this proposition, we find, across three studies, that consumers prefer hedonic products (such as gourmet cheese or chocolate truffles) when processing difficulty is high.

(5B) Affect and Judgment (10:30 – 12:00)

When You're Feeling Blue, Less Is True: The Influence of Negative Affect on Validity Judgments Evans, Matt (DePaul University)

Three experiments demonstrated that negative affect suppresses judgments that information is true. In two experiments, participants judged fewer ambiguous statements to be true when primed with negative affect than when primed with positive affect. In a third experiment, participants were faster at judging obviously true statements to be true than at judging obviously false statements to be false when primed with positive affect, but this pattern was reversed when participants were primed with negative affect, such that participants tended to be faster at judging obviously false statements to be false than at judging obviously true statements to be true.

Affect As A Decision System Of The Present Chang, Hanna (Columbia University); Pham, Michel Tuan (Columbia University)

We propose that the affective system is more likely to be engaged in decisions that are anchored in the present than in decisions that are anchored either in the future or in the past. Consistent with this proposition, results from four experiments show that both integral affect and incidental affect carry more weight in decisions about the immediate future than in decisions about a more distant future. In addition, the scope-insensitivity bias associated with affective valuation holds only when people are thinking about the present but not when they are thinking about the future or about the past.

Seeing Red (or Blue): Anger More Than Sadness Exacerbates Politically Polarized Evaluations of the Federal Response to Hurricane Katrina

Huber, Michaela (University of Colorado, Boulder); Van Boven, Leaf (Cornell University); Park, Bernadette (University of Colorado, Boulder); Pizzi, William (University of Colorado, Boulder)

The general public is often divided along political lines in the face of important events or crises such as Hurricane Katrina and its aftermath. Two experiments showed that anger more than sadness exacerbates the political divide. In a nationally representative sample, integral anger led to a political divide between Democrats and Republicans in terms of who they blamed for events related to Hurricane Katrina. In a community sample in Colorado, experimentally aroused incidental anger led to increased political polarization when participants evaluated the Federal Government's response to Hurricane Katrina. The importance of anger and sadness for political polarization is discussed.

Physical Contact, Emotional Responses, and Liability Judgment in Legal Cases Zhang, Yan (University of Chicago GSB)

In legal cases, someone whose behavior caused something which consequently caused injuries is judged to be less guilty than someone whose behavior caused injuries directly (study 1). However, this is not due to the more complicated causal chains. Holding everything else constant, people gave harsher penalty if the defendant physically touched the victim than not (study 2). This is because liability judgments are mainly driven by the strong emotions associated with imagining physically touching victims. When people consider a legal case where the defendant physically touched the victim, not showing facial expressions blocks emotions and consequently reduces penalty (study 3).

(5C) Perceptions involving time (10:30-12:00)

Looming Losses in Future Time Perception Bilgin, Baler (University of California, Riverside); LeBoeuf, Robyn A. (University of Florida)

We propose that a future time interval's perceived length will be affected by whether the interval ends positively or negatively, with impending losses playing a special role in time perception. We find that intervals ending with losses seem shorter than equivalent intervals ending with gains, partly because losses "loom larger" (seem nearer) than gains. Consistent with this, perceived interval length is more sensitive to changes in the magnitude of anticipated losses than anticipated gains. Furthermore, positive and negative interval endpoints have a less pronounced effect on time perception when they are no longer interpreted as "gains" and "losses."

Subjective Time Perception and Temporal Discounting
Zauberman, Gal (University of Pennsylvania); Kim, B. Kyu (University of Pennsylvania); Malkoc, Selin A. (University of Minessota); Bettman, James R. (Duke University)

In this work we explore the effect of time perception in preferences over time. We suggest that people are relatively insensitive to changes in objective time horizon and that this insensitivity itself leads to a declining rate of discounting with increased time intervals (i.e., hyperbolic discounting). Results from three studies show that the subjective estimates of time horizon are significantly more compressed relative to objective time. When discount rate is calculated using the subjective estimates of duration rather than actual duration, discounting is no longer hyperbolic.

Perceptions Of The Length Of Future Time Intervals: The Role Of Certainty LeBoeuf, Robyn A. (University of Florida); Simmons, Joseph P. (Yale University)

We posit that uncertainty (versus certainty) about what will happen in a future time interval makes that interval seem longer. Consistent with this, future intervals seem shorter when participants consider the events that will transpire in them (thereby diminishing uncertainty) than when they contemplate "empty" intervals of equal length; similarly, intervals seem shorter when filled with many events, instead of few. Furthermore, those who consider many (versus few) things occurring during an interval are more willing to defer income until the interval ends (i.e., exhibit lower discount rates), presumably because diminished uncertainty about the interval makes the interval seem shorter.

Culture and judgment based on time Ji, Li-Jun (Queen's University)

The same information may be judged differently, depending on the timeframe associated with it. Such judgment is also affected by people's cultural background. Research from my lab has shown that (1) Chinese participants perceived events happening in the past to be more relevant to the present than Canadian participants, (2) Chinese participants remembered information on the past better than Canadian participants, and (3) Chinese assigned greater monetary value to events in the past than to identical events in the future, whereas Canadians assigned greater monetary value to events in the future than to identical events in the past.

(6A) Behavioral Economics (2:45 – 4:15)

Unraveling the Disposition Effect: The role of prospect theory and emotions Summers, Barbara (Leeds University Business School); Duxbury, Darren (Leeds University Business School)

The 'disposition effect' (Sheffrin and Statman, 1985) describes the tendency of investors to selling winning shares too soon while holding losing shares for too long. The effect was explained using prospect theory, but prospect theory's causal role has been questioned by recent studies (Barberis & Xiong, 2006; Hens & Vlcek, 2005). To examine this, we explore the minimum conditions required for the disposition effect. We find that the disposition effect can be explained by the balance between positive and negative affect in a way that is in line with the predictions of subjective expected pleasure theory (Mellers et. al., 1999).

Limited Strategic Thinking in the Field: The Box Office Premium to Unreviewed "Cold Opened" Movies Brown, Alexander L (California Institute of Technology); Camerer, Colin F. (California Institute of Technology); Lovallo, Dan (University of Western Australia)

In the US, distributors occasionally choose to not prescreen their worst movies to critics, causing movie quality to be unknown for some time. Our data suggests this tactic is profitable. While at equilibrium rational moviegoers should infer movie quality from the distributor's decision not to screen them, laboratory experiments suggest that people do not reach this level of sophisticated thinking. Our paper is the first to fit models designed and calibrated from these laboratory results to field data, and in this specific area, consumer, but not distributor behavior, closely matches the level of thinking of subjects in the lab.

The psychology of benefits decisions: A field experiment with Flexible Spending Accounts
Schwartz, Janet (Princeton University); Bertrand, Marianne (University of Chicago); Mullainathan, Sendhil (Harvard University);
Shafir, Eldar (Princeton University)

Although decision science principles have shown great power in laboratory settings, policy makers have largely ignored them in making actual policy. To assess the relevance of these principles for social policy and managerial decision making we present a field experiment designed to boost participation rates and contribution amounts in employer-sponsored healthcare Flexible Spending Accounts (FSAs). On the whole, the intervention was a success, resulting in significant increases in FSA take-up among non-users and in contribution amounts among users. The results show the extent to which psychological variables can have a meaningful impact on benefits programs' participation.

Choice Architecture

Thaler, Richard H (University of Chicago); Sunstein, Cass (University of Chicago)

JDM researchers have long known that context and framing influnce choice. This paper proposes that choices can be improved by paying more attention to the design of the choice environment, what we call "choice architecture". Drawing on Don Norman's work on product design, we identify 6 guidelines for the design of choice architecture systems. Applications in both the public and private sector are discussed.

(6B) Socially-influenced preferences (2:45 – 4:15)

Trade-offs Between Economic and Social Goals

Arora, Poonam (Columbia University); Krantz, David H. (Columbia University); Hardisty, David (Columbia University); Peterson, Nicole (Columbia University); Reddy, Kavita (Columbia University)

We examine the consequences of two commonly held assumptions: first, that "preferences" are constructed, and thus can be strongly context-dependent; second, that social as well as economic goals are important, and therefore tradeoffs between these two goal types can be a major factor in context-dependent choice. Our two studies show that an increase in affiliation increases the saliency of social goals making cooperative action more likely, changing the perception of payoffs and reducing importance of self-gain. Changes in the temporal framing, i.e., delay of payoffs can attenuate this increased saliency of social goals vis-à-vis economic goals thus reducing cooperative action.

Mistaken Identity: Social Identity as a Specious Cue for Decision Making Fox, Craig (UCLA); Carranza, Erica (Columbia University); Morris, Michael (Columbia University)

Four studies show that preferences can be biased by a salient social identity that is only superficially related to available options. In Studies 1 and 2, Republicans were more likely to favor "conservative" (less risky) gambles and/or investments after they had been asked about their political identity, while there was no such effect among Democrats. Study 3 finds that this effect disappears when there is no "conservative" label. Study 4 shows that attraction to identity-congruent labels persists when labels are reversed and participants elaborate on why the "conservative" label applies. These results suggest top-down rather than bottom-up construction of preferences.

The Bold – Timid Divide in Consumer Choice Maimaran, Michal (Stanford University); Simonson, Itamar (Stanford University)

We propose that many seemingly different choice problems decision makers face involve fundamentally similar options. Specifically, we argue that across a wide range of problems some options represent more "timid" choices (e.g., compromise, safe, and standard options) whereas other options represent more "bold" choices (e.g., extreme, risky, and unique options). The tendency to select a more timid or bold option is affected by situational cues which trigger a more timid or bold mindset. Several studies demonstrate this distinction between options and the correspondence between mindsets and choice behavior.

Motivation in Judgment and Choice: Strategy, Duration, and Accuracy of Judgment as a Fuction of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motives DeCaro, Daniel, A. (Department of Psychology, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056); Johnson, Joseph, G. (Department of Psychology, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056)

Within JDM, motivation is traditionally conceptualized as task importance – greater effort arises from associated incentive returns and situational requirements such as justifiability (Bonner & Sprinkle, 2002). However, self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2004) suggests that economic-based task importance is just one element of a complementary motivational system involving both extrinsic (instrumental) and intrinsic (self-identity based) incentives. We examined the influence of extrinsic v. intrinsic incentives in a complex judgment task. Participants judged the guiltiness of mock murder case suspects within a computerized process-tracing paradigm. Compared to extrinsic, intrinsic motivation increased information search completeness and duration and improved guiltiness judgments.

(6C) Individual Differences (2:45 – 4:15)

Do maximizers make better decisions than satisficers?

Parker, Andrew M. (RAND Corporation); Bruine de Bruin, Wändi (Carnegie Mellon University); Fischhoff, Baruch (Carnegie Mellon University)

Schwartz et al. (2002) measured the extent to which people try to maximize vs. satisfice when making decisions, and found that maximizers tend to be more regretful and less happy than satisficers. We examine how maximizing vs. satisficing tendencies relate to (a) other decision-making styles, (b) decision-making competence, and (d) decision-making outcomes. Self-reported maximizers are more likely than satisficers to experience regret, to depend on others when making decisions, and to avoid decisions. More importantly, they also display worse decision-making competence and report worse life outcomes -- even after controlling for other decision-making styles, decision-making competence, and demographic variables.

The Pain of Paying Varies Across Situations and Individuals: Evidence from an International Survey Rick, Scott (Wharton); Cryder, Cynthia (Carnegie Mellon); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon)

We develop a Spendthrift-Tightwad (ST-TW) scale to measure individual differences in the pain of paying. In an international sample of over 13,000 respondents, we find that tightwads outnumber spendthrifts by a 3:2 ratio. Although ST-TW scale scores correlate significantly with credit card debt and total savings, situational determinants of the pain of paying moderate the influence of individual differences. Spending differences between tightwads and spendthrifts are greatest when situational factors intensify the pain of paying and smallest when situational factors mitigate the pain of paying.

Numeracy and intuitive number sense in decision making

Peters, Ellen (Decision Research); Vastfjall, Daniel (Decision Research and Goteborg University); Slovic, Paul (Decision Research)

Previous research has shown that individuals low and high in numeracy (number skill) rely on different sources of information in decision making. We demonstrate that numeracy is associated with a low-level intuitive number sense such that highly numerate individuals have more precise representations of numeric quantities compared to their less numerate peers. We further show that number sense is associated with decisions involving number comparisons both indirectly through numeracy and directly through number-comparison processes in the decision context. This intuitive representation appears to underlie numeric abilities and the meaning, utility, and weighting of information in decisions involving number comparisons.

Affective versus deliberative processing in younger and older adults' risk taking: The role of cognitive control Figner, Bernd (Columbia University); Murphy, Ryan O. (Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia University)

The role of affective versus deliberative processing and cognitive control in risk taking in young and older adults was investigated with two versions of a dynamic computer card game, a Go/No-Go task, and skin conductance recording. Age effects in risk taking were observed only in the affective condition. Further, increased affect-based risk taking was correlated with lower cognitive control in young adults. The results are consistent with the explanation that increased risk taking in young adults is caused by a developmentally transient imbalance between affective and deliberative processes with cognitive control playing a mediation role.

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19

(7A) Decision Strategies (8:45 – 10:15)

Testing unconscious thought: Is distraction really a panacea for difficult decisions?

Phillips, Nathaniel D. (Ohio University); González-Vallejo, Claudia (Ohio University); Bellezza, F. S. (Ohio University); Chimeli, Janna (Ohio University); Harman, Jason (Ohio University); Lassiter, G. D., Lindberg, Matthew J.

Dijksterhuis et al.'s (2006) Unconscious Thought Theory (UTT) suggests that distraction from a problem leads to better decisions than deliberate thought due to the activation of unconscious thought. An alternative hypothesis is that people form online-judgments during pre-distraction information acquisition which serve as the basis of what is reported after distraction. To test this hypothesis, Einhorn and Hogarth's (1992) belief-adjustment model was used to model choice data resulting from different orders of stimuli presentation. In support of our hypothesis and in contrast to UTT, stimuli order-effects were found conforming to predictions of fatigue and belief-perseverance from the belief-adjustment model.

Decisions by Coin Toss and the Limits of Rationality Keren, Gideon (Eindhoven University of Technology); Teigen, Karl H (University of Oslo)

Faced with equally attractive options, rational choice theory implicitly prescribes a randomizer (e.g., coin) as a tie breaker. Similarly, when lacking convincing arguments in favor of one option, considerations of fairness (e.g., which patient should receive the life saving kidney) advocate using a randomizer. Contrary to these normative considerations, we present empirical evidence demonstrating people's strong aversion for coin tossing, even when (i) they judge the options as equally (un)attractive, and (ii) observable fairness is desired. Evidently, visibly arbitrary chance is often repulsive. The results are examined in light of different conceptions of rationality, from an economic and psychological perspective.

Decision Amnesia: Motivated Forgetting of Difficult Choices Chance, Zoe (Harvard); Norton, Michael I. (Harvard)

Quite famously, past research has suggested that following difficult decisions, people are motivated to bolster the chosen option and deride the foregone alternatives (Festinger 1957), but we show that individuals sometimes use the even simpler strategy of forgetting the original decision entirely. We demonstrate first that, in seeming violation of standard memory effects, decision amnesia is most likely to occur for those decisions consumers spent the most time considering. Furthermore, participants seem to fill in the missing elements of past decisions with originally absent options: the desire to maintain the amnesia is strong enough to reconstruct the fabric of memory.

The Effect of Manipulating Category Width on Consumer Decision Making Chakravarti, Amitav (New York University); Ulkumen, Gulden M. (University of Southern California); Morwitz, Vicki G. (New York University)

In this paper we show that the fine-grained nature of one decision context (e.g., answering questions on simple 3-point scales vs. more differentiated 7-point ones), systematically and reliably affects judgments and decisions made in a subsequent and unrelated decision. This occurs because exposure to a more (less) fine-grained decision context narrows (broadens) people's category widths, and induces more (less) careful and meticulous information processing. This change in processing style leads to carryover effects on basic cognitive behaviors (e.g., grouping, categorization), in consumer domains (e.g., new product adoption, brand extension evaluation), and for general decision making strategies (e.g., susceptibility to heuristics).

(7B) Self-control and self-regulation (8:45-10:15)

Activating Self-Control: Isolated versus Interrelated Temptations Myrseth, Kristian Ove R. (University of Chicago GSB); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago GSB)

Some temptations are not damaging when consumed in moderation (e.g., eating one small dessert, smoking one cigarette), but will conflict with long-term goals when consumed extensively. We argue that people perceive such choice opportunities as self-control dilemmas, and thus exercise restraint, only if the opportunities for indulgence are perceived as interrelated. Otherwise, there is no experience of a dilemma and hence no exercise of restraint. We report three experiments that manipulated the perceived relationship between choice opportunities, and we find more self-control when opportunities seem interrelated versus isolated.

To Have One's Mind Set on it: Mindsets and Self-Control Dilemmas Bruyneel, Sabrina D. (Carnegie Mellon/KULeuven); Dewitte, Siegfried (KULeuven)

The self-control strength model states that exerting self-control taxes a limited resource akin to energy or strength, thus bringing people in a state of resource depletion and reducing their capacity to exert self-control subsequently. We attempt to gain more insight in the processes underlying depletion. We show that exerting self-control induces a myopic mindset (Study 1) which subsequently drives depletion effects (Studies 2 and 3). We also show that the myopic mindset rather than the exertion of effort induces self-control loss. A state of depletion may thus better be characterized as a cognitive state than as a loss of strength.

Myopic Procrastination of Positive Experiences Shu, Suzanne B. (UCLA); Gneezy, Ayelet (University of California, San Diego)

The tendency to delay what could be done today applies not only to effortful, undesirable tasks but also to positive experiences that we look forward to having. Existing models of myopic procrastination for aversive tasks can also apply to these situations to explain how positive events can be continually delayed. A large multi-city study surveying tourists and residents shows that individuals procrastinate visiting desirable city landmarks when given unlimited time windows. This procrastination, however, is reduced once the window of opportunity is constrained. A second study provides evidence that temporal construal effects contribute to this myopic procrastination.

I won't think about you unless I need you: The influence of money on social perception

Mead, Nicole (Florida State University); Vohs, Kathleen (University of Minnesota)

Building on recent research showing that money leads to self-sufficient behavior (Vohs, Mead, & Goode, 2006), the present research tested whether and how money influences basic social cognition. People reminded of money showed poorer recall for social information, but not for non-social information, relative to people not reminded of money (Study 1). People primed with money were worse at perspective-taking than people not primed with money (Study 2). Offering a financial incentive for accuracy improved money participants' perspective-taking, but a social incentive did not (Study 3). Thus, money leads to egocentric biases unless sufficient motivation for accuracy is given.

(7C) Likelihood Judgments (8:45 – 10:15)

Outcome Dependency of Subjective Probability Estimates Vosgerau, Joachim (Carnegie Mellon)

Do subjective probability estimates depend on the nature of the outcome? In three studies, it is shown that the stake in an outcome (e.g. winning \$5 or losing \$5 if the outcome occurs vs. no gain or loss if the outcome occurs) leads to elevated probability judgments. In study 1, stake in the outcome is shown to elevate both subjective probabilities, the likelihood of the outcome occurring and the likelihood of the outcome not occurring. Study 2 and 3 manipulate the mediating variable arousal directly. Aroused participants are shown to give higher likelihood judgments for complementary outcomes than non-aroused participants.

Locus of control as a factor in gambler's fallacy and hot-outcome effect Hart, Stephanie S. (University of Houston-Clear Lake); Levin, Irwin P. (University of Iowa)

We investigate perceptions of randomness in children (9- to 10- years old) and adults. In a binary choice paradigm, children showed positive recency (e.g., the "hot outcome" fallacy), in contrast to adults who showed negative recency (e.g., the gambler's fallacy). We discuss developmental differences in locus of control as a contributing factor in the use of a "stay" or "switch" strategy, and suggest future research to further test this hypothesis.

Within-Subject Preference Reversals and Order Effects in Decisions from Experience Ungemach, Christoph (University of Warwick); Stewart, Neil (University of Warwick); Chater, Nick (University College London)

We investigated whether differences between decisions made from descriptions and experience can be observed within participants. 150 subjects made choices between six pairs of decision problems presented in a descriptive and an experiential format. Unlike previous studies, the experienced probabilities were matched exactly to the probabilities in the descriptions, so any differences between formats cannot be ascribed to sampling error. In addition, three order conditions were implemented but no order effects were found. Preference reversals consistent with underweighting of small probabilities in decision from experience were still observed but cannot be explained by sampling error or undersampling of rare events.

On the Determinants of the Psychological Weighting of Rare Events Erev, Ido (Technion); Hertwig, Ralph (Univ. of Basel); Schurr, Amos (Hebrew Univ.)

Many important behavioral phenomena can be described as consequences of sub-optimal weighting of rare events. Interestingly, the direction of the deviations from optimal weighting varies between experimental paradigms. Indications of overweighting rare events have been observed in the study of decision-making under risk and uncertainty, judgment, and reaction to aversive experiences. Deviations in the opposite direction have been observed in the study decisions from experience and perceptual decisions. The current paper reviews these findings and demonstrates that the direction of the deviations can be captured with a simple model that assumes reliance on small samples of available scenarios.

(8A) Choice Sets and Choices (10:30 – 12:00)

Making a Difference: Expectation-Driven Separation in Consumer Choices Carlson, Kurt A. (Duke University); Tal, Aner (Duke University)

When decision makers lack prior knowledge of the available choice options, their choice depends exclusively on the acquisition and evaluation of option information. We find that even when decision makers lack option-specific knowledge, they have expectations regarding the choice set as a whole which influence the choice process. As the expected difference in overall quality between options increases so does depth of search and information distortion to favor the tentatively leading option. These results are consistent with the idea that the threshold decision makers need to breach before making a choice depends on their expectations regarding the choice set.

The Influence of Decision-Making Order on Consumers' Likelihood to Buy Gao, Leilei (Stanford University); Simonson, Itamar (Stanford University)

We contrast two perspectives on consumer decision process or order: (a) first deciding whether to choose and then making a selection from a choice set, (b) first making a selection and then deciding whether to make any purchase. We show that the two orders systematically affect consumers' likelihood of making a purchase across a wide range of problems. Generally, when a selection decision is made first, purchase likelihood is mainly affected by the difficulty of comparing alternatives in a set; when a buy/no-buy decision is made first, purchase likelihood is mainly affected by the overall features of a choice set.

Decision Quicksands: Assortment Size, Justification, and Self-Control

Berger, Jonah A. (Wharton); Sela, Aner (Stanford); Liu, Wendy (UCLA)

Recent work has demonstrated how variety influences choice likelihood, but given that consumers end up making a selection, we suggest assortment size may also influence the type of item they select. Specifically, because choosing between a larger number of options requires making more tradeoff comparisons, it promotes greater reliance on reasons or justifications for choice. Consequently, we suggest that choosing from a larger set of options will lead consumers to select options that are easier to justify. Four studies support this hypothesis and demonstrate that assortment size influences the choice between vice and virtue.

Not in my backyard: The influence of arbitrary boundaries on consumer choice Galak, Jeff (New York University); Kruger, Justin (New York University); Rozin, Paul (University of Pennsylvania)

The present research suggests that symbolic boundaries such as political borders act as psychological buffers. Participants in one study were less troubled by the threat of contamination from a hypothetical nuclear power plant if it was on the other side of a state border (holding constant distance), and consumers in another study were less likely to travel to a virtual store if they had to cross a town border in the process. Just as symbolic connection can convey the feeling of contamination (Morales and Fitzsimons, 2007; Rozin and Nemeroff, 2002), so too can symbolic disconnection serve as a psychological buffer.

(8B) Trust (10:30 – 12:00)

The comparative advantage of procedural legitimacy in social dilemmas
Gaissmaier, Wolfgang (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin); Tontrup, Stephan (Max Planck Institute for Research
on Collective Goods, Bonn)

The legitimacy of procedures could be an important determinant of people's willingness to cooperate in social dilemma situations. In a public goods game, we manipulated procedural legitimacy by either allowing people to vote on a set of rules or to give them rules other people voted for exogenously. Although game theoretically, this should make no difference, we expect that it does psychologically, with voting resulting in higher commitment. As hypothesized, average contributions to the public good were much higher in the voting than in the control condition (85.2% vs. 58.5%), independent of the actual outcome of the voting procedure.

Searching for Privacy in all the Wrong Places: A behavioral economics perspective on individual concern for privacy John, Leslie (Carnegie Mellon University); Acquisti, Alessandro (Carnegie Mellon University); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University)

Willingness to disclose private information was tested in three survey-based experiments. The first indicated a paradoxical effect of reassurance: substantive assurances of confidentiality backfired, making individuals less likely to admit to having engaged in sensitive behaviors. The second study examined the impact of overt versus covert inquiries about sensitive behaviors. Participants were most likely to reveal incriminating information in the covert conditions, in which admissions did not "feel" like disclosure. A third study revealed an effect of question order on disclosure. Disclosure is likely to depend on a wide range of motives, not all of which are normatively appropriate.

Trusting Or Not Trusting One's Feelings In Ultimatum Games Pham, Michel Tuan (Columbia University); Stephen, Andrew (Columbia University)

Four experiments show that, compared to lower trust in one's feelings, (1) higher trust in one's feelings results in less generous offers in ultimatum games, (2) especially in the dictator version of the game. Higher trust in one's feelings also results in (3) greater rejection of unfair offers, but equivalent acceptance of fair offers. The findings are not driven by differences in perceptions of what players see as "fair" divisions under high versus low trust of feelings. Rather, players with high trust in their feelings appear play the game as if they represented it in a more literal fashion.

Don't Get Mad, Get Even: On Consumers' Revenge Gneezy, Ayelet (Rady, UC San Diego); Ariely, Dan (Duke)

Data from three field studies show that when annoyed, consumers will revenge; take direct actions to "correct" matters even at the cost of behaving in a dishonest manner. We further test the roles of an apology, and that of a principal-agent distinction, in mitigating, and aggravating the tendency for revenge.

(8C) Multiple Opinions (10:30 – 12:00)

The cost and benefit of independent opinions: On being less confident in one's more accurate judgments
Yaniv, Ilan (Hebrew University of Jerusalem); Choshen, Shoham (Hebrew University of Jerusalem); Milyavsky, Maxim (Hebrew
University of Jerusalem)

A DM polls others' opinions and finds they highly agree with each other. Should this increase her confidence in her final decision? Our experiments shed light on a puzzling phenomenon whereby high intercorrelations among opinions increase confidence and decrease validity. Participants made more accurate judgments on the basis of independent opinions, but they reported greater confidence in judgments based on dependent (agreeing) opinions. Paradoxically, they were more confident in their less accurate estimates, although they were aware of the nature of sampling of the opinions on each trial. The implications for sampling of opinions in decision making are considered.

Ironic Effects of Personalized Product Recommendations on Subjective Consumer Decision Outcomes

Häubl, Gerald (University of Alberta); Dellaert, Benedict G. C. (Erasmus University Rotterdam); Usta, Murat (University of Alberta)

Personalized product recommendations are a form of decision assistance, provided either by a human advisor (realtor, salesperson, etc.) or a computer-based decision aid, designed to identify the most attractive available alternatives based on an understanding of a consumer's preference. We argue that personalized recommendations can have opposing effects on objective and subjective decision outcomes. While such assistance enables consumers to make objectively better choices, it tends to reduce their satisfaction with the chosen alternatives. In a series of experiments, we demonstrate the proposed ironic effects of personalized recommendations on subjective decision outcomes and examine the psychological mechanism underlying this phenomenon.

Are we wise about the "wisdom of crowds"? Mannes, Albert E. (Duke University)

An aggregated group judgment is frequently more accurate than that of the group's average member. This research examines whether this "wisdom of crowds" is appreciated by laypersons when offered a group judgment as an aid to their own. In two studies, participants made a categorical distinction between individual and group judgments, placing more weight on the latter when forming or revising their own. Their judgment policies, however, were insensitive to group size and underperformed a simple, weighted-averaging policy. In a third study, participants were sensitive to group size manipulated within-subject, but again made insufficient use of group advice.

Why are Experts Correlated? Decomposing Correlations Between Judges.

Broomell, Stephen (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign); Budescu, David V. (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

We derive an analytic model of the inter-expert correlation as a function of five underlying factors. Inter-cue correlation and the number of cues capture our assumptions about the environment of the decision, while similarity in training, differentiations in cue use, and accuracy describe assumptions about the judges. We study the relative importance of, and interrelations between, these five factors with respect to inter-judge correlation. Results highlight the centrality of the inter-cue correlation. We also address a variety of practical questions. For example, we find that additional judges increase efficacy at a greater rate than additional cues.

2007 SJDM Conference POSTER TITLES LISTED BY SESSION

Session #1 w/ Continental Breakfast (Sunday, 8:30-10:30am, Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom)

(1) When Unfairness doesn't Matter: The Role of Outcome and Attribution in Unfair Distributions Ma, Jingjing (UCLA Anderson)

(2) Affect, risk and future optimism after the tsunami disaster

Västfjäll, Daniel (Decision Research, Eugene, OR); Peters, Ellen (Decision Research, Eugene, OR); Slovic, Paul (Decision Research, Eugene, OR)

(3) Back to valence: Process-tracing evidence that hedonic tone, not certainty appraisal drives the effect of emotion on decision making

Bachkirov, Alexandre (Leeds University Business School (UK), Mazoon College (Oman)); Maule, John (Leeds University Business School (UK))

(4) The role of affective reactions on investment decision-making

Rubaltelli, Enrico (University of Padova); Pasini, Giacomo (University of Venice); Rumiati, Rino (University of Padova); Slovic, Paul (Decision Research)

(5) When anger yields financial rewards

Litvak, Paul (Carnegie mellon University); Lerner, Jennifer (Harvard University)

(6) Feeling Good Enough to Do Wrong: The Role of Moral Identity in Moral Behavior Sachdeva, Sonya (Northwestern University); Medin, Douglas L. (Northwestern University)

(7) On the Generality of Emotion effect on Scope Sensitivity

Gong, Min (University of Pennsylvania); Baron, Jonathan (University of Pennsylvania)

(8) Friend or Foe: The effect of implicit trustworthiness judgments in social decision-making.

Van 't Wout, Mascha (NDSL, University of Arizona); Sanfey, Alan G. (NDSL, University of Arizona)

(9) The influence of mood on decision making in the aging adult

Carpenter, Stephanie M. (University of Oregon); Peters, Ellen (Decision Research and University of Oregon); Vastfjall, Daniel (Goteborg University and Decision Research); Isen, Alice M. (Cornell University)

(10) Charitable Giving: How Ego-Threats Impact Donations of Time and Money

Duclos, Rod (University of North Carolina); Jim Bettman (Duke University); Paul Bloom (Duke University); Gal Zauberman (University of Pennsylvania)

(11) Affective Decision Making in Consumer Choice

Hafenbrädl, Sebastian (HEC Lausanne); Hoffrage, Ulrich (HEC Lausanne); White, Chris M. (HEC Lausanne)

(12) Involuntary Switching Behavior in Restricted Decision Environments

Ozcan, Timucin (University of Rhode Island)

(13) Knowing, feeling and thinking about it: A cognitive-affective model of preference construction

Trujillo, Carlos A. (Universidad de los Andes, School of Management)

(14) Decisions About Objects: Influences of Cognition, Personality and Psychpathology

Preston, Stephanie (University of Michigan)

(15) Individual strategy preferences for intuition and deliberation and decisional fit

Betsch, Cornelia (Erfurt, Germany); Kunz, Justus J. (Jena, Germany)

(16) Emotional and cognitive processes of decision-making in the Iowa Gambling Task

Beck, Brianna (Scripps College); Wood, Stacey (Scripps College)

(17) Persuasive and Comforting Consistency: Accountability and Affective Functions of Coherence Shifts in Decision Making

Chen, Lydia L. (University of Michigan); Yates, J. Frank (University of Michigan)

(18) The Role of Implied Socio-Economic Advantage in Reactions of Outrage and Blame

Krosch, Amy R. (Columbia University); Moore, Colleen F. (University of Wisconsin-Madison)

(19) A Falling in Love Heuristic? An Exploration of Mate Choice Determinates

Burke, Monica (University of West Florida); Schneider, Sandra (University of South Florida)

(20) Retrospective evaluation of stories: Is it what you remember that counts?

Poirier, Marie (City University); Hasic, Majda (City University); Aldrovandi, Sylvio (City University)

(21) Beauty and folly: a study of risk and benefit perceptions in online dating profiles Krishnamurti, Tamar (Carnegie Mellon University); Downs, Julie (Carnegie Mellon University)

(22) Hide or seek: The motivational processes behind decisions to avoid or pursue counter-attitudinal information Walker-Smith, Katherine Z. (Carnegie Mellon University); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University)

(23) Affective forecasting can change decision behavior

Angott, Andrea M. (University of Michigan); Yates, J. Frank (University of Michigan)

(24) Acting without thinking: Rage, rashness, and moral evaluation Inbar, Yoel (Cornell University); Critcher, Clayton (Cornell University)

(25) Happiness Pump: The Impact of Similarity and Accessibility of Past Experiences on Current Happiness Zhang, Yan (University of Chicago); Hsee, Chris (University of Chicago)

(26) Two Routes to the Perception of Need: The role of affective and deliberative information processing in pro-social behavior. Dickert, Stephan (University of Oregon; Decision Research); Sagara, Namika (University of Oregon; Decision Research); Peters, Ellen (Decision Research; University of Oregon); Slovic, Paul (Decision Research; University of Oregon)

(27) Psychophysical Judgments Before, During, After; How the Time of Judgment Interacts with Magnitude and Context Sensitivity. Hoffman, Moshe (University of Chicago); Hsee, Chris (University of Chicago); Myrseth, Kristian (University of Chicago)

(28) Strategic perspective-taking: When wearing others' shoes makes you smarter Li, Ye (University of Chicago, GSB); Hastie, Reid (University of Chicago, GSB)

(29) Bargaining with Time

Nakazawa, Fusae (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México); Bouzas, Arturo (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México)

(30) Film Rentals and Procrastination: A Study of Intertemporal Reversals in Preferences and Intrapersonal Conflict Milkman, Katherine L. (Harvard University); Rogers, Todd (Harvard University); Bazerman, Max H. (Harvard University)

(31) Norms and Contributions: A field experiment in public library fundraising Krupka, Erin (IZA); Croson, Rachel (Univ. of Texas at Dallas)

(32) Distortion of Payoffs and Probabilities in Mixed Monetary Gambles DeKay, Michael L. (The Ohio State University); Stone, Eric R. (Wake Forest University)

(33) Modeling the Effects of Reference Point Dependence and Loss Aversion on Supplier Selection Feng, Tianjun (University of California, Irvine); Keller, L. Robin (University of California, Irvine)

(34) The psychological representation of corporate personality Otto, Philipp (UCL); Chater, Nick (UCL); Stott, Henry (Decision Technology)

(35) The impact of participation and beneficiaries on the decision to cooperate
Wahl, Ingrid (University of Vienna); Muehlbacher, Stephan (University of Vienna); Kirchler, Erich (University of Vienna)

(36) Comparing the decision field theory with the proportional difference model for decisions under risk Scheibehenne, Benjamin (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin); Rieskamp, Jörg (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin); González-Vallejo, Claudia (Ohio State University, Athens)

(37) The Long and Short of Temptations and Decision-Making
Magen, Eran (Stanford University); Newman, Frank M. (Stanford University); Torrance, Tina M. (Stanford University); Gross,
James J. (Stanford University)

(38) Getting Ourselves Together: The cybernetic process model of self-control Magen, Eran (Stanford University); Gross, James J. (Stanford University)

(39) The Trust Allocator Game: Observing the Effects of Credible Signaling on Trust-Based Cooperation in Dyads Wood, Alison M. (Princeton University); Murphy, Ryan O. (Columbia University)

(40) Field and Lab Equilibration in the Poisson LUPI Game

Ostling, Robert (Department of Economics, Stockholm School of Economics); Wang, Joseph Tao-yi (Division for the Humanities and Social Sciences, Caltech); Camerer, Colin F. (Division for the Humanities and Social Sciences, Caltech); Chou, Eileen Y. (Management and Organization, Kellogg School of Management)

(41) Patience Auctions: Using Novel Auction Mechanisms to Elicit Discount Rates Under Time or Money Framing

Olivola, Christopher Y. (Princeton University); Wang, Stephanie W. (Princeton University)

(42) Fractionating masculinity: Effects of dominance and systemising on Ultimatum Game behaviour Reimers, Stian (University College London); Harvey, Nigel (University College London); Chater, Nick (University College London)

(43) The Goal-Gradient Explanation of Escalation of Commitment

Ting, Hsuchi (University of Maryland, College Park); Wallsten, Thomas (University of Maryland, College Park)

(44) Excess entry: the roles of judgmental fallibility and overconfidence.

Hogarth, Robin (ICREA & Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain); Karelaia, Natalia (HEC Université de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland)

(45) Individual rationality and social rationality: Logical thinking and the ultimatum game

Nakamura, Kuninori (JSPS/Tokyo Institute of Technology); Yamagishi, Kimihiko (Tokyo Institute of Technology)

(46) Effects of Consistent and Accurate Predictions of Stock Prices on Herding in a Simulated Financial Market

Andersson, Maria A. (Göteborg University, Department of Psychology); Hedesström, Martin T. (Göteborg University, Department of Psychology); Gärling, Tommy (Göteborg University, Department of Psychology)

(47) A Race to the Finish: Coming Close to Fund-Raising Goals Increases the Rate of Donation

Cryder, Cynthia E. (Carnegie Mellon University); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University); Seltman, Howard (Carnegie Mellon University)

(48) Signaling and Cooperation in Social Dilemmas

Abele, Susanne (Miami University); Stasser, Garold (Miami University)

(49) The Relationship between Risky and Delayed Decisions: A Model Comparison Approach

Bishara, Anthony J. (Indiana University); Busemeyer, Jerome R. (Indiana University); Ahn, Woo Y. (Indiana University); Kim, Woojae (Indiana University); Stout, Julie C. (Indiana University)

(50) The Houston Retirement Project-A Progress Report

Rude, Dale (U of Houston); Epstein, David (U of Houston)

(51) Context Effects in the Moral Domain

Iliev, Rumen (Northwestern University); Medin, Douglas (Northwestern University)

(52) Cross-Category Consideration Depends on Psychological Distance

Spiller, Stephen A. (Duke University); Lynch Jr., John G. (Duke University)

(53) When and Where Defaults Are Chosen

Crow, Janis (Kansas State University)

(54) Think, Blink or Sleep on it? The impact of mode of thought on decision making.

Newell, Ben (University of New South Wales); Cheung, Jeremy (University of New South Wales); Wong, Kwan Yao (University of New South Wales)

(55) Too Much Choice: Older Adults' Decision Making Related to Medicare Part D

Tanius, Betty E. (Claremont Graduate University); Wood, Stacey (Scripps College); Hanoch, Yaniv (Plymouth, UK); Rice, Thomas (University of California, Los Angeles); Ly, Martina (Scripps College); Horn, Laurel

(56) Choice as a Means versus an End

Choi, Jinhee (University of Chicago); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago)

(57) I'll Have the Ice Cream Soon and the Vegetables Later: Decreasing Impatience over Time in Online Grocery Orders Rogers, Todd (Harvard Business School); Milkman, Katherine L. (Harvard Business School); Bazerman, Max H. (Harvard Business School)

(58) Decoy Effects in Romantic Partner Selection

Pettibone, Jonathan (Southern Illinois University Edwardsville)

(59) How Chinese Consumers Choose between Hedonic and Utilitarian Products

Ma, Xinxin (Central University for Nationalities); Ma, Jingjing (UCLA Anderson); Zhang, Li (Peking University)

(60) Moderators of Choice Preference: An Archival Test of Implicit Egotism

Kleyman, Kerry S. (University of Nevada, Reno); Kemmelmeier, Markus (University of Nevada, Reno)

(61) Money and Fame: Vividness Effects in the National Basketball Association

Wang, Long (Northwestern University)

(62) Unwilling to initiate or willing to avoid the decision process: A two-dimensional model predicting decision process avoidance

McNeill, Ilona M. (Universiteit van Amsterdam); Nijstad, Bernard A. (Universiteit van Amsterdam); Handgraaf, Michel J. J. (Universiteit van Amsterdam); De Dreu, Carsten K. W. (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

- (63) The self as heuristic: The interaction of shared initials and decision importance in job applications and Major League Baseball Hetts, John J. (Washington University); Gilbert, Elizabeth (Washington University); Kahntroff, Jeff (Washington University)
- (64) The benefits of unconscious thought in feature matching comparison

Rim, Hye Bin (Yonsei University); Lee, Hana (Yonsei University); Park, So Ri (Yonsei University); Sohn, Young Woo (Yonsei University)

- (65) Is it appropriate to kill unarmed civilians in war? The impact of obedience on undergraduates' responses to moral scenarios Schueller, Stephen M. (University of Pennsylvania); Jayawickreme, Nuwan (University of Pennsylvania); Eidelson, Ben (University of Pennsylvania)
- (66) So many men, so little time: How people choose mates in the modern world Lenton, Alison (University of Edinburgh)
- (67) The psychology of vaccination and the appeal of "100%"
- Li, Meng (Rutgers University); Chapman, Gretchen (Rutgers University); Thomas, Dave (Yale University); Galvani, Alison (Yale University)
- (68) Taking Risks to Have Fun: A Reversal of Loss Aversion in Leisure-Oriented Choice

Hur, Taekyun (Korea University); Cho, Ja Ee (Korea University); Namkoong, Jae Eun (Korea University); Roese, Neal, J. (University of Illinois)

- (69) Cognitive and Socio-Affective Processes in Dyad Choices among Two-Outcome Lotteries Mukherjee, Moumita (University of South Florida); Schneider, Sandra L. (University of South Florida)
- (70) Gain-Loss Seperability in Certainty Equivalents of Mixed Gambles

Budescu, David V. (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign); Templin, Sara E. (Georgia Center for Assessment, University of Georgia)

(71) Neuroimaging of multiple forms of risk

Weber, Bethany (Duke University); Huettel, Scott (Duke University)

- (72) On the Folly of Rewarding A+, While Only Needing A: The Tradeoff between Jacks of All Trades and Masters of One in the NRA
- Wang, Long (Northwestern University); Brett, Jeanne M (Northwestern University); Murnighan, J. Keith (Northwestern University)
- (73) Neural Correlates of Wins and Losses during the Georgia Gambling Task in Pathological and Non-Pathological Gamblers: An MEG Examination

Goodie, Adam S. (University of Georgia); Clementz, Brett A. (University of Georgia); Camchong, Jazmin (University of Georgia); Krusemark, Elizabeth (University of Georgia); Young, Diana L. (University of Georgia); McDowell, Jennifer E. (University of Georgia)

- (74) Offering chemotherapy and hospice jointly: One solution to hospice underuse among lung cancer patients Salz, Talya (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill); Brewer, Noel T. (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)
- (75) The psychology of the fluency heuristic: Do differences in fluency make us smart? Herzog, Stefan (University of Basel); Hertwig, Ralph (University of Basel)
- (76) Abstract Mental Construals Promote Adoption of Counteractive Self-Control Strategies. Roberts, Joseph C. (The Ohio State University); Fujita, Kentaro (The Ohio State University)
- (77) How Does Experience and Inference Impact Decisions?

Gilino, Timothy (Auburn University); Franco-Watkins, Ana (Auburn University); Pleskac, Tim (Michigan State University)

- (78) Feedback Engenders Underweighting of Small Probabilities in Decisions from Description Jessup, Ryan K. (Indiana University)
- (79) Judgments and choices between alternatives with moral consequences

Tyszka, Tadeusz (Center for Economic Psychology and Decision Making, Warsaw); Zaleskiewicz, Tomasz (Warsaw School of Social Psychology)

- (80) Decisions from experience: The effect of social comparison on risk-taking judgments Lee, Jae In (Yonsei University); Sohn, Young Woo (Yonsei University)
- (81) The Effect of Juror Ethnicity on Judgments and Perceptions of Courtroom Testimony
 Tomlinson, Tracy D. (University of Maryland, College Park); Dougherty, Michael R.P. (University of Maryland, College Park)

(82) Streak biases and decision making: the importance of perceived mechanism Cox, Cecilia R. (University of Sydney); Burns, Bruce D. (University of Sydney)

(83) Overestimating Consumers' Sensitivity to Price Variations
Shen, Luxi (Fudan University); Hsee, Chris (University of Chicago)

(84) The Effect of Price Volatility on Decision Making Pirouz, Dante (University of California, Irvine)

(85) Competing Buyers and the Valuation of Products Schmid, Christian (University of Alberta); Häubl, Gerald (University of Alberta)

(86) Identifying Decision Strategies in a Consumer Choice Situation

Reisen, Nils (University of Lausanne, Faculty of Business and Economics); Hoffrage, U. (University of Lausanne, Faculty of Business and Economics)

(87) Parochialism in the Marketplace

Szymanska, Ewa J. (University of Pennsylvania); Baron, Jonathan (University of Pennsylvania)

(88) When is Difficult Planning Good Planning? The Impact of Planning Difficulty on Optimistic Prediction Bias Min, Kyeong Sam (University of South Dakota); Arkes, Hal R. (The Ohio State University)

(89) From Thrift Stores to Cheap Old Lawyers: A Multi-Dimensional Association Approach to Sequential Consumer Judgments Sleeth-Keppler, David (Stanford University); Wheeler, S. Christian (Stanford University)

(90) Processing Graphical Information: Perceptual Illusions of Risk and Return

Raghubir, Priya (UC Berkeley); Das, Sanjiv (Santa Clara University)

(91) Taking Statistics Courses May Increase Use of Sample Size Information

Obrecht, Natalie A. (Rutgers University); Chapman, Gretchen B. (Rutgers University); Gelman, Rochel (Rutgers University)

(92) How complex decision rules can impede behavior adherence

Mata, Jutta (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Todd, Peter M. (Indiana University Bloomington); Lippke, Sonia (Freie Universitaet Berlin)

(93) Planning to use it everyday: Optimistic predictions about holiday gifts

Vietri, Jeffrey T. (Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey); Schwartz, Janet (Princeton University); Chapman, Gretchen B. (Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey)

(94) The impact of purchase frequency of goods with stable vs. enhanced prices on perceived inflation Huber, Odilo W. (Department of Psychology, University of Fribourg, Switzerland)

(95) Asmmetric Disconfirmation In Managerial Beliefs about Employee Motivation Markle, Alex (The Stern School of Business, New York University)

(96) The role of the Attractiveness of the Stimuli in the Sunk Cost Effect in Children

Sala, Valentina (University of Milan - Bicocca); Passerini, Gabriella (University of Milan - Bicocca); Macchi, Laura (University of Milan - Bicocca); Bagassi, Maria (University of Milan - Bicocca); D'Addario, Marco (University of Milan - Bicocca)

Session #2 with Cash Bar (Sunday, 5:15-7:15pm, Long Beach Convention Center, Grand Ballroom)

(1) The Effect of Risk on Moral Cognition

Hudspeth, Christopher (University of South Florida); Schneider, Sandra (University of South Florida); Decker, Nathaniel (University of South Florida)

(2) The role of egocentrism in judgmental biases in social comparison ENDO, Yumi (Kansai University)

(3) Cognitive modeling analysis of gambling tasks: measuring stable traits or just mimicry?

Ahn, Woo Young (Indiana University, Bloomington); Busemeyer, Jerome R. (Indiana University, Bloomington); Stout, Julie C. (Indiana University, Bloomington)

(4) 'Using the same processes, but different values' – Decision-making strategies of cancer patients in Germany and the USA Wegwarth, Odette (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany)

(5) The Effect of Belief in Implicit Assumption on Evaluation of the Enthymeme. Tanaka, Yuuko. (Kyoto University); Kusumi, Takashi (Kyoto University)

(6) Comparing Policy Use by those who Draft and those who Coach in the NBA Young, Michael E (Southern Illinois University at Carbondale)

(7) Decision Making with Prostate Cancer Simon, Jay (The Paul Merage School of Business, UC Irvine)

(8) A critical analysis on pseudodiagnosticity

D'Addario, Marco (University of Milano-Bicocca); Macchi, Laura (University of Milano-Bicocca); Bagassi, Maria (University of Milano-Bicocca); Passerini, Gabriella (University of Milano-Bicocca); Sala, Valentina (University of Milano-Bicocca)

(9) Modeling Decision Situations with Spatially-Varying Attributes
Keller, L. Robin (Univ. of Calif., Irvine); Kirkwood, Craig (Arizona State Univ.); Simon, Jay (Univ. of Calif., Irvine)

(10) Consumer usage of positive and negative customer reviews in online decision making tasks Willemsen, Martijn C. (Eindhoven University of Technology)

(11) Strategies for estimation: When do estimations follow an exemplar-based versus a rule-based cognitive process? von Helversen, Bettina (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Rieskamp, Jörg (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

(12) The Gambler's Fallacy and the Hot Hand: Trajectories and Long-Run Probabilities
Decker, Nathaniel (University of South Florida); Schneider, Sandra (University of South Florida)

(13) How memory limits strategy selection: The fluency heuristic

Marewski, Julian N. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Schooler, Lael J. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

(14) Manipulating the Reasons for Optimism: Reversing Bias by Shifting Consequences Armor, David A. (San Diego State University); Sackett, Aaron M. (University of Chicago GSB)

(15) Intuited Consequences of Prediction Biases and their Effects on Unrealistic Optimism Sackett, Aaron M. (University of Chicago GSB); Armor, David A. (San Diego State University)

(16) Resolving ethical dilemmas: Exploring the role of moral principles
Blais, Ann-Renee (Defence R& D Canada Toronto); Thompson, Megan (Defence R& D Canada Toronto)

(17) Mechanisms of Superior Judgment Under Uncertainty: Rational Choices from Simple Heuristics and Elaborative Strategies Cokely, Edward T. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Kelley, Colleen M. (Florida State University)

(18) Evidential Reasoning in Teacher Assessment

Recesso, Arthur (Learning & Performance Support Lab., University of Georgia); Templin, Sara E. (Georgia Center for Assessment, University of Georgia); Ekici, Celil (Learning & Performance Support Lab., University of Georgia); Cohen, Allan (Georgia Center

(19) The effect of information distribution on the communication of magnitude judgment Qian, Jing (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Olsson, Henrik (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

(20) Scientific objectivity: Everyone's imaginary friend

Wintle, Bonnie C. (University of Melbourne); Fidler, Fiona (University of Melbourne); Burgman, Mark (University of Melbourne)

(21) Fishing for the right words: Human foraging behavior in external and internal search tasks

Wilke, Andreas (UCLA Anthropology); Todd, Peter M. (Indiana University); Hutchinson, John M.C. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

(22) Ratings of Physicians Relying on Experts Versus Physicians Relying on Decision Aids

Probst, C. Adam (Wichita State University); Shaffer, Victoria (Wichita State University); Lambdin, Charles (Wichita State University); Arkes, Hal (Ohio State University); Medow, Mitchell (Ohio State University)

(23) Similarity and Temporal Distance

Day, Samuel B. (Northwestern University); Bartels, Daniel M. (University of Chicago)

(24) Framing in Text Ads: Preferences for Grammar Structures Depending on Valence of Information

Nelson, Noelle M. (University of Minnesota); Malkoc, Selin A. (University of Minnesota)

(25) Part-Set Cuing in Option Generation

Del Missier, F. (University of Trieste); Terpini, C. (University of Trieste); Gerbino, W. (University of Trieste)

(26) How the '400 people not saved' matter: Processes behind the framing effect

Schulte-Mecklenbeck, Michael (University of Bergen); Kuhberger, Anton (University of Salzburg)

(27) Use of heuristics, the influence of emotions, and the effects of framing on decisions about climate change and food safety Soane, Emma (Kingston Business School)

(28) When a Negotiation is About Price, Selling Fits Promotion and Buying Fits Prevention

Appelt, Kirstin C. (Columbia University); Higgins, E. Tory (Columbia University)

(29) Earwitness misinterpretations: Top-down biases of auditory evidence.

Lange, Nick D. (University of Oklahoma); Thomas, Rick P. (University of Oklahoma); Dana, Jason (University of Pennsylvania); Dawes, Robyn (Carnegie Mellon University)

(30) Bad advice alters choice in the probabilistic selection task

Doll, Bradley B. (NDSL / ARG, Psychology, University of Arizona); Frank, Michael J. (LNCC, Psychology, University of Arizona); Sanfey, Alan G. (NDSL, Psychology, University of Arizona); Jacobs, W. Jake (ARG, Psychology, University of Arizona)

(31) Interval judgments when variability is real: The case of statistical replication

Lai, Jerry (La Trobe University, Melbourne Australia); Fidler, Fiona (La Trobe University, Melbourne Australia); Cumming, Geoff (La Trobe University, Melbourne Australia)

(32) Evaluating experts' interval judgments

McBride, Marissa (University of Melbourne, Australia); Burgman, Mark (University of Melbourne, Australia)

(33) Decision Making Style as a Predictor of Depression

Zarnoth, Paul (Saint Mary's College of California); Freimuth, John W. (Saint Mary's College of California); Pope, Jenna E. (Saint Mary's College of California); Rodriguez, Megan I. (Saint Mary's College of California)

(34) Dynamic interactions in medical decision making in Emergency Departments

Guglielmetti, Chiara (State University of Milan); Gilardi, Silvia (State University of Milan); Pravettoni, Gabriella (State University of Milan); Vago, Gianluca (State University of Milan)

(35) Effects of Motivational Orientation on Decision Making in Virtual Teams

Melchior, Stefan N. (University of Rostock); Nerdinger, Friedemann W. (University of Rostock)

(36) Citizens' conceptions of their duty

Baron, Jonathan (University of Pennsylvania)

(37) What goes up must come down: Cognitive, ecological, and contextual accounts of trend damping in judgmental forecasting Harvey, Nigel (University College London); Reimers, Stian (University College London)

(38) The information bias in medical decision making

Pravettoni, Gabriella (University of Milan); Lucchiari, Claudio (University of Milan); Leotta, Salvatore Nuccio (University of Milan); Vago, Gianluca (University of Milan)

(39) The Superset Bias

Peterson, Nathanial R (Carnegie Mellon); Dawes, Robyn M (Carnegie Mellon)

(40) Compensatory selection among noncompensatory tools: Reevaluating the nature of fast and frugal heuristics Hochman, Guy (Technion - Israel Institute of Technology); Ayal, Shahar (Duke University)

(41) Numeracy and Natural Frequencies in Bayesian Reasoning

Liu, Jingjin (Rutgers University); Chapman, Gretchen B. (Rutgers University)

(42) How to mislead without lying: Selective presentation of results

Silverman, Gabriel K. (Carnegie Mellon University); Anderson, Britta (American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University); Zinberg, Stanley (American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists); Shulkin, Jay (American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists)

(43) Trait Transference in Reputation Markets

Sivanathan, N (Kellogg School of Management - Northwestern University)

(44) Memory and Judgment Bias in Retrospective Evaluations

Aldrovandi, Silvio (City University, London (UK)); Poirier, Marie (City University, London (UK)); Ayton, Peter (City University, London (UK))

(45) Information search and outcome focus in medical decisions from experience

Pachur, Thorsten (University of Basel); Riepl, Patrick (University of Basel)

(46) My loss is your loss...but only if I say so: Loss aversion and the effect of motivational biases

Wilson, Robyn S. (The Ohio State University); Arvai, Joseph L. (Michigan State University); Arkes, Hal R. (The Ohio State University)

(47) A study of the conjunction fallacy using an ecologically representative design were the representativeness heuristic can not be applied.

Nilsson, Håkan (Department of psychology, Uppsala university.); Winman, Anders (Department of psychology, Uppsala university.)

(48) Most People are Above-Average, Sometimes

Roy, Michael M. (Elizabethtown College)

(49) Why does the base rate appear to be neglected? The equiprobability hypothesis

Hattori, Masasi (Ritsumeikan Univ); Nishida, Yutaka (Osaka Univ)

(50) Metacognitive processing in different types of tests

de Carvalho F., Moises K. (Kyoto University); Isobe, Miyoshi (Meiji University)

(51) A critical meta-analytic perspective of the components of the Lens Model Equation in judgment achievement Kaufmann, Esther (University of Mannheim, Germany); Sjödahl, Lars (University of Lund, Sweden); Athanasou, James A (University of Technology, Sydney); Wittmann, Werner W. (University of Mannheim, Germany)

(52) How an Assessment Orientation Produces Over-correction in Negotiation

Zi, Xou (Columbia University); Appelt, Kirstin C. (Columbia University); Higgins, E. Tory (Columbia University)

(53) Individual differences in the reliance on belief and logic across multiple reasoning domains.

Martin, Nadia (University of Waterloo); Fugelsang, Jonathan A. (University of Waterloo)

(54) Consumer Perceptions of Intelligence Forecasts

Dieckmann, Nathan F (Decision Research & University of Oregon); Mauro, Robert (Decision Research & University of Oregon); Slovic, Paul (Decision Research & University of Oregon)

(55) Strength of Handedness and Sunk Cost

Westfall, Jonathan E. (The University of Toledo); Jasper, J.D. (The University of Toledo)

(56) Authoritarianism, social dominance orientation, and perceptions of threat in predicting judgments of support for the policy of preemption

Dunwoody, Philip T. (Juniata College); Plane, Dennis L. (Juniata College); Rice, Devin (Stony Brook University)

(57) The Changing of an Occupied Mind: Belief Adjustment under Divided Attention

Harbison, J. Isaiah (University of Maryland); Dougherty, Michael R. (University of Maryland)

(58) Differences in the subjective utility of gains as a function of mindfulness

Young, Diana L. (University of Georgia); Lakey, Chad E, (University of Georgia); Goodie, Adam S. (University of Georgia)

(59) Repeated trust interactions with non-random matching protocols

Murphy, Ryan O. (Columbia University); Rapoport, Amnon (University of Arizona)

(60) Similarity in intertemporal choice: an outcome and process approach

Stevens, Jeffrey R. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

(61) Tethered by tense: "Reality checks" constrain retrospection more than prospection

Kane, Joanne E. (University of Colorado, Boulder); Van Boven, Leaf (Cornell University); McGraw, A. Peter (University of Colorado, Boulder)

(62) The Incongruence between Jurors' Probability Judgments and their Verdicts

Arkes, Hal R. (Ohio State University); Mayes, Ryan S. (Ohio State University); Shoots-Rinehard, Brittany (Ohio State University); Sleesman, Dustin J. (Ohio State University)

(63) A change in medical risk perception over treatment stages of blood cancer patients

Hirahara, Norimichi (Grad. Sch. of Decis. Sci. & Tech., Tokyo Inst. of Technology); Yamagishi, Kimihiko (Grad. Sch. of Decis. Sci. & Tech., Tokyo Inst. of Technology)

(64) The Dynamics of Aging and Disease Risk Recognition

Finucane, Melissa L. (Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Hawai'i); Williams, Andrew E (Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Hawai'i)

(65) The Great Between-Subjects Assumption

Lambdin, Charles (Wichita State University); Shaffer, Victoria (Wichita State University)

(66) Covariance analysis of the hard-easy effect

Merkle, Edgar C. (Wichita State University)

(67) The overweighting of rare events in decisions from experience

Haberstroh, Susanne (University of Osnabrück, Germany); Körner, Dorothee (University of Osnabrück, Germany)

(68) Decisions about alcohol use among youth

Weiss, Jie W. (California State University, Fullerton); Mouttapa, Michele (California State University, Fullerton)

(69) Emotions in the process of risky decision making: Spontaneous verbal expressions

Bär, Arlette S. (University of Fribourg, Dep. of Psychology, Switzerland); Huber, Odilo W. (University of Fribourg, Dep. of Psychology, Switzerland); Huber, Oswald (University of Fribourg, Dep. of Psychology, Switzerland)

(70) Emotional processes in decision behavior of empathizers and systemizers

Samson, Andrea C. (Dep of Psychology, University of Fribourg, Switzerland); Baer, Arlette S. (Dep of Psychology, University of Fribourg, Switzerland)

(71) Modeling option generation and resulting choices in realistic, dynamic sports situations

Raab, Markus (University of Flensburg); Johnson, Joseph G. (Miami University); DeCaro, Daniel (Miami University)

(72) Heuristics and Group Decision Making: More Can Be Less and Less Can Be More

Luan, Shenghua (Singapore Management University); Katsikopoulos, Konstantinos (Max Planck Institute); Reimer, Torsten (University of Maryland, College Park)

(73) The Effects of Problem Source and Personal Relevance on Solution Generation

Milch, Kerry F. (Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia University)

(74) When good decisions have a bad outcome: A biasing effect of experienced regret on subsequent choices

Marcatto, Francesco (University of Trieste); Ferrante, Donatella (University of Trieste)

(75) The regret frequencies and the ease retrieval of action/inaction regret

Dohke, Rumiko (Hitotsubashi University); Murata, Koji (Hitotsubashi University)

(76) Why Are We in Dread of SARS?

Xie, Xiaofei (Peking University); Zheng, Rui (Chinese Academy of Science); Stone, Eric (Wake Forest University)

(77) A Fuzzy-Trace Theory of Developmental Differences in Gist-Based Thinking and Risk-Taking

Estrada, Steven M. (Cornell University); Reyna, Valerie F. (Cornell University); Mills, Britain A. (Cornell University)

(78) Self-Other Differences in Decision Making

Choi, YoonSun (Wake Forest University); Stone, Eric R. (Wake Forest University)

(79) Manipulating Maps to Affect Risk Perception: The Effects of Landmarks and Dimensionality

Gane, Brian D. (Georgia Tech); Turaga, Rama Mohana R. (Georgia Tech); Bostrom, Ann (Georgia Tech); Catrambone, Richard (Georgia Tech); Riggieri, Alison (Georgia Tech); Wood, Sara K.

(80) Decision under Uncertainty: The Roles of Perceived Riskiness and Perceived Ambiguity

Davis, Fred D. (University of Arkansas); Aloysius, John A. (University of Arkansas); Venkatraman, Srinivasan (University of Arkansas)

(81) Decision Making about Uncertain Environmental Risks with Ethical Implications

Kortenkamp, Katherine V. (University of Wisconsin, Madison); Moore, Colleen F. (University of Wisconsin, Madison)

(82) Thin Slices of a Competitor's Thin Slices: Forming and Applying an Accurate Model Leads to Performance in Strategic Competition

Willaby, Harold W (University of Sydney); Burns, Bruce D (University of Sydney); Vollmeyer, Regina (Universität Frankfurt)

(83) The Role of Expectancies in Decision Making Under Ambiguity

Levin, Irwin P. (University of Iowa); L:auriola, Marco (University of Rome); Rouwenhorst, Robert (University of Iowa); Hamilton, Mitchell (Clark Atlanta University)

(84) Do limited samples and limited memory explain the description-experience gap?

Hau, Robin C. (University of Basel); Pleskac, Timothy J. (Indiana University); Hertwig, Ralph (University of Basel)

(85) Neurological basis of temporal construal theory

Ramchandran, Kanchna (University of Iowa); Levin, Irwin (University of Iowa); Gruntler, Ashley (University of Iowa); Harshman, Lyndsay (University of Iowa); Tranel, Daniel (University of Iowa); Denburg, L. Natalie (University of Iowa); Bechara, Antoine (University of Southern California)

(86) A pragmatic approach to the Ratio Bias

Passerini, Gabriella (University of Milano-Bicocca); Valentina, Sala (University of Milano-Bicocca); Marco, D'Addario (University of Milano-Bicocca); Maria, Bagassi (University of Milano-Bicocca); Laura, Macchi (University of Milano-Bicocca)